


Mu2e-II : next generation muon conversion experiment

Yuri Oksuzian
On behalf of the Mu2e-II working group




Mu2e


- Mu2e will search for a neutrino-less $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ conversion on Al
- Improve the current limit on the conversion rate ($R_{\mu e}$) by **four orders** of magnitude:


$$R_{\mu \rightarrow e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- + N(Z, A) \rightarrow e^- + N(Z, A))}{\Gamma(\mu^- + N(Z, A) \rightarrow \nu_\mu + N(Z-1, A))} < 6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ (90\% CL)}$$

- Mu2e will produce and stop 7×10^{18} muons on aluminum foils
 - Searching for ~ 105 MeV electrons originating from the stopping target
 - In SM, $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ is *practically* forbidden ($R_{\mu e} \sim 10^{-54}$)



- **Signal observation at Mu2e is unambiguous sign of New Physics**





■ What is Mu2e-II?

- ▶ If approved, Mu2e-II will improve $R_{\mu e}$ sensitivity by $\times 10$ beyond Mu2e limits, extending λ_{NP} reach by $\times 2$
- ▶ Refurbish as much of Mu2e infrastructure as possible
- ▶ Upgrade Mu2e components to handle higher beam intensity

■ When?


- ▶ Few years after the end of Mu2e run
- ▶ Expected 5 years of physics run

■ Where?

- ▶ Mu2e will utilize 100kW proton beam from Proton Improvement Plan-II (PIP-II) at Fermilab


- PIP-II will power DUNE and other experiments like Mu2e-II
- PIP-II project will be complete this decade






PIP-II @ Mu2e-II

- PIP-II designed to deliver 800 MeV H^- beam to the Booster
 - Chopper system can produce an arbitrary pattern of filled or empty 162.5 MHz buckets
 - The maximum current per bucket is $\sim 5mA$ ($1.93 \times 10^8 H^-$)
- Mu2e-II will get a beam at upstream end of transfer line to Booster
 - Need to build a beamline to deliver beam to Muon Campus





Mu2e-II beam formation

■ Beam structure for Mu2e-II (100 kW):


- ▶ Booster and Mu2e injection the intensity is limited to $1.4 \times 10^8 H^-$ per bucket
- ▶ Booster requires $\sim 3 ms$ out of every $50 ms$. The rest to Muon Campus
- ▶ Mu2e-II needs a short spill followed by a gap to match the muon lifetime in the stopping target

■ Mu2e-II needs only 10 buckets in each spill


- ▶ beam pulse width is $\sim 62 ns$. Much narrower than at Mu2e


■ Consider running Mu2e-II at even higher beam intensities

0.6 ms, 2ma for Booster



Possible Implementation at PIP-II





- Mu2e-II is a natural extension of Mu2e
- Feasibility studies started a decade ago at last Snowmass
 - Since then, we submitted several study papers and held multiple workshops
- We submitted 12 LOI on Mu2e-II subsystems for Snowmass 21

30 Sep 2013

Feasibility Study for a Next-Generation Mu2e Experiment

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.1168>

2013

K. Knoepfel³, V. Pronskikh³, R. Bernstein³, D.N. Brown⁵, R. Coleman³, C.E. Dukes⁷,
R. Ehrlich⁷
J. Mill

Expression of Interest for Evolution of the Mu2e Experiment[†]

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.02599>

2018

F. Abusalma²³, D. Ambrose²³, A. Artikov⁷, R. Bernstein⁸, G.C. Blazey²⁷, C. Bloise⁹, S. Boi³³, T. Bolton¹⁴,
J. Bono⁸, R. Bonventre¹⁶, D. Bowring⁸, D. Brown¹⁶, D. Brown²⁰, K. Byrum¹, M. Campbell²², J.-F. Caron¹²,
F. Cervelli³⁰, D.

March 17, 2022

M.A. Cumm


Mu2e-II: Muon to electron conversion with PIP-II

Contributed paper for Snowmass

2022

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07569>


K. Byrum,¹ S. Corrodi,¹ Y. Oksuzian,¹ P. Winter,¹ L. Xia,¹ A. W. J. Edmonds,² J. P. Miller,²
J. Mott,³ W. J. Marciano,⁴ R. Szafron,⁴ R. Bonventre^{b, 5}, D. N. Brown^{b, 5}, Yu. G. Kolomensky^{ab, 5},
O. Ning^{a, 5}, V. Singh^{a, 5}, E. Prebys,⁶ L. Borrel,⁷ B. Echenard,⁷ D. G. Hitlin,⁷ C. Hu,⁷ D. X. Lin,⁷
S. Middleton,⁷ F. C. Porter,⁷ L. Zhang,⁷ R.-Y. Zhu,⁷ D. Ambrose,⁸ K. Badgley,⁸ R. H. Bernstein,⁸
S. Boi,⁸ B. C. K. Casey,⁸ R. Culbertson,⁸ A. Gaponenko,⁸ H. D. Glass,⁸ D. Glenzinski,⁸



Backgrounds at Mu2e-II


- Mu2e-II assumes 5 years of running and 5.5×10^{19} stopped muons
- The total background needs to be kept < 1 event
 - This requires improvements to detector subsystems and beam structure
- Higher beam intensity and detector enhancements will result in an order of magnitude sensitivity improvement


Results	Mu2e	Mu2e-II (5-year)	Required improvement
Backgrounds			
Decay In Orbit	0.144	0.263	Improved tracker resolution
Cosmics	0.209	0.171	Improved veto and enhanced shielding
Radiative Pion Capture	0.025	0.033	Improved extinction $< 10^{-11}$
Radiative Muon Capture	< 0.004	< 0.02	
Antiprotons	0.040	0.000	Beam energy below \bar{p} threshold
Others	< 0.004	< 0.017	
Total	0.41	0.47	
N(muon stops)	6.7×10^{18}	5.5×10^{19}	
SES	3.01×10^{-17}	3.25×10^{-18}	
$R_{\mu e}$ (90% CL)	6.01×10^{-17}	6.39×10^{-18}	
$R_{\mu e}$ (discovery)	1.89×10^{-16}	2.34×10^{-17}	



Beam production and transport


- Mu2e-II needs to tolerate x10 more beam power
- Mu2e target and super-conducting coils will not survive the beam power
 - ▶ Actively investigating alternative target station designs
 - ▶ Tungsten Heat Radiation Shield looks promising in reducing the rad damage on super-conducting coils






Production target


- Fermilab's LDRD project investigates production targets that survive Mu2e-II beam intensities: rotating, granular, conveyor concepts
- Simulation of: muon yield, thermal stress, radiation damage, residual activation, radiation loads
- In our Mu2e-II sensitivity study, we have considered conveyor type production target with carbon spheres
 - Early prototype has been fabricated




Rotating Elements



Fixed Granular with
Gas Cooling







Conveyor



Beam production and transport


- Aiming the beam on target: 0.8 GeV (Mu2e-II) vs 8 GeV (Mu2e)
 - ▶ It also impacts the position of beam dump and extinction monitor position
- To hit the target Mu2e-II will optimize the following parameters
 - ▶ Vertical and horizontal incoming angles
 - ▶ Production target location
 - ▶ Production Solenoid magnetic field






Tracker

- Conversion electron momentum at Mu2e is reconstructed using straw tracker
- Expected Decay In Orbit (DIO) background at Mu2e: 0.144 events
 - DIO background would increase 10x at Mu2e-II, linear to the number of stopped muons
- Improve momentum resolution to suppress DIO by reducing straws thickness: $15 \mu m \rightarrow 8 \mu m$
 - In this study, we also reduced the momentum window $1.05 MeV \rightarrow 0.85 MeV$ to further suppress DIO






	Mu2e	Mu2e-II
Wall thickness (μm)	18.1	8.2
Al thickness (μm)	0.1	0.2
Au thickness (μm)	0.02	0.0
Linear Density (g/m)	0.35	0.15
Pressure limits (atm)	0–5	0–3
Elastic Limit (gf)	1600	500



Tracker



- The momentum resolution is improved with thinner straws: $140 \rightarrow 100 \text{ keV}$
- Fermilab's LDRD has been investigating challenges with: vacuum tightness, long term stability and large scale production
- Radiation levels (3 Mrad) exceeds the safety factor for electronics
 - ▶ Consider using application-specific integrated circuit electronics to handle the rad levels
- Investigate other detector alternatives:
 - ▶ Light gas vessel to ease straw leakage requirements
 - ▶ All wires construction and remove the straws
 - ▶ Wires separated by mylar walls






Calorimeter



- Mu2e uses CsI calorimeter for PID, seed tracking and provide a fast trigger
- Requirements: $\sigma_E/E < 10\%$ @ 100MeV and $\sigma_t < 500\text{ ps}$ @ 100MeV
- CsI can't handle rad doses and crystals occupancy at Mu2e-II
 - $< \text{Mrad}$, $10^{13} n_{1\text{MeV-eq}}/\text{cm}^2$
- BaF_2 is an excellent candidate: rad hard ($< 100\text{ Mrad}$) and has a fast UV component
 - Challenge: slow component can cause pileup
 - Suppress the slow scintillation component by doping BaF_2 with (Y)ttrium, (La)nthanum and (Ce)rium
 - Develop solar-blind photosensor: SiPMs with an external filter or UV-sensitive photocathodes
- This R&D is currently unfunded






Cosmic Ray Veto (CRV)



- CRV identifies cosmic ray muons that produce conversion-like backgrounds
- Technology: Four layers of extruded polystyrene scintillator counters with embedded wavelength shifting fibers, read out with SiPM photodetectors
- Expected live-time and hence cosmic ray background is $>3\times$ higher at Mu2e-II
 - ▶ Use alternative CRV design to enhance the detection efficiency
- Higher ($>3\times$) rad doses: higher DAQ rates, dead-time, rad damage
 - ▶ Promising results with enhanced shielding: tungsten PS and boron doped heavy concrete
- Cosmic ray background sources undetectable by CRV:
 - ▶ Cosmic ray neutrons is a significant (~ 0.6) source, if not addressed with enhanced shielding
 - ▶ Muons entering through un-instrumented CRV region is small (<0.1), but challenging to suppress contribution






- Enhanced CRV design using triangular-shaped counters
 - Improved efficiency due to reduced gaps
 - Better (1 mm) positional resolution reduces fake cosmic id, and hence dead-time
 - Lower DAQ rate from beam-induced detector noise
- CRV will be replaced due to aging
 - Enhance the light yield with thicker fiber, improved PDE SiPMs and potting fiber
- A prototype has been designed, fabricated and studies show promising results
- This R&D is currently unfunded


Most cosmic ray muons fall vertically






Stopping target

- We have considered stopping target designs alternative to Mu2e
 - However, we found that the current design with 34 Al foils is close to optimal
- If the signal is observed, will change stopping target to probe underlying New Physics operator
 - Titanium (Vanadium) and even Lithium stopping targets will be investigated
- Will adjust the micro-bunch length period to accommodate the muon lifetime of 329 ns on Ti vs 864 ns on Al





Summary

- Mu2e-II will advance CLFV search in $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ channel
 - Order of magnitude improvement in $R_{\mu e}$
- Physics case of Mu2e-II is compelling, regardless of Mu2e's findings
 - If Mu2e sees signal, Mu2e-II will study underlying physics
 - If Mu2e doesn't see a signal, Mu2e-II will extend the sensitivity reach
- Mu2e-II has a support from muon physics community and Fermilab's PAC
- Broad R&D program has been identified
- If approved, Mu2e-II expects to start data taking in 2030 decade