

# Quantum Simulation for High Energy Physics

Seattle Snowmass Summer Meeting 2022  
CompF6 Wed 07/20/2022



Christian Bauer  
Quantum Simulation for HEP



# Quantum Simulation for High Energy Physics

Christian W. Bauer,<sup>1, a</sup> Zohreh Davoudi,<sup>2, b</sup> A. Baha Balantekin,<sup>3</sup> Tanmoy Bhattacharya,<sup>4</sup>  
Marcela Carena,<sup>5, 6, 7, 8</sup> Wibe A. de Jong,<sup>1</sup> Patrick Draper,<sup>9</sup> Aida El-Khadra,<sup>9</sup>  
Nate Gemelke,<sup>10</sup> Masanori Hanada,<sup>11</sup> Dmitri Kharzeev,<sup>12, 13</sup> Henry Lamm,<sup>5</sup>  
Ying-Ying Li,<sup>5</sup> Junyu Liu,<sup>14, 15</sup> Mikhail Lukin,<sup>16</sup> Yannick Meurice,<sup>17</sup>  
Christopher Monroe,<sup>18, 19, 20, 21</sup> Benjamin Nachman,<sup>1</sup> Guido Pagano,<sup>22</sup> John Preskill,<sup>23</sup>  
Enrico Rinaldi,<sup>24, 25, 26</sup> Alessandro Roggero,<sup>27, 28</sup> David I. Santiago,<sup>29, 30</sup>  
Martin J. Savage,<sup>31</sup> Irfan Siddiqi,<sup>29, 30, 32</sup> George Siopsis,<sup>33</sup> David Van Zanten,<sup>5</sup>  
Nathan Wiebe,<sup>34, 35</sup> Yukari Yamauchi,<sup>2</sup> Kübra Yeter-Aydeniz,<sup>36</sup> and Silvia Zorzetti<sup>5</sup>

arXiv:2204.03381v1 [quant-ph]



Christian Bauer  
Quantum Simulation for HEP



# Contents

[Theory Frontier] Executive Summary	5
[Computational Frontier] Executive Summary	6
1. Introduction	7
2. Physics drives	8
3. Underlying simulations	11
4. Simulator requirements	16
5. Quantum ecosystem	20



## Contents

[Theory Frontier] Executive Summary	5
[Computational Frontier] Executive Summary	6
1. Introduction	7
2. Physics drives	8
3. Underlying simulations	11
4. Simulator requirements	16
5. Quantum ecosystem	20



# Contents

[Theory Frontier] Executive Summary	5
[Computational Frontier] Executive Summary	6
1. Introduction	7
2. Physics drives	8
3. Underlying simulations	11
4. Simulator requirements	16
5. Quantum ecosystem	20

—Supplemental Material—	23
I. Physics drive: Collider phenomenology	24
II. Physics drive: Matter in and out of equilibrium	25
III. Physics drive: Neutrino (astro)physics	27
IV. Physics drive: Cosmology and early universe	28
V. Physics drive: Nonperturbative quantum gravity	30
VI. Underlying simulations: Simulating quantum field theories	33
VII. Underlying simulations: Simulating effective field theories	47
VIII. Simulator requirements: Analog simulators	53
IX. Simulator requirements: Digital computers	56
X. Simulator requirements: Simulation in the NISQ era	58
XI. Simulator requirements: Software and compilers	62
XII. Quantum ecosystem: Hardware co-design and accessibility	64
XIII. Quantum ecosystem: Skill sets and workforce development	66
XIV. Quantum ecosystem: Academia, government, industry partnership	69
XV. Community endorsement	71
XVI. Acknowledgements and funding information	74
XVII. Statement of Conflict of Interest	76



# Physics Drive

- Many important theoretical questions intractable for classical techniques
  - collider physics
  - neutrino physics
  - cosmology
  - early universe physics
  - quantum gravity
  - ...
- Quantum algorithms has potential to provide computationally feasible approaches to these problems
  - S-matrix or EFT scattering calculations
  - collective neutrino oscillations
  - non-equilibrium dynamics
  - bulk gravitational phenomena
  - probably many others

# Underlying simulations

Advances in many fields are needed to make these problems accessible to quantum computers

- **Fundamentally new field theory formulations**
  - Turn infinite dimensional Hilbert space into finite dimensional
  - Find optimal ways to protect or utilize underlying symmetries
  - Understand systematic uncertainties given truncations used
- **Algorithmic research**
  - Efficient encoding of problems into qubits
  - Develop algorithms with tight and rigorous error bounds
  - Concrete algorithms to prepare and measure non-trivial states
- **Utilize analog quantum computers**
  - Certain problems might be better addressed on analog simulators
  - Map desired QFTs onto other analog quantum systems



# Simulator requirements

- Hardware needs to get much better for realistic simulations
  - Is industry sufficient to provide this?
- Need wide variety of hardware
  - Pursue different techniques for digital computers
  - Development of analog simulators
- Need hardware that HEP can experiment with
  - This likely requires DOE funded hardware development
- Develop Error correction and noise mitigation techniques
  - Best techniques for HEP could be specific to our field
- For broad HEP participation, need high level compilers and HEP specific libraries
  - Some developments will happen anyways, but HEP needs to participate

# Quantum Ecosystem

- Quantum computing requires expertise from many different areas, many of which are not part of traditional HEP research directions
  - Needs expertise that is not currently among HEP scientists
  - HEP needs to be involved in training of future workforce
  - Long term career prospects need to be developed and funded
- Industry will likely play critical role in many needed advances
  - Need good collaborations with industry partners
  - Important that HEP can shape direction of industry R&D

# Conclusions and personal thoughts

- Quantum computing has had extremely rapid development over past years (not even mentioned in last Snowmass)
- Has potential to solve problems unimaginable using classical techniques
- Many fascinating problems to solve such as pure theory, algorithm and software development and hardware creation
- This is the time to define the future of this field, and make sure we can reap benefits
- Given interdisciplinary nature, field needs to establish new cross-cutting ways to train workforce and provide long term job prospects

Exciting times ahead, and even if you are not personally working in this field, please follow developments and support this field moving forward