



Pion Absorption and Charge Exchange Cross Section Analysis

Jacob Calcutt & Francesca Stocker Jan. 26, 2020



Outline

- Motivation For Cross Section \rightarrow Libo Jiang's Talk
- Thin Slice Method
- Signal Definition & Background
- Event Selection
- Results so far
- Outlook
- Some Event Displays

Technical Specifications

Code sits in protoduneana (repo):


protoduneana/singlephase/Pion/PionAnalyzer_module.cc

Analysis Code on GitHub

- Using PyRoot or ROOT RDataFrame for the event selection
- More in-depth studies
- Plotting


★ For the data shown in this talk we did not run the FEMB filters etc.







Thin Slice Method

- Estimate the energy at each slice (using calorimetry info from collection plane)
 - Fill the Incident histogram (bottom) for each slice's energy
- Determine interaction point
 - Passes signal selection?
 - Fill Interacting histogram (top)








4

Thin Slice Method -- MC Truth

Cross Section [barn

Ajib & Heng-Ye <u>showed</u> the performance of the thin slice using the true ionization deposits from beam pions, compared to true Geant4 cross sections

Technique also valid when separating by inelastic subtype/final state content




5



Signal & Background Definition



Combined Signal Definition



Pion Absorption


 $\pi \longrightarrow \pi^{0}$ Píon Charge Exchange

 $\pi \longrightarrow \pi^{0}$ π^{0} π^{0} Multiple π^{0}

Signal Definition

Basic selection:

- Abs + Cex*: Pion interactions without charged pion in the final state
- Separate Abs & Cex*: Look for π⁰-like showers



Cex*: Cex + Multiple π^{0}


Backgrounds

Absorption + Charge Exchange + $n\pi^0$

- The Reco could miss the outgoing Pion
 - Thresholds/reinteractions
- The outgoing Pion is not identified as a Pion
- Other primary beam particles misidentified as Pions
- Stopping/Decaying Pions

Absorption

• Missed π^0 - showers



Charge Exchange

- Proton Daughter looks like a shower
- Multiple π^0 ??
 - Maybe later able to separate


Background -- Vertex

Right: A pion elastically scatters, then ends in an inelastic scatter. Pandora reconstructs the elastic

Do we call this signal or background?

Thin slice method: We only care what happens at the vertex of red


- \rightarrow Need to define what happens here
- \rightarrow Cannot just use the Geant4 process name



Background -- Vertex

Categorize vertex by distance of simulated ionization deposits to true interaction points

Gives an estimate for backgrounds, needs more robust treatment for full cross section analysis/fits




For more info, see this <u>talk</u> from previous CM (It's a google drive link because of indico weirdness)




Event Selection



Event Selection - a Flow Chart



Event Selection - a Flow Chart




Beam Line Selection

The beam line gives us a momentum measurement (thin slice) + tracking (cut away backgrounds) + PID


For data quality:

- Require good reconstructed momentum
 - 1 active fiber in each monitor
- 1 reconstructed beam line track



See earlier talk on Beam Interface

Event Selection - a Flow Chart




Incident Particles

Choose true π^+/μ^+ in MC or π^+/μ^+ -like PID in data with track-like beam PFParticle

MC: True particle corresponding to reconstructed beam PFParticle

• Significant cosmic + interaction background


Data: Large spike at ~200cm track length from broken tracks at APA3→2 transition



Beam Cuts

Use beam cuts to remove backgrounds from cosmics + upstream interactions

Cuts on difference in position/angle between incident beam track & TPC beam track




Using values from Owen's latest talk


End Position Cut

Cutting out tracks with end position > 226 cm into TPC

- Removes muon background
- Can later use these in a sideband analysis to constrain remaining muon background in APA 3
- ★ Changes for higher Beam Energy (to be studied)



Event Selection - a Flow Chart




Track/Shower Discrimination

Use 2D CNN (Aidan) to separate PFParticle daughters into tracks and showers

- Use 'forced' reco Track and Shower objects accordingly
 - See previous <u>talk</u> (p. 9,10)

For this study:

- >.35 \rightarrow Track
- $<.35 \rightarrow$ Shower




Distance to Vertex

Many track-like daughters at a far distance are from downstream interactions or cosmics \rightarrow Ignore

For pion vs. proton discrimination only look at daughters within 10cm

dR




π -- p Separation


Fit dE/dX vs. Residual Range to proton expectation

Any track has $\chi^2/dof > 50$ \rightarrow reject event

All tracks < 50 \rightarrow accept event



Event Selection - a Flow Chart




Distance to Vertex -- Showers

Too close to vertex:

- Shower-like daughters/grand daughters
- Missed vertex
- Too far from vertex:
 - Cosmics

Only consider showers within 2 cm and 100 cm




Number of Hits -- Showers

Similar to previous slide

High number of hits: Cosmics

Low number of hits: Backgrounds from interaction (i.e. (great-)grand-daughters)

Require shower candidates to have between 12 and 1000 hits





Event Selection - Performance




Event Selection Cut Flow MC & Data

Cuts:


- \rightarrow Start with incident $\pi^{*}\!/\mu^{*}$
- \rightarrow Beam PFP is track
- \rightarrow Beam position/angle cuts
- \rightarrow Track ends in APA3

Background includes elastic, "other process", and unmatched vertices → Unmatched can be from other particles

Signals are inelastic/mixed vertices



Event Selection Cut Flow MC & Data




Event Selection Purity and Efficiency

Events surviving the APA3 cut are "available" for the thin slice analysis

Efficiency relative to signal in APA3 bin

Data normalized to this bin

Selection	Efficiency	Purity
Abs + Cex*	70%	51%
Cex*	64%	49%
Abs	65%	45%



Separation between Abs & Cex* is promising

Next Steps

Reducing/Understanding Background

- Rate of selecting decaying/stopping Pion?
- Incident beam particle
 - Cherenkov inefficiencies unknown for now
- Try forced tracking reconstruction on primary beam particle
 - χ^2 --based PID of primary beam particle?
- Looking for input

Other topics

- Crossing Cosmics
- Good Reconstruction (see this <u>talk</u> on reconstruction failures)
- Does daughter reconstruction depend on position in TPC?
 - Similar to APA 3 cut




Some Event Displays for Illustration



Selected Absorption Candidate







Selected Charge Exchange Event



- 2 π^0 Event
 - One π^0 is heavily boosted
- Low Energy Showers are recognised as Daughters
- The big shower is not associated as a daughter of the Pion




Selected Charge Exchange Candidate



NOT Selected because of Beam Cut

- Otherwise a Pion Absorption Candidate
 - Has a proton from an upstream interaction
- Would not want to consider an event like that because of the upstream interaction → Energy estimation would be wrong





Thanks for listening!







Backup Slides




Daughter Calorimetry



Event Selection Cut Flow MC & Data





Shower deltaZ

