

<https://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/radio-school/2011/talks/Parkes-school-Fundamental-II.pdf>

## Parkes School - Fundamentals of Radio Astronomy II

Any antenna can be thought of as a transmitter as well as a receiver. The **directivity gain** (or **directivity function**) gives the angular distribution of power radiated and is defined as

$$D[\nu, \hat{n}] \equiv 4 \pi \frac{p_\nu(\hat{n})}{\int d^2 \hat{n} p_\nu(\hat{n})}$$

where  $p_\nu(\hat{n})$  is the power radiated per solid angle. The **directivity** is the maximum of this function

$$D[\nu] \equiv \max_{\hat{n}} D[\nu, \hat{n}].$$

The **beam solid angle** of an antenna (in steradians) is

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}[\nu] \equiv \frac{4\pi}{D[\nu]}.$$

Note that by construction the angle averaged directivity gain is unity:

$$\int \frac{d\hat{n}}{4\pi} D[\nu, \hat{n}] = 1$$

The previous formulae had to do with the radiated power which is related to the power density supplied to the transmitter by an efficiency factor  $\eta_R[\nu] \in [0, 1]$ .  $\eta_R[\nu]$  describes losses in the system, some of which may be intentional e.g. as a filter. The **power gain function** is given by

$$G[\nu, \hat{n}] \equiv \eta_R[\nu] D[\nu, \hat{n}].$$

Thinking of the antenna as a receiver, the power frequency density (per unit frequency) received from an unpolarized sky is

$$P_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \eta_R[\nu] \int d^2 \hat{n} A_{\text{eff}}[\hat{n}] I_\nu[\hat{n}]$$

where  $A_{\text{eff}}$  is the **effective area (reception pattern)** of the antenna.

Using the **Rayleigh-Jeans brightness temperature** pattern

$$T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}] \equiv \frac{\lambda^2}{2k_B} I_\nu[\hat{n}]$$

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant and  $\lambda \equiv c/\nu$  is the wavelength. Thus

$$P_\nu = \eta_R[\nu] \frac{k_B}{\lambda^2} \int d^2 \hat{n} A_{\text{eff}}[\nu, \hat{n}] T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}].$$

The effective area is related to the directivity gain by

$$A_{\text{eff}}[\nu, \hat{n}] = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} D[\nu, \hat{n}].$$

Thus one finds

$$P_\nu = \eta_R[\nu] \int \frac{d^2 \hat{n}}{4\pi} D[\nu, \hat{n}] k_B T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}].$$

### Auto-correlation Visibility

An auto-correlation **visibility** is a number  $V[\nu] = c[\nu] P_\nu$  where the proportionality constant,  $c[\nu]$ , defines a calibration.

### Temperature Calibration

An auto-correlation **visibility** is a number  $V[\nu] = k[\nu] P_\nu$  where the proportionality constant,  $k[\nu]$ , defines a calibration. A **calibrated visibility in temperature units**, which we denote by  $V_T$ , is defined by

$k[\nu] = k_T[\nu] \equiv \frac{1}{k_B \eta_R[\nu]}$  so that if illuminated by a uniform brightness

pattern  $T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}] = T$  will take the value  $V_T = T$ . With this calibration

$$V_T[\nu] = \int \frac{d^2 \hat{n}}{4\pi} D[\nu, \hat{n}] T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}] = \frac{1}{2k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{n}}{4\pi} D[\nu, \hat{n}] I_\nu[\hat{n}]$$

for an arbitrary unpolarized illumination pattern. In some cases it is impractical to empirically calibrate an antenna by illuminating with a uniform brightness pattern.

### Unpolarized Point Source

A unpolarized point source at position  $\hat{n}_\star$  has brightness pattern

$$I_\nu[\hat{n}] = f_\nu \delta^{(2)}[\hat{n}, \hat{n}_\star]$$

where  $f_\nu$  is its flux density. Its contribution to the visibility is

$$V_T^\star[\nu] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{f_\nu}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu, \hat{n}_\star]$$

### Antenna with a Beam Center

If the directive gain is maximized at all frequencies in the same direction,  $\hat{n}_{\text{bc}}$ , which we call the **beam center**. Even without a detailed knowledge of the beam pattern one can often determine the beam center from the symmetry of the antenna. If there is a beam center then  $D[\nu] \equiv D[\nu, \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}]$ . For an antenna with a beam center,  $\hat{n}_{\text{bc}}$ , which is the direction in which the antenna is **pointed**, especially if the antenna is steerable.

If one points an antenna toward a point source,  $\hat{n}_\star = \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}$ , then

$$V_T^\star[\nu] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{f_\nu}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f_\nu}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\Omega_{\text{eff}}[\nu]}.$$

### Flux Calibration

One often calibrates a receiver with a beam center by pointing it at a source with a known flux density  $f_\nu^\star$  that is bright enough to dominate all other illumination and then measuring the value of  $P_\nu$  which we denote by  $P_\nu^\star$ . This is given by

$$P_\nu^\star = \frac{1}{8\pi} \eta_R[\nu] \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu] f_\nu^\star.$$

In this situation one knows  $f_\nu^\star$  and measures  $P_\nu^\star$ . If for other

observations one defines the **calibrated visibility in flux units** by

$$V_F[\nu] = \frac{P_\nu}{P_\nu^\star} f_\nu^\star$$

one is using

$$k[\nu] = k_F[\nu] \equiv \frac{1}{\eta_R[\nu]} \frac{8\pi}{D[\nu]} \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2 = \frac{2}{\eta_R[\nu]} \frac{1}{\Omega_{\text{eff}}[\nu]} \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2$$

and

$$V_F[\nu] = 2 \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2 \int d^2 \hat{n} \frac{D[\nu, \hat{n}]}{D[\nu]} k_B T_{\text{RJ}}[\nu, \hat{n}] = \int d^2 \hat{n} \frac{D[\nu, \hat{n}]}{D[\nu]} I_\nu[\hat{n}]$$

so the contribution of a point source is

$$V_T^\star[\nu] = \frac{D[\nu, \hat{n}_\star]}{D[\nu, \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}]} f_\nu.$$

Note if one doesn't know the  $D[\nu, \hat{n}]$  one cannot determine  $f_\nu$  from  $V_T^\star[\nu]$  unless  $\hat{n}_\star = \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}$ . One can map out the steerable pattern by pointing at multiple point sources if one has a steerable telescope and the beam is not much effected by pointing in different directions, which is not always the case.

## Cas A calibration

We start with raw visibilities (output of the correlator)  $V_{ij}^{\text{raw}}[\nu]$ . When we point the beam centers at Cas A we measure  $V_{ij}^{\text{raw, CasA}}[\nu]$  while when we point away from Cas A we obtain a much smaller number  $V_{ij}^{\text{raw, 0}}[\nu]$  which is mostly thermal noise from the LNA. Since we know the flux density of Cas A, which is  $f_\nu^{\text{CasA}}$ , we define the visibilities in flux density units as

$$V_{ij}^F[\nu] \equiv f_\nu^{\text{CasA}} \frac{V_{ij}^{\text{raw}}[\nu]}{V_{ij}^{\text{raw, CasA}}[\nu] - V_{ij}^{\text{raw, 0}}[\nu]}$$

so the contribution to the visibility of Cas A when pointed at Cas A is

$$V_{ij}^F, \text{CasA}[\nu] = f_\nu^{\text{CasA}}.$$

John has provided us the visibilities calibrated in flux units.

In temperature units the contribution to the visibility of Cas A when pointed at Cas A should be

$$V_{ij}^T, \text{CasA}[\nu] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{f_\nu^{\text{CasA}}}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu]$$

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant and  $D[\nu]$  is the directivity of the beam. The conversion factor from flux density units to temperature units is

$$\frac{V_{ij}^T, \text{CasA}[\nu]}{V_{ij}^F, \text{CasA}[\nu]} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu]$$

so more generally

$$V_{ij}^T[\nu] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 D[\nu] V_{ij}^F[\nu]$$

The directivity of an azimuthally symmetric beam centered at  $\hat{n}_{\text{bc}}$  is

$$D[\nu] \equiv \frac{4\pi B[\nu, \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}]}{\int d^2 \hat{n} B[\nu, \hat{n}]}$$

For a Gaussian beam

$$B[\nu, \hat{n}] \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\angle[\hat{n}, \hat{n}_{\text{bc}}]}{\sigma[\nu]}\right)^2}$$

so in the small angle approximation

$$D[\nu] = \frac{4\pi}{2\pi \int_0^\infty d\theta \theta e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sigma[\nu]}\right)^2}} = \frac{2}{\sigma[\nu]^2}$$

(where  $\sigma[\nu]^2$  is in radians). Thus for small angle Gaussian beams

$$V_{ij}^T[\nu] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{c}{\nu \sigma[\nu]}\right)^2 V_{ij}^F[\nu].$$

The FWHM of a Gaussian beam is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\text{FWHM}[\nu]/2}{\sigma[\nu]}\right)^2}$$

or

$$\text{FWHM}[\nu] = 2 \sqrt{2 \ln[2]} \sigma[\nu]$$

so

$$D[\nu] = \frac{16 \ln[2]}{\sigma[\nu]^2} = \frac{16 \ln[2]^2}{\text{FWHM}[\nu]^2}$$

and

$$V_{ij}^T[\nu] = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{c \sqrt{\ln[2]}}{\nu \text{FWHM}[\nu]}\right)^2 V_{ij}^F[\nu]$$

where  $\text{FWHM}[\nu]$  is in radians.

For the Tianlai dishes

$$\text{FWHM}[\nu] \approx 4.36^\circ (750 \text{ MHz} / \nu)$$

so

$$D[\nu] = \frac{16 \ln[2]}{\left(\frac{4.36}{180}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\nu}{750 \text{ MHz}}\right)^2 = 1915.22 \left(\frac{\nu}{750 \text{ MHz}}\right)^2$$

and

$$V_{ij}^T[\nu] = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{c \sqrt{\ln[2]}}{4.36 \frac{\pi}{180} 750 \text{ MHz}}\right)^2 V_{ij}^F[\nu] = 8.81888 \frac{\text{mK}}{\text{Jy}} V_{ij}^F[\nu].$$

The  $\frac{\pi}{180}$  converts from degrees to radians. There is no frequency dependence in this conversion factor.

To convert to milliKelvin units I multiply the numbers in Johns calibrated files by 8.81888. For example the mean  $V_{2v, 2v}^T[\nu]$  during the 9 nights is 114442 mK = 114.442 K.

## Mathematica Computation

```
In[ ]:= 
$$\frac{16 \text{Log}[2]}{\left(4.36 \frac{\pi}{180}\right)^2}$$

```

```
Out[ ]:= 1915.22
```

```
In[ ]:= 
$$\text{UnitConvert}\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 k} \left(\frac{1 c \sqrt{\text{Log}[2]}}{4.36 \frac{\pi}{180} 750 \text{ MHz}}\right)^2, 1 \text{ mK} / 1 \text{ Jy}\right]$$

```

```
Out[ ]:= 8.81888 mK / Jy
```