## BSM Searches at the Tevatron

Ray Culbertson, FNAL rlc@fnal.gov

## Search for Pair Production of Dijet Resonances



Phys Rev. Lett. 111, 031802 (2013)

### (non) Resonant Production

- 6.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- non-resonant production
   o Coloron pairs
   o RPV stop →jj









# Trigger 3 jets, E<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV Σ Et>130 GeV

- Selection
   JETCLU, cone 0.4
   4 jets, E<sub>T</sub> > 15 GeV
   |η| < 2.4</li>
- Efficiencies • PYTHIA + GEANT



9/19/13



### **Mass Reconstruction**

- Use 4 leading jets
- Select combinations with min  $|M_{Y1}-M_{Y2}|$
- $M_{Y1}$  and  $M_{Y2}$  must be within 50%,  $\cos\theta^* < 0.9$
- $YY \rightarrow (jj)(jj)$ , use average of  $M_{Y1}$  and  $M_{Y2}$
- X $\rightarrow$  YY $\rightarrow$ (jj)(jj), use 4j mass



9/19/13

### **Background Mass Fits**

- Fit mass spectra to nominal shapes, developed on MC
- 3 segments in 3 mass regions
- systematics from fitting residuals in control regions





#### • 4-jet mass in resonant production



ISMD 2013

9/19/13

#### **Non-resonant Limits**



 Exclude 50<M(Y)<100 GeV for Coloron and 50<M(Y)<125 GeV for RPV stop</li>
 Low mass limits are unique to the Tevatron



• Generic cross section limits



Exclude axi-gluon [150,400] for M(σ) = [50, M(A)/2]
 this is some space of interest for CDF tt A<sub>FB</sub> excess,
 but can't exclude the axi-gluon as an explanation



## Update on Resonances in W+2 jets

Update of Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 171801 (2011)



#### Dijet mass for W+2jets, 2011, 4.3fb<sup>-1</sup>



"not described by current theoretical predictions within the statistical and systematic uncertainties" DØ and LHC did not confirm...

## Reproduced in the Full Dataset

• Full Dataset • e's and µ's  $\circ P_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$  $\circ$   $|\eta| < 1$  (central) • MET>25,  $\circ M_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ • 2 jets  $\circ E_{T} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ • |η| < 2.4

• Additional selection •  $P_T(j1+j2)>40 \text{ GeV}$ •  $|\Delta\eta (j1,j2)| < 2.5$ •  $\Delta\phi(\text{MET},j1)>0.4$ 



CDF Run II Preliminary, L = 8.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- Similar excess persists
- Recently, 3 new effects discovered...



Small ∆R not modeled, but not needed, cut it out – small improvement







9/19/13

CDF Run II Preliminary, L = 8.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>



ISMD 2013

## **2) Jet Corrections for q/g**

• Jet corrections convert observed tower energy to true hadron energy

- validated on photon-jet balancing, 80% quarks
- doesn't quite work for Z-jet balancing, 60% gluons



## **Effect of JES change**

#### Corrections to MC samples jet energy, based on q/g truth: •quark-jets: (+1.4 +/- 2.7)% gluon-jets: (-7.9 +/- 4.4)%



Muon sample now well described, electron sample still not quite so well

9/19/13

## 3) Electron "QCD" Fakes Effect

Using data electron candidates with extra energy to represent jets that fake electrons had two problems • extra/missing energy skews the kinematics • the trigger also feels differences





## SM Higgs Spin

## **Higgs Spin Possibilities**

• SM predicts J<sup>P</sup>=0<sup>+</sup> • Other options are: ∘ 0<sup>-</sup> pseudoscalar • 2<sup>+</sup> graviton - like • Spin 1 ruled out by LHC observation in decay to dibosons (Landau Yang Theorem) • LHC excludes 2+ at 99.9% CL (Atlas) 0- at 99.8% CL (CMS) • But is the Tevatron data in  $b\bar{b}$ consistent??





LHC uses decay product and angular information in bosonic decays (mostly gg + VBF production modes)
In associated production at Tevatron, production processes are different depending on J<sup>P</sup> assignment °0<sup>+</sup>, S-wave; cross section ~β near threshold °0<sup>-</sup>, P-wave; cross section ~β<sup>3</sup> near threshold β=2p/√s
So at the Tevatron the kinematic differences will come from different behaviors at the production threshold

Miller, Choi, Eberle, Muhlleitner, and Zerwas, PLB **505**, 149 (2001) Ellis, Hwang, Sanz, You, JHEP **1211**, 134 (2012)

## **Simulation of Sensitivity**

#### • MADGRAPH+PYTHIA • Use Graviton for J=2 • PYTHIA + GEANT,



 $J^{P} = 2^{+}$ 1000 600 800 1200 V+X Mass, ZH→llbb

 $+ J^{P} = 0^{+}$  $+ J^P = 0^{\overline{}}$ 

9/19/13



**ISMD 2013** 

0

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

## **Signal Discriminants**

Use known mass to improve sensitivity
Divide kinematic distributions into high and low S/N, sensitivity regions and treat them statistically separately
Tightest B-tag channels:



**ISMD 2013** 

9/19/13

## **Spin Analysis Results**



Sensitivity to 2<sup>+</sup> is similar to LHC single channel
Results for 0<sup>-</sup> will be available very soon!



## Update of Dimuon Charge Asymmetry

Update of Phys Rev. D 84, 062007 (2013)

## **Dimuon Asymmetry**

- Measures effects related to CP violation in B<sub>d</sub> and B<sub>s</sub> mixing • published several times in Run II, current result using 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> shows a  $3.9\sigma$  anomaly • Ongoing work: • bring data up to full dataset update in methodology preview of checks
  - preview of sensitivity
- Final 10 fb-1 results are in review, will be released in about two weeks!



• Two samples, • inclusive low- $P_T$  muons: ( $P_T$ >4.2 or  $P_2$ >5.2) and P<sub>T</sub><25GeV • inclusive low- $P_T$  di-muons: M( $\mu \mu$ ) >2.8 GeV •  $2.2 \times 10^9 \mu$ ;  $22 \times 10^6 \mu^+\mu^-$ ;  $6 \times 10^6 \mu^\pm \mu^\pm$ • Bin data in  $P_{T}$ ,  $\eta$ , and impact parameter • Examples: "right-sign"  $\circ b \rightarrow B^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-} X$  $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{B^0} \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow \mu^- X$ "wrong-sign  $\circ \overline{b} \to B^+ \to \mu^+ X$ "right-sign"  $b \rightarrow B^{0} \rightarrow \overline{B^{0}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} X$  "wrong-sign" "wrong-sign"  $\circ b \rightarrow c \rightarrow \mu^+$ 



 inclusive muon sample should show little asymmetry over background – it checks background a = (n<sup>+</sup>-n<sup>-</sup>)/(n<sup>+</sup>+n<sup>-</sup>), with corrections



### Previous and Projected Results

Results from previous analysis, 9fb<sup>-1</sup>, 3.9σ from SM red bands from other work: B<sub>d</sub>→Dµ, B<sub>S</sub>→D<sub>S</sub> µ
With 10fb<sup>-1</sup> improvements, ellipse is 44% smaller!





9/19/13

ISMD 2013

27



G. Borissov & B. Hoeneisen Phys. Rev. D 87, 074020 (2013)

Previous analyses considered all the asymmetry above background to be from CP violation in mixing
Recent work proposed that interference from mixing and non-mixing states can also contribute

$$b \longrightarrow B^0 \xrightarrow{} \overline{B^0} D^+D$$

CP-even states can Contribute to wrong –sign

• With the new binning, the 10fb<sup>-1</sup> analysis can measure the contribution!

 $A^{b}_{CP}(bins) = C_{d}(bins) \mathbf{a}^{d}_{sl} + C_{s}(bins) \mathbf{a}^{s}_{sl} + C_{\delta}(bins) \Delta \Gamma_{d} / \Gamma_{d}$ 

• Is the anomaly resolved? Will  $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$  be measured? Stay Tuned - 2 weeks!?

#### Last Slide

The LHC energy frontier is not the whole story - the Tevatron continues to make a significant contribution to BSM!

- phase space difficult to reach at LHC
- complementary to LHC
- finalizing unanswered questions
- topics not covered yet

And this doesn't count the many unique and valuable legacy measurements that can still be performed with the Tevatron data...

## Z Decays to Photons and Neutral Pions

Ζ

### Rare and Forbidden Z decays

Small in the SM, Similar to  $W^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \gamma$ 



Tests:

- Pion form factor
- Physics beyond the SM...





Not allowed in SM - Landau-Yang theorem, Bose-Einstein statistics Tests:

- Commutativity of gauge theory
- Physics beyond the SM...



#### • Final result reported as BR

CDF Run II Pr		$\int {\cal L} = 10.0~{ m fb}^{-1}$					
	95% C.L. Limits					▶ 3.1 times smaller	
Signal	Expected $(\times 10^{-5})$					Observed	
Process	$-2\sigma$	$-1\sigma$	Median	$+1\sigma$	$+2\sigma$	$(\times 10^{-5})$	than world's best
$Br(Z \to \gamma \gamma)$	0.88	1.19	1.66	2.34	3.20	1.66	$\sim 2$ Line of an all of
${ m Br}(Z o\pi^0\gamma)$	1.21	1.63	2.28	3.21	4.37	2.28	- 2.3 times smaller
${ m Br}(Z  o \pi^0 \pi^0)$	0.93	1.23	1.72	2.41	3.29	1.73	than world's hest
		1000					that work 5 Dest
							• first reported

•SM expectations for BR( $Z \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ):  $10^{-9} - 10^{-12}$