

Boosted Heavy Particles and Jet Substructure

David Wardrope *on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration*

ISMD2013 16th September 2013, Chicago, USA

\triangle U C

Introduction

At LHC, √s >> electroweak scale Massive particles like top, W, Z and Higgs are often produced with significant boost

E.g. at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, there are 1000 ttbar/fb⁻¹ with $p_T^+ > 300$ GeV

Decay products are Lorentz-boosted in the same direction

Separation $\Delta R \approx 2m/p_T$

Hadronic decays cannot be reconstructed using separate jets, since these begin to merge

⇒use substructure techniques to look inside the merged jet and reconstruct the object of interest

Important to explore this kinematic regime

Extend understanding of the Standard Model Search for new physics

ATLAS Detector

ATLAS is well suited to reconstructing boosted heavy particles using jet substructure techniques

Excellent tracking

Highly granular, hermetic calorimeter covering $|\eta| < 4.9$ Good jet energy resolution: σ /E \approx 50%/ \sqrt{E} + 3% (| η | < 3.2) Good longitudinal containment: 9.7 interaction lengths

ATLAS Calorimeter

High granularity

Electromagnetic calorimeter (EMCAL): $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.025 \times 0.025$ Hadronic calorimeter (HCAL): $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.1 \times 0.1$

Segmentation in depth to track shower development 3 layers for EMCAL and HCAL Improves energy resolution

Energy of hadrons is reconstructed by forming 3D topological clusters of energy

Jet Reconstruction

Topological energy clusters are combined into jets, using the generalized distance measure:

$$
d_{ij} = \min(p_{ti}^{2p}, p_{tj}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \quad \Delta R_{ij}^2 = (y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2
$$

The definition of p leads to the three algorithms that are commonly used in ATLAS

- $p = 1: k_T$
- p = 0: Cambridge-Aachen
- $p = -1$: anti- k_T

Large R parameters of ~1 are used to reconstruct heavy boosted objects

Jet Substructure

Jets containing the decay products of a massive particle will be distinct from those typically caused by a light parton

Significant jet mass Hard 2- or 3-body substructure

These differences may be obscured by

QCD radiation Pile-up and Underlying event

Many techniques exist to "tag" and "groom" jets

identify and retain hard substructure reduce impact of soft QCD radiation

top jet

ATLAS Experimental Programme

Measure the jets and their substructure observables

- Required extensive work to calibrate observables and to estimate uncertainties
- Validation of Monte Carlo simulation

Test tagging and grooming techniques in data

- Effective with finite resolution, pile-up etc.? Understand their relative performance and correlations
- Use as tools for physics measurements Standard Model measurements Searches for new physics

 \blacksquare \blacksquare

Jet Mass

arXiv:1306.4945 and https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/JetEtmissApproved2013Jms

Jet mass calibration validated using

Comparison to track-jets reconstructed in inner detector Hadronic W bosons selected from semi-leptonic ttbar events

Mass scale uncertainties

 $<$ 3% in 2011 for hadronic W bosons ($p_T > 200$ GeV)

 $<$ 5% in 2012 for hadronic top-jet (p_T > 500 GeV)

Precision physics possible with large-R jets!

 \triangleq U (*ATLAS-CONF-2013-085*

Pile-Up

High instantaneous luminosity causes many interactions per bunch crossing

These pile-up interactions produce many low p_T particles leading to a substantial background energy density, ρ Large-R jets have a large "catchment" area [⇒]suffer from large modifications of kinematics and substructure observables 16th September 2013 **David Wardrope** 9

Mitigating the Effects of Pile-Up: Grooming

Grooming reduces the effective jet area, rejecting soft energy deposits This helps to uncover any hard substructure in the jet

16th September 2013 **David Wardrope** 16th September 2013

Another Approach: Pile-Up Subtraction *ATLAS-CONF-2013-085, based on arXiv:1211.2811*

Many fake particles – "ghosts" – with very low $momenta$, g_T , are clustered into each jet These mimic soft pile-up particles Individual ghost area is A_{α} Sensitivity to pile-up of a given substructure variable, $V(\rho, g_T)$ is estimated by varying the energy of these ghosts by infinitesimal amount, δ $V(\rho$, $g_T + \delta$. A_{α}) = $V(\rho + \delta)$, g_T) Correction is then $V_{corr} = V(\rho = 0, g_T = 0) = V(\rho = \rho_0, g_T = -\rho_0.A_q)$ V_{corr} is evaluated using a Taylor expansion This method can be used for many jet shapes and

substructure observables

Pile-Up Subtraction for Substructure

Pile-up subtraction effectively removes pile-up effects from substructure observables $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ = the k_T distance between the two final clusters in jet

Boosted Top

Introduction to ttbar Resonance Searches

Many models of new physics predict heavy resonances with large couplings to top quarks

These heavy resonances will decay to boosted tops

ATLAS has searched for two benchmark models

Z': predicted by some leptophobic topcolour models

colour singlet

narrow resonance: Γ/m = 1.2%

 g_{kk} boson: predicted by Randall-Sundrum models

colour octet

broad resonance: Γ/m = 15.3%

ATLAS have tested several algorithms to identify boosted tops

HEPTopTagger, Top Template Tagger Substructure variable cuts: k_T splitting scales, n-subjettiness, mass

Searches performed in fully- and semi-leptonic channels Fully-hadronic with $\int L dt = 4.7$ fb⁻¹ ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV) Semi-leptonic with $\int L dt = 14.3$ fb⁻¹ ($\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV) and $\int L dt = 4.7$ fb⁻¹ ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV)

JHEP01(2013)116, arXiv:1305.2756, ATLAS-CONF-2013-052, ATLAS-CONF-2013-084

k_r Splitting Scale and N-Subjettiness

N-subjettiness, τ_N , evaluates how well the jet can be described as containing $\leq N$ subjets Ratios τ_{NM} = τ_N/τ_M give additional rejection power vs light parton jets

Comparison of Tagging Techniques

Optimum choice is analysis dependent

HTT, $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ and top template tagger have been used so far

16th September 2013 **David Wardrope** 16th September 2013

Search in Semi-Leptonic Channel

Dataset: $\int L dt = 14.3$ fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ **TeV**

 \geq 1 b-tagged R = 0.4 jet

Selection for Leptonic top decay

W candidate: lepton + ME_T $R=0.4$ jet, ΔR (jet, lepton) < 1.5 Selection for hadronic top decay

> Trimmed R=1.0 jet, p_T > 300 GeV, m > 100 GeV $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ > 40 GeV

 $\Delta \Phi$ (lepton, had. top) > 2.3 To extend searches to lower resonance masses, a complementary resolved jet is used

Search in Semi-Leptonic Channel

No significant excess was seen, so 95% C.L. limits are set

0.5 – 1.8 TeV for narrow, Z'-like resonances

 $0.5 - 2.0$ TeV for broad, g_{kk} -like resonances

Semi-leptonic search with ∫Ldt = 4.7 fb-1 at √s = 7 TeV (*arXiv: 1305.2756*) set similar limits

A complementary search for fully hadronic ttbar resonances (*JHEP01(2013)116*) saw no excess either

Conclusions

High LHC collision energy means heavy particles are often highly boosted

Within the Standard Model and in new physics models

Specialized substructure techniques can be used to reconstruct these highly boosted particles

ATLAS has a comprehensive programme

To calibrate and understand substructure observables To meet experimental challenges such as high pile-up To measure Standard Model processes with boosted particles To search for new physics with boosted particles

Many interesting studies: these slides are only a selection Lots of new results coming soon

Some ATLAS Papers on Substructure and Boosted Heavy Particles

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL

16th September 2013 **21** David Wardrope 2013

ATLAS Coordinate System

Right-handed system with x-axis pointing to the LHC centre and y-axis pointing upwards Polar angle, θ , is measured w.r.t. LHC beamline Azimuthal angle, ϕ , is measured w.r.t. x-axis Rapidity $y = 0.5 \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$ Pseudorapidity, η is approximation of rapidity, y, in high energy limit η = -ln tan(θ /2)

 $p_T = p \sin \theta$, $E_T = E \sin \theta$

Topoclustering

Different Jet Clustering Algorithms G. Salam

arXiv:0906.1833v2 [hep-ph]

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/JetEtmissApproved2013Jms T = *dia a dia a dia dia 4000 metatra.*
 Tab $\frac{1}{2}$ *dp*lead *dp*lead

Mass Calibration Validation using Track-Jets

Jet mass scale validated by comparing to jets reconstructed from tracks
uncorrelated systematics: tracker vs calorimeter mass scare validated by companing to jets rec
uncorrelated systematics: tracker vs calorimeter pile-up reduced by using only tracks from hard scatter vertex $\frac{1}{2}$ nass scale valida
Uncorrelated syste et mass scale validated by comparing to

uncorrelated systematics: tracker vs cale

pile-up reduced by using only tracks from *i* (14) **NSS Calibration **
mass scale validated by c
uncorrelated systematics: tr *d*
22 december - Maria Leonard and Street and le-up reduced by using der vs c **cted**

Mitigating the Effects of Pile-Up: Grooming

Pile-up subtraction effectively removes pile-up effects from substructure observables $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ = the k_T distance between the two final clusters in jet

 \triangle U \in I

ATLAS-CONF-2013-085

 $\begin{picture}(20,20) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\line(1$

Comparison of Trimming and Subtraction

Both methods perform well

Different advantages/disadvantages

Jet substructure techniques possible in a high pile-up environment

Bodes well for LHC Run 2 and beyond

Jet Mass

Decay product separation $\Delta R \approx 2m/p_T$ For p_T > 350 GeV, clear top peak in mass spectrum From events where all top decay products are contained W peak from events where b is not contained within R=1.0 jet For p_T > 500 GeV, top decay products are contained more often W peak further suppressed as $R = 0.3$ subjets merge

Dealing with HL-LHC Pile-Up

Planned upgrades of the LHC in the 2020s will see the luminosity increase to $\mathsf{L} = 5 \times 10^{34} \, \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ MC studies indicate that large-R jets and substructure techniques can be used in this environment

Top Template Tagger

Compares energy flow in jet to 300k simulated top templates

jets with an overlap OV_3 > 0.7 are considered

HEPTopTagger

Divides C-A R=1.5 jets into subjets with small R-parameter Filters out soft contributions Tests all combinations of three subjets for compatibility with hadronic top quark

Mini-Isolation

Leptonic decay products of top quark boosted too Leads to a loss in isolation efficiency if fixed cone used

Define lepton p_T dependent isolation cone to maintain efficiency

Lepton Mini-Isolation Performance

QCD background false-identification rate [%] Reducing isolation cone size improves signal efficiency

top jet

Jet mass [GeV]

arXiv:1306.4945

Jet Substructure

Jets containing the decay products of a massive particle will be distinct from those typically caused by a light parton light parton jet Significant jet mass Hard 2- or 3-body substructure These differences may be obscured by QCD radiation pile-up and underlying event Many techniques exist to "tag" and "groom" jets, aiming to Arbitrary units $\frac{16}{5}$ 0.14 *ATLAS* Simulation Identify and retain hard substructure C/A LCW jets with R=1.2, 600 $\leq p_T^{\text{jet}} < 800$ GeV 0.12 No jet grooming applied Z→ qq Reduce impact of soft QCD radiation Filtered (μ_{frac} =0.67) Z \rightarrow q \overline{q} 0.1 0.08 k_t _{R=R_{sub}} 0.06 69 0.04 0.02 0 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 Initial jet **16th September 2014** Original September 2014 Trimmed jet that is 150 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 september 2014