

ISMD 2013

Michael Stoebe on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

# Hadronic final states in high-pT QCD with the ATLAS detector



**McGill University** 



# **Outline**



- Introduction
- Inclusive Jet Cross Section
- **Dijet Cross Section**
- Jet Flavour in Dijet Events
- Multi Jet Cross Section Ratio
- α<sub>s</sub> Measurement
- Summary and Conclusion



**McGill University** 



#### The ATLAS detector

pp LHC runs:

- 2010,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV,  $L_{INT} = 39$ pb<sup>-1</sup>
- 2011,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (2.76TeV),  $L_{INT} = 4.6$ fb<sup>-1</sup> (0.2pb<sup>-1</sup>)<br>2012,  $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV,  $L_{INT} = 23$ fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $L_{INT} = 23fb^{-1}$





- Tracking |η| < 2.5
- Calorimeter |η| < 4.9
	- Presampler <1.8
	- Lead/LAr EM Calo <3.2
	- Steel/scintillator Tile Calo <1.7
	- Copper/LAr and Tungsten/LAr elements for forward coverage
- Muon spectrometer |η| < 2.7



#### Jet Reconstruction

- Calorimeter jets are built using three dimensional topological clusters
- Correct for calorimeter non-compensation and pile-up effects
- Constant improvement of jet algorithm, modeling and detector understanding allows for robust analysis with different pile-up conditions (2011 up to 20 interactions per crossing)

q,g

• Jet algorithm used is anti- $k_t$  with distance Detector parameter R=0.4 and/or 0.6 Particle level Parton level Energy deposits **Hadrons** 



#### Inclusive Jet Cross Section *Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 014022*

 $R = 0.4$  and  $R = 0.6$ 

7 TeV 2010 measurement Some differences at high rapidity Comparison to NLO but still within uncertainties  $\frac{10^{24}}{90}$ <br> $\frac{10^{21}}{24}$ <br> $\frac{10^{18}}{9}$ <br> $\frac{10^{15}}{9}$  $|y|$  < 0.3 ( $\times$  10<sup>12</sup>) anti-k, jets, R=0.6  $10^{21}$  $0.3 \le |y| < 0.8 \ ( \times 10^9)$ †∏ 1.5 dt=37 pb<sup>-1</sup>,  $\sqrt{s}$ =7 TeV  $1.5$ ATLAS ATLAS  $L$  dt=37  $pb^{-1}$  $\le |y| < 1.2 \ ( \times 10^6)$  $.2 \le |y| < 2.1 \; (\times 10^3)$ CT10  $\sqrt{s}$ =7 TeV 1≤  $|y|$  < 2.8 ( $\times$  10<sup>0</sup>) anti-k, jets, R=0.6  $8 \le |y| < 3.6 \ ( \times 10^{-7})$  $\overline{\xi}$  0.5  $6 \le |y| < 4.4 \ ( \times 10^{-6} )$ ᅧ  $|y| < 0.3$  $2.1 \le |y| < 2.8$ 0.5 Data with<br>statistical error  $rac{9.8}{2}$ <br> $rac{1.5}{2}$  $\frac{9}{6}$  10<sup>12</sup><br> $\frac{1}{6}$  10<sup>9</sup> Systematic uncertainties  $0.5<sub>1</sub>$ NLOJET++  $(\mu = p_{+}^{max}) \times$  $2.8 \le |y| < 3.6$  $0.3 \le |y| < 0.8$  $0.5$  $10<sup>6</sup>$ Non-pert. corr  $1.5$  $1.5$  $\ggg$  ст10  $10<sup>3</sup>$  $\mathbf{1}$ <u> Albanya yang bermula pada 1980 dan pada</u>  $\equiv$  MSTW 2008  $3.6 \le |y| < 4.4$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  $0.8 \le |y| < 1.2$  $0.5$  $1.5$  $10^2$  2×10<sup>2</sup> 20 30 10 $p_{_{\rm T}}$ [GeV]  $10^{-3}$ III NNPDF 2.1 Systematic uncertainties **PA**  $10^{-6}$ NLOJET++  $\frac{1}{2}$  HERAPDF 1.5  $(CT10, \mu = p_{+}^{max}) \times$ **ATLAS**  $0.5<sup>1</sup>$  $1.2 \le |y| < 2.1$  $10^{-9}$ Non-pert. corr.  $10^2$  2×10<sup>2</sup>  $p_{_{\rm T}}^{\rm 10^3}$  [GeV] 20 30  $10^2$  2×10<sup>2</sup>  $10^3$ 20 30  $p_{\text{T}}$ <sup>[</sup>GeV]



### Inclusive Jet Cross Section Ratio *EPJC (2013) 73 2509*

 $R = 0.4$  and  $R = 0.6$ 



Ratio of 2.76 and 7 TeV reduces systematic uncertainties significantly









# Dijet Cross Section **ATLAS-CONF-2012-021**

#### $R = 0.4$  and  $R = 0.6$



**16.09.13 By a straight and straight a** 



# Jet Flavour in Dijet Events *Eur. Phys. J. C (2013) 73:2301*

 $R = 0.4$ 





# Jet Flavour in Dijet Events *Eur. Phys. J. C (2013) 73:2301*

 $R = 0.4$ 





 $A_b > 0$ : more subleading b-jets in the dijet system  $A_b$  = 0 balance between leading and sub-leading  $A<sub>b</sub>$  < 0 more leading than sub-leading b-jets in the dijet system

A greater number of sub-leading b-jets can be explained by:

- Semileptonic decays  $\rightarrow$  neutrinos
- Jet Fragmentation (e.g. gluon splitting) can lead to fat jets and parts of the energy can lay outside the jet volume

Powheg (NLO) is able to describe the asymmetry seen in data



## Multi Jet Cross Section Ratio **ATLAS-CONF-2013-041**

 $R = 0.6$ 



7TeV 2010 measuerment  $\sum_{i}^{N_{\rm jet}} \frac{d\sigma_{N_{\rm jet}\geq 3}}{dp_{\rm T,i}} \bigg| \sum_{i}^{N_{\rm jet}} \frac{d\sigma_{N_{\rm jet}\geq 2}}{dp_{\rm T,i}} \quad \sim f\left(\alpha_s\right)^2$  $N_{3/2}(p_{\rm T}^{\rm (all\,jets)}) =$ 

Beside the Benchmark  $R_{3/2}$  measurement ATLAS also explores  $N_{3/2}$  which turns out to have a smaller dependency of scale choice and theoretical prediction



#### αs Measurement **ATLAS-CONF-2013-041**

 $R = 0.6$ 





- Measurements exhibit similar uncertainties to the theoretical predictions
	- Close interaction with theorist to improve both
- Fundamental measurements are probing the SM to unprecedented precision
	- Help improving simulations and detector understanding
- Phase space continues to expand (timescales of ~1year) – Yet another example of the great success of ATLAS and the LHC



### Multi Jet Cross Section Ratio





$$
N_{3/2}(p_{\rm T}^{\rm (all\, jets)}) = \sum_{i}^{N_{\rm jet}} \frac{d\sigma_{N_{\rm jet} \geq 3}}{dp_{\rm T,i}} \left( \sum_{i}^{N_{\rm jet}} \frac{d\sigma_{N_{\rm jet} \geq 2}}{dp_{\rm T,i}} - \sum_{i} f(\alpha_s) \right)
$$





7 TeV 2011 measurement Comparison to NLO and different PDF

Good agreement between data and NLO prediction

The disagreement could be totally due to different proportions of fragmentation in theory and data



#### JES uncertainty

