#### Recent Heavy Ion Results with the CMS Detector Hard and EM Probes

Jet 1, pt: 70.0 GeV

Yen-Jie Lee (MIT)

for the CMS Collaboration

XLIII International Symposium on MultiParticle Dynamics

> Chicago, USA 19 September, 2013



Yen-Jie Lee (MIT)



#### Probe the medium

#### Use the hard probes produced with the collisions







#### Heavy Ion Collision Recorded by the CMS Detector



#### CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN

Data recorded: 2010-Nov-14 18:37:44.420271 GMT(19:37:44 CEST) Run / Event: 151076/1405388







#### How Do We Extract Medium Effects?



$$R_{AA} = \frac{\sigma_{pp}^{inel}}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{d^2 N_{AA} / dp_T d\eta}{d^2 \sigma_{pp} / dp_T d\eta} \leftarrow \frac{PbPb \text{ measurements}}{pp \text{ reference}}$$

Number of nucleon-nucleon collisions per event





## (Non-) Suppression of Colorless Probes





## Track and secondary $J/\psi$ (from b-quark)







Can we collect the radiated energy back by jet reconstruction algorithm?



b-quarks (0-100%) (via secondary J/ψ)

60

50

0.2

٥Ľ

10

20

30

|y|<2.4

90

p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV/c]

10

80

70



## Inclusive jet R<sub>AA</sub>



## Photon-Jet in PbPb Collisions







## γ+Jet: u,d Quark "Absolute Energy Loss"





## γ+Jet Azimuthal Angular Correlation

The first photon-jet correlation measurement in heavy ion collisions







#### Jet Shape and Fragmentation Function



Large parton energy loss (O(10GeV)) in the medium, out of jet cone  $\rightarrow$  What about jet structure?



#### Jet shape:

shape of the jet as a function of radius (r)

$$\rho(r) = \frac{1}{f_{ch}} \frac{1}{\delta r} \frac{1}{N_{jet}} \sum_{jets} \frac{p_{T}(r - \delta r/2, r + \delta r/2)}{p_{T}^{jet}}$$
$$f_{ch} = \frac{1}{N_{jet}} \sum_{jets} \frac{p_{T}(0, R)}{p_{T}^{jet}}$$

Tracks

Anti- $k_T R=0.3$ Jet  $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV/c}$ Jet  $|\eta| < 2$ Track  $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV/c}$ 

Jet fragmentation function: how transverse momentum is distributed inside the jet cone

$$\xi = \ln \frac{1}{z}$$
;  $z = \frac{p_{\parallel}^{\text{track}}}{p^{\text{jet}}}$ 





## Jet Shape and Fragmentation Function





## Missing Link: pPb Collisions







## Dijet in pPb Collisions Recorded by CMS





## pPb Event Classification

#### Proton Side Energy

CMS PAS HIN-13-001



#### Minimum bias selection:

At least one particle with E>3 GeV in the pseudorapidity range -5 <  $\eta$  < -3 and one in the range 3 <  $\eta$  < 5

Pb Ion Side Energy







# Dijet p<sub>T</sub> Ratios in pPb Collisions



• A ~10% drop in dijet pT ratio was observed in PbPb 0-10% central collisions



- In pPb collsions: With the current systematic uncertainty, no detectable change in  $<p_{T,2}/p_{T,1}>$  as a function of forward calorimeter energy
- Establish the basis to use the jets for nPDF determination





# Dijet n<sub>dijet</sub> Distributions





## **Compare to NLO Calculations**





## Dijet $\eta$ in different event classes



- Dijet  $\eta$  distribution changes as a function of forward calorimeter energy.
- The large modification can not be explained by shadowing effect or centrality dependent PDF







 When energy on proton side is small <η<sub>dijet</sub> > almost flat as a function of forward activity on Pb side.





#### Still flat ..





Slope starts to increase..





Slope increases even more..







#### And even more ..



# Summary

- CMS has presented interesting results using tracks, photons, muons and jets in heavy ion collisions
  - Confirmed N<sub>coll</sub> scaling using colorless probes
  - An unprecedented picture of jet quenching is emerging.
- Jet quenching in pPb collisions:
  - No significant modification observed in dijet  $p_T$  ratio (shift in dijet  $p_T$  ratio < 2%)
- Dijet pseudorapidity distributions in pPb collisions:
  - Provide useful constraints for nPDF determination
  - Strong correlation between η<sub>dijet</sub> v.s. forward calorimeter energy is observed
- A lot of exciting analyses on the high statistics data expected in 2015
  - Parton flavor dependence of jet quenching
  - High statistics photon-jet and Z-jet events



## Backup slides





## Outlook

- Level-1 online trigger system upgrade: permit high luminosity data taking and efficient online jet trigger
- Extension of the existing analysis in 2015
  - Photon-track and Z-track correlation
  - Flavor dependence of jet quenching:
    - b jet tagging and di-b-jet asymmetry
    - Gluon jet quenching: 3-jet to 2-jet ratio
    - Identified heavy flavour meson







#### Track $p_T$ distributions in jet cones (R=0.3)



High p<sub>T</sub> : no change compared to jets in pp collisions
In (central) PbPb: excess of tracks compared to pp at low p<sub>T</sub>



## Tracking efficiency







#### Jet resolution and enery scale







### Subtracted background





### Subtracted background







#### $\gamma$ -jet correlations

- Photons serve as an unmodified energy tag for the jet partner
- Ratio of the  $p_T$  of jets to photons  $(x_{J\gamma}=p_T^{jet}/p_T^{\gamma})$  is a direct measure of the jet energy loss
- Gradual centrality-dependence of the  $x_{J_{\gamma}}$  distribution



PLB 718 (2013) 773





## **Background subtraction**







## **Nuclear Parton Distribution Function**

Gluon and Quark nPDF/PDF in EPS09 LO

Gluon nPDF/PDF comparison between EPS09, EKS98, nDS and FGS10



François Arleo and Jean-Philippe Guillet http://lapth.cnrs.fr/npdfgenerator/





#### **Event classes**



"roughly" correspond to N<sub>trk</sub><sup>Offline</sup>>110 bin, given the caveat HF energy is loosely correlated with N

$E_T^{HF[ \eta >4]}$ range (GeV)	Fraction of DS events	Fraction of dijet events	$\langle N_{\rm trk}^{\rm corrected} \rangle$ in DS events
0-20	73.1%	52.6%	33±2
20-25	10.5%	16.8%	$74\pm3$
25-30	7.1%	12.7%	$88{\pm}4$
30-40	6.8%	13.0%	$106 \pm 5$
40-100	2.5%	4.9%	$135\pm 6$





## Dijet momentum imbalance



Parton energy loss is observed as a pronounced energy imbalance in central PbPb collisions



## Jet energy correction



dijet and photon-jet

- Data-driven jet energy correction from dijet and photon-jet events (method described in JINST 6 (2011) P11002)
- Jet with background subtraction used as the main result
- Cross-check with jets without background subtraction



## Looking at high multiplicity event

 $N_{ch}$ : Number of charged particles with  $|p_T|$ >0.4 and  $|\eta|$ <2.4



• Slicing on N<sub>ch</sub> may cause bias on jet fragmentation pattern

Yen-Jie Lee (MIT)



## Inclusive jet spectra: jet R<sub>AA</sub>







## Inclusive jet spectra: jet R<sub>AA</sub>





Strong suppression of inclusive high  $p_T$  jets A cone of R=0.2, 0.3, 0.4 doesn't catch all the radiated energy Are those high  $p_T$  jets "**completely absorbed**" by the medium?





#### Where does the energy go?

• Suppression of high  $p_T$  jets

 Large dijet (photon-jet) energy (momentum) imbalance

 $\Delta E_{T} \sim O(10)$  GeV, ~10% shift in <dijet p<sub>T</sub> ratio>

Where does the energy go?







# Missing- $p_T^{\parallel}$

Missing 
$$p_T^{\parallel}$$
:  $p_T^{\parallel} = \sum_{\text{Tracks}} -p_T^{\text{Track}} \cos(\phi_{\text{Track}} - \phi_{\text{Leading Jet}})$ 

#### Where does the energy go?

- - Calculate projection of  $p_T$  on leading jet axis and average over selected tracks with

 $p_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV/c and} |\eta| < 2.4$ 

Underlying events cancels



Sum over all tracks in the event







## Missing- $p_T^{\parallel}$



Integrating over the whole event final state the dijet momentum balance is restored



## Missing- $p_T^{\parallel}$



## Fraction of leading jets with an away side jet





# Dijet $p_T$ Ratio $(p_{T2}/p_{T1})$



• Very high  $p_T$  jets are also quenched

PLB 712 (2012) 176





## Dijet $\eta$ in different event classes

CMS PAS HIN-13-001









## Looking at high multiplicity event

- Several options tested:
  - Tracker based variables:
    - Number of pixel hits
    - Number of pixel tracks, or number of tracks
    - Introduce fragmentation bias as demonstrated before
  - ZDC based variables:
    - Doesn't have good enough resolution to go to very high multiplicity events
- Final choice:

 $E_T$  measured in 4<| $\eta$ |<5.2 by forward calorimeter ( $E_T^{HF[|\eta|>4]}$ )



# Dijet Δφ



 $\Delta \phi$  distribution is unchanged w.r.t. HF energy

Yen-Jie Lee (MIT)





## Tagging and counting b-quark jets

#### Secondary vertex tagged using flight distance significance

- Tagging efficiency estimated in a **data-driven** way
- Purity from **template fits** to (tagged) secondary vertex mass distributions





### Fraction of b-jets among all jets

#### **b-jet fraction**: similar in pp and PbPb $\rightarrow$ b-jet quenching is comparable to light-jet quenching (R<sub>AA</sub> $\approx$ 0.5), within present systematics





# Dijet n v.s. Forward Calorimeter Energy



- η<sub>dijet</sub> distributions plotted against PYTHIA references
- A systematic shift in the positive  $\eta$  direction vs HF energy



#### Jet Shapes



## Jet Fragmentation Functions





# Dijet p<sub>T</sub> Ratios in pPb Collisions

CMS PAS HIN-13-001



