

Rapidity factorization approach and EIC

Andrey Tarasov Electron Ion Collider User Group Meeting 2016, July 8, 2016





HADRON AT DIFFERENT SCALES







This "layers" are not independent

FACTORIZATION

From high-energy cross section we can reconstruct the structure of the hadron

Factorization: "layers" are connected

COLLINEAR AND TMD FACTORIZATION





J

15

10

50

EIC WHITE PAPER



coherent contributions from many nucleonsence programs in the U.S. established at botheffectively amplify the gluon density beingthe CEBAF accelerator at JLab and RHIC atprobed.BNL in dramatic and fundamentally impor-

The EIC was designated in the 2007 Nuclear Physics Long Range Plan as "embodying the vision for reaching the next QCD frontier" [1]. It would extend the QCD sci-

ence programs in the U.S. established at both the CEBAF accelerator at JLab and RHIC at BNL in dramatic and fundamentally important ways. The most intellectually pressing questions that an EIC will address that relate to our detailed and fundamental understanding of QCD in this *frontier* environment are:

- How are the sea quarks and gluons, and their spins, distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon? How are these quark and gluon distributions correlated with overall nucleon properties, such as spin direction? What is the role of the orbital motion of sea quarks and gluons in building the nucleon spin?
- Where does the saturation of gluon densities set in? Is there a simple boundary that separates this region from that of more dilute quark-gluon matter? If so, how do the distributions of quarks and gluons change as one crosses the boundary? Does this saturation produce matter of universal properties in the nucleon and all nuclei viewed at nearly the speed of light?
- How does the nuclear environment affect the distribution of quarks and gluons and their interactions in nuclei? How does the transverse spatial distribution of gluons compare to that in the nucleon? How does nuclear matter respond to a fast moving color charge passing through it? Is this response different for light and heavy quarks?

EIC WHITE PAPER



C

DGLAP vs. BFKL/BK





E. lancu, K. Itakura, S. Munier Phys. Lett. B 590 (2004) 199

PARTICLE PRODUCTION

Total cross section (collinear distributions):

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{X} \int d^4 z e^{iqz} \langle P|j_{\mu}(z)|X\rangle \langle X|j_{\nu}(0)|P\rangle$$

Particle production (TMD distributions):

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{X} \int d^4 w e^{iqw} \langle p | j_{\mu}(w) | \Phi + X \rangle \langle \Phi + X | j_{\nu}(0) | p \rangle$$

Scalar particle production:

$$S_{\Phi} = \lambda \int d^4 z \ F^a_{\mu\nu}(z) F^{a\mu\nu}(z) \Phi(z)$$



KINEMATIC VARIABLES

Light-like vectors:

$$p_1^{\mu} \simeq q^{\mu} + \frac{Q^2}{s} P^{\mu} \qquad p_2^{\mu} \simeq P^{\mu} - \frac{M^2}{s} q^{\mu}$$

Sudakov momentum decomposition:

$$p^{\mu} = \alpha p_1^{\mu} + \beta p_2^{\mu} + p_{\perp}^{\mu}$$

Coordinate vector decomposition:

$$z^{\mu} = \frac{2}{s} z_* p_1^{\mu} + \frac{2}{s} z_{\bullet} p_2^{\mu} + z_{\perp}^{\mu}$$

$$z_* = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} z_+ \quad z_\bullet = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} z_-$$

q VV 00000 α \boldsymbol{P} separation in α

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \int d^4w d^4x d^4y e^{iqw-ikx+iky} \int^{\tilde{A}(t_f)=A(t_f)} D\tilde{A} D\tilde{\psi} D\tilde{\psi} DA D\bar{\psi} D\psi$$
$$\times \Psi_p^*(\vec{A}(t_i), \tilde{\psi}(t_i)) e^{-iS_{\rm QCD}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\psi})} \tilde{j}_\mu(w) \tilde{F}^2(x) F^2(y) j_\nu(0) e^{iS_{\rm QCD}(A, \psi)} \Psi_p(\vec{A}(t_i), \psi(t_i))$$





RAPIDITY FACTORIZATION



RAPIDITY FACTORIZATION



WILSON LINES $tr\langle P|\tilde{T}\{U_{z_2}[z_{2\perp},x_{\perp}]_{-\infty}[-\infty,x_*]_xF_{\bullet i}(x_*,x_{\perp})[x_*,-\infty]_x[x_{\perp},z_{1\perp}]_{-\infty}U_{z_1}^{\dagger}\}$ $\times T\{U_{z_1}[z_{1\perp}, y_{\perp}]_{-\infty}[-\infty, y_*]_y F_{\bullet j}(y_*, y_{\perp})[y_*, -\infty]_y [y_{\perp}, z_{2\perp}]_{-\infty} U_{z_2}^{\dagger}\}|P\rangle$ two different T-products gauge invariant $\tilde{U}_{z_1}^{\dagger}$ U_{z_1} $z_{1\perp}$ $z_{2\perp}$ $F_{\bullet i}$ $F_{\bullet j}$ y_{\perp} not gauge invariant space(light)-like distance $\operatorname{tr}\langle P|[y_{\perp}, x_{\perp}]_{-\infty}[-\infty_{*}, x_{*}]_{x}F_{\bullet i}(x_{*}, x_{\perp})[x_{*}, -\infty_{*}]_{x} \times [x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}]_{-\infty}[-\infty_{*}, y_{*}]_{y}F_{\bullet j}(y_{*}, y_{\perp})[y_{*}, -\infty_{*}]_{y}|P\rangle$





TMD DISTRIBUTION





Can apply different approximations

 σ

 σ



$$\frac{d}{d\eta}U_i^a(z_1)U_j^a(z_2) \qquad \text{Ian Balitsky, A.T. 2016}$$

$$= -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^3} \text{Tr}\left\{(i\partial_i^{z_1} + U_i^{z_1})\left[\int d^2 z_3(U_{z_1}^{\dagger}U_{z_3} - 1)\frac{z_{12}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{23}^2}(U_{z_3}^{\dagger}U_{z_2} - 1)\right](-i\partial_j^{\overleftarrow{z_2}} + U_j^{z_2})\right\}$$
non-linear evolution equation BFKL/BK dynamics

MODERATE-X LIMIT



$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(\beta_B, z_{\perp}, \sigma) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int_{\beta_B}^1 \frac{dz'}{z'} \Big\{ J_0\Big(|z_{\perp}| \sqrt{\sigma s \beta_B \frac{1-z'}{z'}}\Big) \Big[\Big(\frac{1}{1-z'}\Big)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z') \Big] \alpha_s \mathcal{D}\Big(\frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_{\perp}, \sigma\Big) \\ &+ \frac{4}{m^2} (1-z') z' z_{\perp}^2 J_2\Big(|z_{\perp}| \sqrt{\sigma s \beta_B \frac{1-z'}{z'}}\Big) \alpha_s \mathcal{H}''(\frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_{\perp}, \sigma) \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{\perp} = 0$$

Rapidity evolution for collinear distribution

 $\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(\beta_B, 0_\perp, \sigma) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} N_c \int_{\beta_B}^1 \frac{dz'}{z'} \left[\left(\frac{1}{1-z'}\right)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z') \right] \alpha_s \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\beta_B}{z'}, 0_\perp, \sigma\right) \right]$

– DGLAP dynamics

INTERMEDIATE REGIME





Ian Balitsky, A.T. 2016

