Ivan Vitev

Jet Quenching in SCET_(G)

Advances in QCD and Applications to Hadron Colliders - Argonne National Laboratory, IL, October 2016

Outline of the talk

- Motivation: an overview of leading particle and jet modification measurements. Energy loss theory in the past decade: successes and challenges
- An effective theory for jet propagation in matter SCET_G gauge invariance of jet broadening and energy loss results. Factorization of medium-induced radiative corrections. Medium-induced parton showers
- Connection between the full SCET_G in-medium evolution and the traditional energy loss approach. Insight from higher O(α_s²) splitting functions. In-medium DGLAP evolution
- Selected SCET_G applications to observables: light hadron cross sections, heavy meson cross sections, jets; jut substructure observables – jet shapes, fragmentation functions and soft dropped distributions

Introduction, motivation



The phase diagram of QCD



Quenching of leading particles



 Jet quenching: suppression of inclusive particle production relative to a binary scaled p+p result

M. Gyulassy, et al. (1992)

Jet quenching in A+A collisions has been regarded as one of the most important discoveries at RHIC

- Phenomenologically very successful 🧹

Final-state interaction origin

Also tested at LHC with W/Z boson cross sections



Adler, S. et al (2003)

Adams, J. et al. (2003)

Jets in heavy ion collisions at the LHC



140 160

οĒ

60

80

100

120



Advances in jet physics have motivated key detector upgrades at RHIC- sPHENIX. Probe different QGPs, possibly different coupling regimes

200

*p*_{_} [GeV]

0

180



Successes and challenges



$$I(r) = I_0 e^{-\int_0^r dr' / \lambda_{abs}(r')} = I_0 e^{-\int_0^r dr' \rho(r') \sigma(r')}$$

| | $\tau_0 \; [\mathrm{fm}]$ | $\tau_{\rm tot} \; [{\rm fm}]$ | $T_0 \; [{ m MeV}]$ | $\epsilon_0 \left[\frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{fm}^3}\right]$ | $\frac{dN^g}{dy}$ |
|------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| SPS | 0.8 | 1.3 - 2.3 | 205 - 245 | 1.2 - 2.6 | 200 - 350 |
| RHIC | 0.6 | 5.5 - 8 | 360 - 410 | 12 - 20 | 800 - 1200 |
| LHC | 0.2 | 13 - 23 | 710 - 850 | 170 - 350 | 2000 - 3500 |

Advantage of R_{AA} : providing useful information for the hot/dense medium within a simple physics picture

 Difficult to make connection to the standard LO, NLO, ...; LL, NLL ... pQCD approach (higher orders and resummation)

There is considerable model dependence and it is difficult to systematically improve this approach

Soft Collinear Effective Theory with Glaubers



Jet quenching in SCET

 There is no jet quenching in SCET. Still a multiscale problem, but needs extension
 C. Bauer et al. (2001)



In more detail: the jet scattering kinematics

- What is missing in the SCET Lagrangian is the interaction between the jet and the medium
- Kinematics and channels
 t jet broadening and energy loss
 s– isotropisation
- u backward hard scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \to \frac{d\sigma}{d^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp} = \frac{C_2(R)C_2(T)}{d_A} \frac{|v(\mathbf{q}_\perp; E, m_1, m_2)|^2}{(2\pi)^2}$$

 Operator formulation / factorization violation, BFKL, etc
 I. Rothstein et al. (2016) A. Idilbi et al. (2008)



The Glauber gluon Lagrangian: background field approach

Glauber gluons (transverse)

$$q \sim [\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$$
 A.

A. Idilbi et al. (2008)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\xi_{n},A_{n},\eta\right) = \sum_{p,p',q} e^{-i(p-p'+q)x} \left(\bar{\xi}_{n,p'}\Gamma^{\mu,a}_{qqA_{\mathcal{G}}}\frac{\vec{\eta}}{2}\xi_{n,p} - i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda,abc}_{ggA_{\mathcal{G}}}\left(A^{c}_{n,p'}\right)_{\lambda} \left(A^{b}_{n,p}\right)_{\nu}\right) \left(\bar{\eta}\,\Gamma^{\delta,a}_{s}\,\eta\,\Delta_{\mu\delta}(q)\right)$$

Feynman rules for different sources and gauges

| Gauge | Object | Collinear source | Static source | Soft source |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | р. | $[\lambda^2, 1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ | $[1, 1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ | $[\lambda, \lambda, \lambda]$ |
| | a_{p}, a_{p}^{\dagger} | λ^{-1} | $\lambda^{-3/2}$ | $\lambda^{-3/2}$ |
| | u(p) | 1 | 1 | $\lambda^{1/2}$ |
| | $\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma_{\nu}u(p_1)$ | $\left[\lambda^2,1,oldsymbol{\lambda} ight]$ | $[1, 1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ | $[\lambda, \lambda, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ |
| R_{ξ} | $A^{\mu}(x)$ | $\left[\lambda^4,\lambda^2,oldsymbol{\lambda}^3 ight]$ | $\left[\lambda^2,\lambda^2,oldsymbol{\lambda}^3 ight]$ | $[\lambda, \lambda, \lambda]$ |
| | Γ_{qqA_G} | Γ_{1}^{μ} | Γ_{1}^{μ} | Γ_{1}^{μ} |
| | Γ_{ggA_G} | $\Sigma_1^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_1^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_1^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ |
| | Γ_{s} | $\Gamma^{\mu}_1 \left(n \leftrightarrow ar{n} ight)$ | Γ^{μ}_{3} | Γ_4^{μ} |
| $A^{+} = 0$ | $A^{\mu}(x)$ | $\left[0,\lambda^2,oldsymbol{\lambda}^3 ight]$ | $[0, \lambda^2, \boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ | $[0, \lambda, 1]$ |
| | Γ_{qqA_G} | Γ_1^{μ} | $\Gamma_1^{\mu} + \Gamma_2^{\mu}$ | $\Gamma_1^{\mu} + \Gamma_2^{\mu}$ |
| | Γ_{ggA_G} | $\Sigma_2^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_2^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_2^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ |
| | Γ_{s} | $\Gamma^{\mu}_{2} \left(n \leftrightarrow \bar{n} \right)$ | Γ_3^{μ} | Γ_4^{μ} |
| $A^- = 0$ | $A^{\mu}(x)$ | $\left[\lambda^2,0,oldsymbol{\lambda} ight]$ | $\left[\lambda^2,0,oldsymbol{\lambda} ight]$ | $[\lambda, 0, 1]$ |
| | Γ_{qqA_G} | Γ_2^{μ} | Γ_2^{μ} | Γ_2^{μ} |
| | Γ_{ggA_G} | $\Sigma_3^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_3^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ | $\Sigma_3^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ |
| | Γ_{s} | $\Gamma_1^{\mu} (n \leftrightarrow \bar{n})$ | Γ_3^{μ} | Γ_4^{μ} |

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{1}^{\mu,a} = igT^{a} n^{\mu} \frac{\bar{\eta}}{2} , \\ &\Gamma_{2}^{\mu,a} = igT^{a} \frac{\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \not{p}_{\perp} + \not{p}_{\perp}^{\prime} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\bar{\eta}}{2} , \\ &\Gamma_{3}^{\mu,a} = igT^{a} v^{\mu} , \\ &\Gamma_{4}^{\mu,a} = igT^{a} v^{\mu} , \\ &\Sigma_{1}^{\mu\nu\lambda,abc} = gf^{abc} n^{\mu} \left[g^{\nu\lambda} \bar{n} \cdot p + \bar{n}^{\nu} \left(p_{\perp}^{\prime\lambda} - p_{\perp}^{\lambda} \right) - \bar{n}^{\lambda} \left(p_{\perp}^{\prime\nu} - p_{\perp}^{\prime\nu} \right) - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\xi}}{2} \left(\bar{n}^{\lambda} p^{\nu} + \bar{n}^{\nu} p^{\prime\lambda} \right) \right] , \\ &\Sigma_{2}^{\mu\nu\lambda,abc} = gf^{abc} \left[g_{\perp}^{\mu\lambda} \left(-\frac{n^{\nu}}{2} p^{+} + p_{\perp}^{\nu} - 2p_{\perp}^{\prime\nu} \right) + g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \left(-\frac{n^{\lambda}}{2} p^{+} + p_{\perp}^{\prime\lambda} - 2p_{\perp}^{\lambda} \right) \\ &+ g_{\perp}^{\nu\lambda} \left(n^{\mu} \bar{n} \cdot p + p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{\perp}^{\prime\mu} \right) \right] , \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{3}^{\mu\nu\lambda,abc} &= g f^{abc} \left[g_{\perp}^{\mu\lambda} \left(\frac{\bar{n}^{\nu}}{2} (p^{-} - 2p'^{-}) + p_{\perp}^{\nu} - 2p_{\perp}^{\prime\nu} \right) + g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\bar{n}^{\lambda}}{2} (p'^{-} - 2p^{-}) + p_{\perp}^{\prime\lambda} - 2p_{\perp}^{\lambda} \right) \\ &+ g_{\perp}^{\nu\lambda} \left(p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{\perp}^{\prime\mu} \right) \right] \,. \end{split}$$

The splitting kernels



 Splitting functions are related to beam (B) and jet (J) functions in SCET

W. Waalewjin. (2014)

$$A_{q \to qg} = \langle J | T \bar{\chi}_n(x_0) e^{iS} | q(\boldsymbol{p}) g(\boldsymbol{k}) \rangle$$

$$A_{g \to q\bar{q}} = \langle J | T \mathcal{B}^{\lambda c}(x_0) e^{iS} | q(\boldsymbol{p}) \bar{q}(\boldsymbol{k}) \rangle$$

$$A_{g \to gg} = \langle J | T \mathcal{B}^{\lambda c}(x_0) e^{iS} | g(\boldsymbol{p}) g(\boldsymbol{k}) \rangle$$



Gribov et al. (1972) G. Altarelli et al. (1977) Y. Dokshitzer (1977)

 In the vacuum we have the DGLAP splitting kernels that factorize from the hard scattering cross section and are process independent

Main results: in-medium splitting / parton energy loss



Diagrams that need to be evaluated to first order in opacity

We have two sectors of the theory – different gauges

 Note that a collinear Wilson line appears in the R_ξ gauge

$$\Gamma_W^{\alpha,a}(k) = gT_r^a \frac{\bar{n}^\alpha}{k^+ + i\epsilon}$$



Classes of diagrams (single Born, double Born). Reaction Operator

Single Born diagrams



Main results: in-medium splitting / parton energy loss

Double Born diagrams

G. Ovanesyan et al. (2011)

 The lightcone gauge



 (x^{+},∞,∞) $T_{n}^{\dagger} = P \exp\left(ig \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \mathbf{A}_{\perp} \mathbf{l}_{\perp}\right)$ $\xi_{n}(x)$ $(x^{+},x^{-},\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ $W_{n}^{\dagger} = P \exp\left(ig \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \, n \, A\right)$ $(x^{+},\infty,\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$

 New Feynman rule



A. Idilbi et al. (2010)

 $\cdot n$

In-medium parton splitting and gauge independence

The soft gluon energy loss imit

- In the soft limit we recover the GLV results
- Only in this limit there is a natural energy loss interpretation (a leading parton loses energy)

 $x\left(\frac{dN}{dxd^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}\right)_{\left\{\begin{array}{l}q \to qg\\g \to gg\\q \to qq\\g \to q\bar{q}\end{array}\right\}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \left\{\begin{array}{c}C_{F}[1+\mathcal{O}(x)]\\C_{A}[1+\mathcal{O}(x)]\\C_{F}[0+\frac{x}{2}+\mathcal{O}(x^{2})]\\T_{R}[0+\frac{x}{2}+\mathcal{O}(x^{2})]\end{array}\right\}$ $\times \int d\Delta z \left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\\\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\\\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\\\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\\\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\end{array}\right\} \int d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\perp} \frac{1}{\sigma_{el}} \frac{d\sigma_{el}^{\text{medium}}}{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\perp}}$

But beyond this limit, new way of thinking is required, parton showers, cascades, evolution





Heavy quarks in the vacuum

3 splitting functions (g to gg is the same)

$$\left(\frac{dN}{dxd^2k_{\perp}}\right)_{Q\to Qg} = C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{k_{\perp}^2 + x^2m^2} \left[\frac{1 - x + x^2/2}{x} - \frac{x(1 - x)m^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + x^2m^2}\right]$$
$$\left(\frac{dN}{dxd^2k_{\perp}}\right)_{g\to Q\bar{Q}} = T_R \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{k_{\perp}^2 + m^2} \left[x^2 + (1 - x)^2 + \frac{2x(1 - x)m^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + m^2}\right]$$

The process is not written Q to gQ but it should have been since x goes to 1-x



F. Ringer et al . (2016)

- You see the dead cone effects
 Dokshitzer et al . (2001)
- You also see that it depends on the process – it not simply x²m² everywhere: x²m², (1-x)²m², m²

The medium-induced splitting kernels are now derived (1st order in opacity). More complicated than the vacuum ones. Have been numerically evaluated

Heavy quarks in the medium

Kinematic variables



$$\begin{aligned} A_{\perp} &= k_{\perp}, \ B_{\perp} = k_{\perp} + xq_{\perp}, \ C_{\perp} = k_{\perp} - (1-x)q_{\perp}, \ D_{\perp} = k_{\perp} - q_{\perp}, \\ \Omega_{1} - \Omega_{2} &= \frac{B_{\perp}^{2} + \nu^{2}}{p_{0}^{+}x(1-x)}, \ \Omega_{1} - \Omega_{3} = \frac{C_{\perp}^{2} + \nu^{2}}{p_{0}^{+}x(1-x)}, \ \Omega_{4} = \frac{A_{\perp}^{2} + \nu^{2}}{p_{0}^{+}x(1-x)}, \\ \nu &= m \qquad (g \to Q\bar{Q}), \\ \nu &= xm \qquad (Q \to Q\bar{Q}), \end{aligned}$$
F. Ringer et al. (2016)

 $\nu = (1-x)m \quad (Q \to gQ),$

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{dN^{\text{med}}}{dxd^{2}k_{\perp}}\right)_{Q\to Qg} = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi^{2}}C_{F}\int \frac{d\Delta z}{\lambda_{g}(z)}\int d^{2}q_{\perp}\frac{1}{\sigma_{el}}\frac{d\sigma_{el}^{\text{med}}}{d^{2}q_{\perp}}\left\{\left(\frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x}\right)\left[\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right.\right.\\ & \left.\times\left(\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}-\frac{C_{\perp}}{C_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\left(1-\cos[(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2})\Delta z]\right)+\frac{C_{\perp}}{C_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\left(2\frac{C_{\perp}}{C_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}-\frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\\ & \left.-\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\left(1-\cos[(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{3})\Delta z]\right)+\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\frac{C_{\perp}}{C_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\left(1-\cos[(\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{3})\Delta z]\right)\\ & \left.+\frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\left(\frac{D_{\perp}}{D_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}-\frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\left(1-\cos[\Omega_{4}\Delta z]\right)-\frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\frac{D_{\perp}}{D_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\left(1-\cos[\Omega_{5}\Delta z]\right)\\ & \left.+\frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\left(\frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}-\frac{B_{\perp}}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\left(1-\cos[(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2})\Delta z]\right)\right]\\ & \left.+x^{3}m^{2}\left[\frac{1}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{B_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}-\frac{1}{C_{\perp}^{2}+\nu^{2}}\right)\left(1-\cos[(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2})\Delta z]\right)+\ldots\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

- Full massive inmedium splitting functions now available
- Can be evaluated numerically

Higher order corrections and application of

cascades



"I think you should be more explicit here in step two."

$o(\alpha_s^2)$ splitting functions in the vacuum



10²

0

10

20

40

50

60

30

 $\theta_{01}[deg]$

70

 $q \rightarrow \bar{q}'q'q, q \rightarrow \bar{q}qq, q \rightarrow ggq, \ g \rightarrow gq\bar{q}, g \rightarrow ggg$

There is always a regular / Abelian contribution. Neither of the 5 branchings is strictly angular ordered

Feynman graphs for q->qgg in dense QCD matter



Numerical results

The medium splitting function is much broader than the vacuum one. It falls off less steeply in parts of the tail region Vacuum, medium cascade works reasonably well in the tail region in shape. Norm is off by a factor of 2. Along the original direction it does not get the LPM cancellation In summing multiple emissions in the medium we make qualitatively the same approximations as in vacuum



Splitting function $q \rightarrow ggq$



Evolution of the fragmentation functions

Yield LLA or MLLA

Z. Kang et al. (2014)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}D_q(z,Q)}{\mathrm{d}\ln Q} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{z'} \left\{ P_{q \to qg}(z',Q) D_q\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right) + P_{q \to gq}(z',Q) D_g\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right) \right\},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}D_{\bar{q}}(z,Q)}{\mathrm{d}\ln Q} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{z'} \left\{ P_{q \to qg}(z',Q) D_{\bar{q}}\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right) + P_{q \to gq}(z',Q) D_g\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right) \right\},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}D_g(z,Q)}{\mathrm{d}\ln Q} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{z'} \left\{ P_{g \to gg}(z',Q) D_g\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right) \right\}$$



 $+P_{g\to q\bar{q}}(z',Q)\left(D_q\left(\frac{z}{z'},Q\right)+\overline{q} \text{ term }\right)\right\}.$

Demonstrated connection to Eloss

Vacuum evolution in the soft gluon limit

$$\begin{split} P_{q \to qg} &= \underbrace{\frac{2C_F}{x_+}}_{P_{q \to gg}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{2C_F}{x} g[x, Q, L, \mu]\right)_+}_{P_{q \to q\bar{q}}}, \\ P_{g \to q\bar{q}} &= \underbrace{\frac{2C_A}{x_+}}_{P_{q \to q\bar{q}}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{2C_A}{x} g[x, Q, L, \mu]\right)_+}_{P_{q \to q\bar{q}}}, \end{split}$$

 If a connection is to be found between the energy loss and the evolution approach, it is in the soft gluon limit

$$\begin{aligned} P_{q \to gq} &= 0, \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}D_{h/c}(z,Q)}{\mathrm{d}\ln Q} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{z'} \left[P_{c \to cg}(z',Q) \right]_+ D_{h/c}(z/z',Q). \\ &\frac{\mathrm{d}D_{h/c}(z,Q)}{\mathrm{d}\ln Q} = 2C_R \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left\{ \int_z^1 \mathrm{d}z' \frac{1}{1-z'} \left[\frac{1}{z'} D_{h/c}(z/z',Q) - D_{h/c}(z,Q) \right] + D_{h/c}(z,Q) \ln(1-z) \right\} \\ &\left(1 + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) D_{h/c}(z,Q) \approx [1 - n(z)] D_{h/c}(z,Q) \end{aligned}$$

 Using the "+" function, expanding around z' = 1 and relating derivatives to local slope we obtain the evolution to LLA

$$D_{h/c}(z,Q) = e^{-2C_R \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{Q}{Q_0} \right] \{ [n(z)-1](1-z) - \ln(1-z) \}} D_{h/c}(z,Q_0).$$

Medium-modified evolution of the fragmentation functions

 Using the same techniques. The vacuum and the medium induced evolution factorize

 The main result: direct relation between the evolution and energy loss approaches first established here

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta \tilde{E}}{E} \right\rangle_{z} = \int_{0}^{1-z} \mathrm{d}z' \, z' \int_{Q_{0}}^{Q} dQ' \frac{dN}{dz' dQ'}(z', Q') = \int_{0}^{1-z} \mathrm{d}z' \, z' \frac{dN}{dz'}(z') \qquad \rightarrow_{z \to 0} \left\langle \frac{\Delta E}{E} \right\rangle,$$

$$\left\langle \tilde{N^{g}} \right\rangle_{z} = \int_{1-z}^{1} \mathrm{d}z' \int_{Q_{0}}^{Q} dQ' \frac{dN}{dz' dQ'}(z', Q') = \int_{1-z}^{1} \mathrm{d}z' \frac{dN}{dz'}(z') \qquad \rightarrow_{z \to 1} \left\langle N^{g} \right\rangle.$$

$$\mathbf{G. Ovanesyan et al. (2014)}$$

Application of the evolution / resummation appraoch

 The goal is to evaluate the nuclear modification and the related cross sections



 Again the soft gluon approximation, but the evolution approach

Selected phenomenological applications



"I'm firmly convinced that behind every great man is a great computer."

Predictions for HIC beyond E-loss



 Inclusive charged hadron production (and also π°) at 5.02 TeV in Pb+Pb



Suppression of heavy flavor

 Heavy flavor still posed many unresolved questions

A. Andronic et al . (2015)

- High-P_T stable, low p_T 30-50% more suppression
- Does not fully eliminate the need for collisional interactions / energy loss or dissociation





Generalizing the concept of energy loss to jets

Y.-T. Chien et al. (2015)

The jet definition allows to generalize the concept of energy loss



Fractional energy loss outside of the jet beyond the soft gluon approximation

Medium-modified jet shapes at NLL



$$E_r(x,k_\perp) = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3 + \mathcal{M}_4$$

Measurement operator – tells us how the above configurations contribute energy to J (jet function) One can evaluate the jet energy functions from the splitting functions

$$J^{i}_{\omega,E_{r}}(\mu) = \sum_{j,k} \int_{PS} dx dk_{\perp} \mathcal{P}_{i \to jk}(x,k_{\perp}) E_{r}(x,k_{\perp})$$

 $J_{\omega,E_r}(\mu) = J_{\omega,E_r}^{vac}(\mu) + J_{\omega,E_r}^{med}(\mu).$



First quantitative pQCD/SCET description of jet shapes in HI

Groomed soft dropped distributions in SCET_G

• Groomed jet distribution using "soft drop"



The great utility of these new distributions: probe the early time dynamics / splitting



Y. T. Chien et al . (2016)

Accessing the hardest branching in HIC – longitudinal modification

Calculating the soft dropped distribution with $\beta = o$



Santa Fe **Jets and Heavy Flavor Workshop**

February 13-15, 2017

2017 Jets and heavy flavor workshop

Second in a series of workshops to bring the NP and HEP communities working on jets and heavy flavor, with emphasis on **QCD** and **SCET**

Vorkshop topic Jets and jet substructure in hadronic and nuclear collisions Heavy flavor production in p+p,

- p+A and A+A
- Perturbative QCD and SCET
 New theoretical developments
- Recent experimental results
- from RHIC and LHC



Contact: sfjet17@lanl.gov

Organizers:

Cesar da Silva Zhongbo Kang **Christopher Lee Michael McCumber Duff Neill Felix Ringer** Ivan Vitev (Chair)

Sponsors:

DOE Office of Science **DOE Early Career Program** Los Alamos National Laboratory

Conclusions

- New theoretical developments are needed to address the physics of jets in heavy ion collisions
- Developed an effective theory of jet propagation in matter with complete set of Feynman rules in different sources and gauges. Gauge invariance of the jet broadening and energy loss results. Showed factorization of the medium-induced radiative corrections for the hard scattering, results beyond the soft gluon approximation. Recent results for initial-state and massive splitting kernels
- Phenomenological application range form light and heavy flavor suppression to jets and jet substructure in heavy ion collisions. Mpre reliable predictions and first successful description of jet substructure observables in a perturbative approach
- Future: couple the soft and Glauber sector in the background field approach. Evaluate and incorporate collisional energy losses. Look at improved phenomenology that combines ln(R) resummation with medium-induced showers. B-jets, ...

Main results: jet broadening







Classes of diagrams (single Born, double Born). Reaction Operator

General result. Will evaluate the broadening (or lack off) of jets

$$\frac{dN^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}_{\perp})}{d^{2}\mathbf{p}_{\perp}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{z_{i-1}}^{L} \frac{dz_{i}}{\lambda} \int d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\perp i} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{el}(z_{i})} \frac{d\sigma_{el}(z_{i})}{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\perp i}} \left(e^{-\mathbf{q}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{p}_{\perp}}} \right) - \delta^{2}(\mathbf{q}_{\perp}) \right] \frac{dN^{(0)}(\mathbf{p}_{\perp})}{d^{2}\mathbf{p}_{\perp}}$$

In special cases such as constant density and the Gaussian approximation

Starting with a collinear beam of quarks/gluonswe recoverM. Gyulassy et al. (2002)

$$\frac{dN(\mathbf{p}_{\perp})}{d^2\mathbf{p}_{\perp}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-\frac{p^2}{2\chi\mu^2\xi}}}{\chi\mu^2\xi} \qquad \chi = \frac{L}{\lambda}$$

Splitting kernel results

177

 Explicitly verified the gauge invariance and factorization in QCD



$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dN}{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}_{q \to qg} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} C_F \frac{1 + (1 - x)^2}{x} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}, \quad (\dots \mathbf{l}_+ + A\delta(x))$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dN}{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}_{g \to gg} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} 2C_A \left(\frac{1 - x}{x} + \frac{x}{1 - x} + x(1 - x)\right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}, \quad (\dots \mathbf{l}_+ + B\delta(x))$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dN}{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}_{g \to q\bar{q}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} T_R \left(x^2 + (1 - x)^2\right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dN}{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}_{q \to gq} = \left(\frac{dN}{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}}\right)_{q \to qg} (x \to 1 - x)$$

1 + (1)

12 1

 The singular pieces A, B can be obtained form flavor and momentum conservation sum rules

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} P_{qq}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \\ &\int_{0}^{1} \left[P_{qq}(x) + P_{qg}(x) \right] (1-x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \\ &\int_{0}^{1} \left[2n_{f} P_{gq}(x) + P_{gg}(x) \right] (1-x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0. \end{split}$$

Probing the hardest splitting in jets in heavy ion collisions

Jet substructure modifictaion in HIC well established: jet shapes, jet fragmentation functions





Y. T Chien et al . in progress

Is substructure modification set by late time soft gluon emission? Or is it manifest in the hard early time splittings?

Bremsstrahlung distributions

$$\frac{\Delta E^{in}}{E}(R^{\max},\omega^{\min}) = \frac{1}{E} \int_{\omega^{\min}}^{E} d\omega \int_{0}^{R^{\max}} dr \frac{dI^{g}}{d\omega dr}(\omega,r)$$

- The medium induced energy loss can be evaluated for any phase space for the jet particles
- The same has to be true for bremsstrahlung from hard scattering

 For a 100 GeV parton at the LHC



Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions versus corehent branching



collinear parton

$$|\mathcal{M}_{a_1,a_2,\dots}(p_1,p_2,\dots)|^2 \simeq$$

 $\frac{2}{s_{12}} 4\pi \mu^{2\epsilon} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \mathcal{T}^{ss'}_{a,\dots}(p,\dots) \hat{P}^{ss'}_{a_1a_2}(z,k_{\perp};\epsilon)$

- Comes at the scale of collinear radiation inside the parton shower
- Factorize and are process independent



- Comes from the physics at the soft scale
- At soft (long distance) scales the emissions are angular ordered

Coherence branching effects incorporated into splitting functions, HERWIG - a Monte Carlo generator for parton showers