

Power corrections in the N-jettiness subtraction scheme

Xiaohui Liu

LoopFest XVI @ Argonne National Laboratory, 2017

Outline

- Current status
- Power corrections
 - NLO
 - NNLO
- Conclusion

• Non-local schemes

Catani, Grazzini; Gao, Li and Zhu; Boughezal, Focke, XL, Petriello; Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh



- a physical observable to set the boundary between NLO and NNLO
- ignorant of the NLO details
- NNLO using EFT based on LP F.T.
- universal building blocks
- conceptually appealing to implement

 $\operatorname{Tr}[H \cdot S_N] \otimes B_a \otimes B_b \otimes J_i + \dots$

Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn

- 0-jet
 - Color singlet production at NNLO in MCFM

Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, XL, Petriello, Williams

- 1-jet
 - H/V + 1-jet

Boughezal, Focke, XL, Petriello; Boughezal, Focke, Giele, XL, Petriello; Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, XL, Petriello Campbell, Ellis, Williams

• DIS + 1-jet

Ablof, Boughezal, XL, Petriello

• Good agreement between independent calculations



• Good agreement with data



•Improved agreements with all measured distributions

Bougezhal, XL, Petriello

• Require tiny tau-cut to suppress power corrections



 numerically challenging for NNLO

ways to improve:

- analytic matrix elements
- more efficient PS generators
- optimize the Njettiness definition
- include power corrections

Power Corrections Bougezhal, XL, Petriello; see also: Moult, Rothen, Stewart, Tackmann, Zhu

Logarithmic nature •

$$\alpha_s^2 \tau_{cut} \left[C_{23} \log^3(\tau_{cut}) + C_{22} \log^2(\tau_{cut}) \dots \right]$$

- arise in the soft/collinear limits
- amenable to a direct FO calculation this talk ullet
- EFT approach Ian Moult 's talk
- higher order can be predicted via lower order • calculation

• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO

 $\alpha_s C_{11} \tau_{cut} \log(\tau_{cut})$

$$\tau_N = \sum_k \min\left[\frac{p_k \cdot n_a}{Q_a}, \frac{p_k \cdot n_b}{Q_b}, \frac{p_k \cdot n_1}{Q_1}, \dots, \frac{p_k \cdot n_N}{Q_N}\right]$$

Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn

ggH/Drell-Yan:

$$\mathrm{d}\tau \left[\delta(k^+ - \tau)\Theta(k_- - k_+) + \delta(k^- - \tau)\Theta(k_+ - k_-)\right]$$

at NLO, for power corrections, we only need to consider real emission. The entire virtual information has been included in the LP F.T.

 $\operatorname{Tr}[H \cdot S_N] \otimes B_a \otimes B_b \otimes J_i + \dots$

•
$$ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO$$

$$\int d\Phi |\mathcal{M}|_{ij}^2 f_i(x_a) f_j(x_b)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{\Omega_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} \frac{m_H^2}{s} \frac{e^{Y'}}{m_H} \frac{dz}{z^2} dY' d\tau dk_- (\tau k_-)^{-\epsilon} \delta \left(\frac{m_H e^{Y'}(1-z)}{z} - \frac{1}{z} e^{2Y'} \tau - k^-\right) \Theta(k^- - \tau)$$
expand everything to $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$

$$x_1 = \frac{m_H}{\sqrt{s}} z^{-1} e^{Y'}, \quad x_2 = \frac{m_H}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-Y'}$$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \frac{1}{\hat{t}\,\hat{u}} \times M(\hat{s},\hat{t},\hat{u};\epsilon) = \frac{z}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{\tau\,k^{-}} \times M(\hat{s},\hat{t},\hat{u};\epsilon)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{\tau\,(1-z)} H_{0}(0,z;\epsilon) + \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} \frac{e^{Y'}}{m} H_{0}(0,z;\epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-z} \partial_{\tau} H_{0}(0,z;\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\tau)$$

power divergence means the ambiguity in defining the leading power matrix element

• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO

$$\alpha_s C_{11} \tau_{cut} \log(\tau_{cut})$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Omega_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} \frac{m_H^2}{s} \frac{e^{Y'}}{m_H} \frac{dz}{z^2} dY' d\tau \, dk_- \; (\tau \, k_-)^{-\epsilon} \; \delta\left(\frac{m_H e^{Y'}(1-z)}{z} - \frac{1}{z} e^{2Y'} \tau - k^-\right) \Theta(k^- - \tau) \\ x_1 &= \frac{m_H}{\sqrt{s}} z^{-1} e^{Y'} \;, \qquad x_2 = \frac{m_H}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-Y'} \end{split}$$
expand everything to $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \frac{1}{\hat{t}\,\hat{u}} \times M(\hat{s},\hat{t},\hat{u};\epsilon) = \frac{z}{m^{2}}\frac{1}{\tau\,k^{-}} \times M(\hat{s},\hat{t},\hat{u};\epsilon)$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\tau\,(1-z')}H_{0}(0,1;\epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-z'}\partial_{\tau}H_{0}(0,1;\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\tau)$$

 $\int \mathrm{d} \Phi \, |\mathcal{M}|_{ij}^2 \, f_i(x_a) f_j(x_b)$

It has to be soft to generate the LLs in the power corrections

rescale z to avoid power divergence

$$z \to z' = \frac{z}{1 - \frac{\tau}{m}e'^{Y}} \qquad \qquad \int^{1-a_{T}} dz' \frac{\mathcal{N}(1 - z')}{1 - z'} = \int^{1-a_{T}} dz' \left[\frac{\mathcal{N}_{0}}{1 - z'} + \mathcal{N}_{1} + \dots \right]$$

1



• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO

 $\alpha_s C_{11} \tau_{cut} \log(\tau_{cut})$

The results are free of divergence.

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{M}|^{2} : & \frac{16\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau\left(1-z'\right)}H_{0} + \frac{1}{1-z'} \times 0 & \frac{8\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau}H_{0} & \frac{8\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{1-z'}\frac{e^{Y'}}{m}H_{0} \\ d\Phi : & LP/SLP & LP \\ \mathcal{L}_{ij} : & \mathcal{L}|_{z'=1}, \tau \times x_{1}\partial_{x_{1}}\mathcal{L}|_{z'=1} & \mathcal{L}|_{z'=1} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{A}}{2\pi}L\left[2x_{1}\partial_{x_{1}}\right]\mathcal{L}_{g_{1}g_{2}} + \{x_{1} \leftrightarrow x_{2}, Y' \leftrightarrow -Y'\} \\ & & L = \frac{e^{Y'}}{m}\log\left(\frac{\tau m e^{Y'}}{\tau^{2}}\right) & x_{1} = \frac{m_{H}}{\sqrt{s}}z^{-1}e^{Y'}, \quad x_{2} = \frac{m_{H}}{\sqrt{s}}e^{-Y'} \end{split}$$

• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO

 $\alpha_s C_{11} \tau_{cut} \log(\tau_{cut})$

The MEs contribute to the leading log can be deduced from the leading power collinear kernel, if we relate $(1-z') \sim 0$ to the collinear limit $(k \sim 0)$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2}: \frac{16\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau(1-z')}H_{0} + \frac{1}{1-z'} \times 0 \qquad \frac{8\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau}H_{0}$$

$$d\Phi: LP/S \qquad \qquad LP/S \qquad \qquad LP/S \qquad \qquad LP/S \qquad \qquad LP \qquad$$

• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NLO

 $\alpha_s C_{11} \tau_{cut} \log(\tau_{cut})$

The one which does not contribute to the leading log in the leading power does not contribute to the leading log in the sub-leading power

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}|^{2} : & \frac{16\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau\left(1-z'\right)}H_{0} + \frac{1}{1-z'} \times 0 & \underbrace{\frac{8\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{\tau}H}_{\tau} & \frac{8\pi\alpha_{s}C_{i}}{1-z'}\frac{e^{Y'}}{m}H_{0} \\ d\Phi : & LP/SLP & LP \\ \mathcal{L}_{ij} : & \mathcal{L}|_{z'=1}, \tau \times x_{1}\partial_{x_{1}}\mathcal{L}|_{z'=1} & \mathcal{L}|_{z'=1} \\ & & & & & \\ \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{A}}{2\pi}L\left[2x_{1}\partial_{x_{1}}\right]\mathcal{L}_{g_{1}g_{2}} + \left\{x_{1}\leftrightarrow x_{2},Y'\leftrightarrow -Y'\right\}}_{L=\frac{e^{Y'}}{m}\log\left(\frac{\tau m e^{Y'}}{\tau^{2}}\right) & & & \\ L=\frac{e^{Y'}}{m}\log\left(\frac{\tau m e^{Y'}}{\tau^{2}}\right) & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

• ggH/Drell-Yan @ NNLO

$$\alpha_s^2 \tau_{cut} C_{23} \log^3(\tau_{cut})$$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{q\bar{q}\to V} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_0 \left(\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left[4\log^2\left(\frac{\tau}{\mu}\right)\right] \times \left[\frac{1}{m}\log\frac{\tau}{m}\left[2x_1\partial_{x_1}\right]\mathcal{L}_{q\bar{q}} + x_1\leftrightarrow x_2\right]$$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{gq+qg\to V} = \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_0 \,\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \,\left(C_F + C_A\right) T_R \left[\frac{1}{m} \,\mathcal{L}_{q_ig} \log^3\left(\frac{\tau}{m}\right) + x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2\right]$$

- free of poles: poles from RV have to cancel against RR
- soft limit leads to the leading logs
- MEs deducible from collinear limits for q final state in RV and qg final state in RR
- MEs takes the strongly-order limit, for instance, in the case of the qg final state, Eg<<Eq



- in ggH/Drell-Yan, the form of the power correction is simple can be fitted
- Analytic calculations agree well with the fitting



- Including the power corrections helps improving the convergence of the N-jettiness subtraction scheme.
- allows us to relax the cut-off dramatically.
- improves the numerical stability



- Including the power corrections helps improving the convergence of the N-jettiness subtraction scheme.
- allows us to relax the cut-off dramatically.
- improves the numerical stability.



- Including the power corrections helps improving the convergence of the N-jettiness subtraction scheme.
- allows us to relax the cut-off dramatically.
- improves the numerical stability.

Conclusions

- A first step toward understanding the power corrections in the jettiness subtraction
- Calculate the leading logs in the power corrections
- Can increase tau-cut substantially and improve numerical stabilities

Outlook

• Go beyond Drell-Yan/ggH

thanks