Strongly interacting photons

Przemyslaw Bienias

Joint Quantum Institute (JQI), University of Maryland

Workshop on Quantum Sensing Argonne, Illinois December 13, 2017 "Photon Molecule" Courtesy of E. Edwards/JQI

Electrons vs. Photons



Electronics vs. Photonics



converting photons to electrical signals is "expensive"

Electronics vs. Photonics

electrons process information



photons transmit information



On-chip optical routing motivates direct on-chip optical processing



What are interacting photons good for?

Quantum & classical information processing



Low-power computation



Secure communication and e-commerce



unforgeable virtual money

New states of matter



Quantum sensing and metrology

radiometry



Standard candle (a fixed no. of photons) spectroscopy



sensitive analysis

astronomy



long-baseline interferometry

imaging



detection below noise

time-keeping



quantumly linked clocks

Outline

Basics I,

- interacting photons
- Rydberg-EIT





II. Rydberg-EIT toolbox for sensing





III. Sensing with interacting photons

• examples











II. Rydberg-EIT

- experimental progress
- challenges and limitations





How to make photons interact?

- General idea
- Kerr nonlinearity of conventional materials
 - extremely weak effects for single photons, even for highly nonlinear fibres
- Single scatterer
 - mode matching between the input field and the dipolar emission pattern ~10%
- Cavity or waveguide
 - additional complexity of a hybrid system
 - coupling efficiency and bandwidth reduced with increasing finesse
- Ensemble of atoms
 - weak nonlinearities
- Ensemble of Rydberg atoms [this talk]
 - strong interaction (Rydberg)
 - photon localisation (EIT) ۲





optical domain: nano-photonics, opto-mechanics, graphene, quantum dots microwave domain: cavity QED, superconducting circuits

 $p \approx N d^2 / \lambda^2$

Electromagnetically Induced Transparency



Dark state

- dissipation free state
- polariton: superposition of photon and excited state
- group velocity << speed of light

$$\frac{\Omega|G,1\rangle-g|S,0\rangle}{\sqrt{\Omega^2+g^2}}$$

Electromagnetically Induced Transparency



Dark state

- dissipation free state
- polariton: superposition of photon and excited state
- group velocity << speed of light

$$\frac{\Omega|G,1\rangle-g|S,0\rangle}{\sqrt{\Omega^2+g^2}}$$



atomic levels:



electron wavefunction



atomic levels:







atomic levels:

















review: Saffman & Walker, RMP

100

The medium where photons interact strongly



Advantages of the Rydberg-EIT

Strong interactions

Nonlocal interactions

Conventional nonlinearities:

1) interactions remain too weak for metrology applications

2) interactions are local: $v_{
m eff}\delta(r)$



Outline



- interacting photons
- Rydberg-EIT





II. Rydberg-EIT toolbox for sensing



III. Sensing with interacting photonsexamples



- II. Rydberg-EIT
- experimental progress
- challenges and limitations





standard photon source





standard photon source





standard photon source





standard photon source





standard photon source

single-photon transistor







single-photon "machine gun"



standard photon source







standard photon source

single-photon transistor





photon-photon gate



standard photon source









Outline

- I. Basics
- interacting photons
- Rydberg-EIT





II. Rydberg-EIT toolbox for sensing



III. Sensing with interacting photons

• examples



- II. Rydberg-EIT
- experimental progress
- challenges and limitations



What are interacting photons good for?

radiometry



Standard candle (a fixed no. of photons)

spectroscopy



sensitive analysis







detection below noise

astronomy



long-baseline interferometry



quantumly linked clocks

Impact: radiometry



• unlike heralded sources: deterministic & higher-rate

• identical photons





• calibration of photon detectors

= standard candle

⇒ metrology, sensing, chemistry, physics, astronomy, …



nonlinear crystals: Barz et al., Science (2012)
quantum-dots: Michler et al., Science (2000)

Impact: imaging, spectroscopy, trace detection





- no shot noise
- dramatically reduced measurement uncertainty in imaging & spectroscopy of low-absorption & quantity-limited samples



 \Rightarrow chemistry, biology, medicine, forensics, security, ...

Schrödinger-cat state of light



35

Impact: quantum-enhanced sensing & imaging



Schrödinger-cat state of light

- interferometry with maximum per-photon sensitivity
- imaging, sensing, and spectroscopy of fragile photosensitive samples

⇒ chemistry, biology, medicine, materials science, forensics, …



Impact: quantum-enhanced sensing & imaging



• quantumly connect (entangle) two photons



"quantum illumination"

- detect reflected signal with an improved signal-to-noise ratio
- can also use for communication
- when signal weak & noise high

 \Rightarrow military, biological contexts...

Impact: time-keeping and earth science



• quantumly connect (entangle) remote clocks or sensors





⇒ time-transfer, navigation, search for oil/gas/minerals, monitoring volcanos/earthquakes, …

Impact: long-baseline interferometry

create remote entanglement (quantum connection)

 determine position of light source when photons scarce and propagation lossy



Impact: long-baseline interferometry



Outline

- I. Basics
- interacting photons
- Rydberg-EIT





II. Rydberg-EIT toolbox for sensing



III. Sensing with interacting photonsexamples



II. Rydberg-EIT

- experimental progress
- challenges and limitations



Progress in control of light using Rydberg EIT





- Steps towards all-optical quantum phase gate

G. Rempe, S. Dürr (Garching)Ch. Adams (Durham)M. Lukin, V. Vuletic (symmetry protected)



See also work of:

S. Whitlock, M. Weidemuller, A. Ourjoumtsev, P. Grangier, O. Firstenberg, J. Simon, T. Porto, S. Rolston, A. Kuzmich, S. Hofferberth, and others.

- Three body interactions and bound states M. Lukin, M. Vuletic (Harvard/MIT)



arXiv:1709.01478 (2017)

Progress towards single photon source & transistor



Advantages:

- + high repetition
- + deterministic
- + identical photons

Compare with:

- atomic ensembles: Duan et al. Nature (2001)
- nonlinear crystals: Barz et al., Science (2012)
- quantum-dots: Michler et al., Science (2000)

Coherent (quantum) switching using other systems:

- cavity-QED: target photons back-reflected from the cavity (Chen et al., 2013; Reiserer et al., 2013)
- nanophotonic devices: scattered photons are returned to the well-defined fiber modes

(O'Shea et al., 2013; Shomroni et al., 2014; Tiecke et al., 2014)

Challenges

Current state of the art: a few % fidelity

Technical challenges:

- cold dense cloud of atoms
- homogeneous density
- · low three-body atomic loss
- low stray electric fields
- laser frequency & polarization stability
- optical mode-matching

Future:

• chip-scale integration



Goal: 99% fidelity

Physical limitations:

Most of applications need higher OD_b

challenging: formation of exotic long-range molecules
 ⇒ inhomogeneous broadening of the excitation line



Solution:

Rydberg-medium inside an optical cavity ⇒ increase the effective OD_b



Parigi et al. (2012), Das et al., (2015), Borregaard et al., (2015)







- single photon source
- quantum transistor

Sensing with interacting photons

 quantum-enhanced: radiometry, interferometry, spectroscopy, timetransfer, imaging

Rydberg-EIT

 many proof-of-principle demonstrations

Future

- address challenges
- explore extensions
- demonstrate sensing applications

Thank you!