

# Properties of a Higgs Boson in the $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ Channel at CMS

US LHC Users Association Annual Meeting 2014

#### **D. Austin Belknap** on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

dabelknap@wisc.edu

University of Wisconsin – Madison Compact Muon Solenoid

14 November 2014

### Introduction



- Since CMS and ATLAS announced its discovery in 2012, the focus has been measuring the properties of the Higgs boson
- ▶ Presented here are property measurements performed in the  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$  channel at CMS
- We consider the final states  $4\mu$ , 4e, and  $2e2\mu$
- Measurements of the mass, width, and spin-parity will be shown
- ► These measurements use the full dataset recorded by CMS of LHC *pp* collisions
  - $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 7 TeV and  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 19.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 8 TeV
- CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the properties of a Higgs boson in the four-lepton final state, CMS-HIG-13-002, Submitted to Phys. Rev. D, arXiv:1312.5353 [hep-ex]

#### Event Selection

## Event Reconstruction and Selection

- We consider isolated muons and electrons coming from the primary vertex of 35
- Z1 Select the opposite-sign same-flavor lepton pair that is closest to the nominal Z mass
- $Z_2$  Of the remaining leptons, select the opposite-sign same-flavor lepton pair with the highest  $p_T$  scalar sum
- FSR If the inclusion of an FSR photon brings a Z candidates mass closer to nominal, keep it.  $\leq$  1 photon may be assigned to a Z candidate.



- ► Require that the leptons have a relative isolation of < 0.4 with an isolation cone of  $\Delta R < 0.4$
- Require m(ll) > 4 GeV on opposite-sign lepton pairs for QCD suppression
- Require  $40 < m_{Z_1} < 120$  GeV and  $12 < m_{Z_2} < 120$  GeV
- At least one lepton should have  $p_T > 20$  GeV and another  $p_T > 10$  GeV



#### Event Selection

## Observables



- Masses:  $m_{Z_1}, m_{Z_2}, m_{4l}$
- Event-by-event mass uncertainties
  - The momentum uncertainties from the four leptons are propagated into the four-lepton mass
  - $\mathcal{D}_m = \sigma_{m_{4l}}/m_{4l}$
- Kinematic Angles
  - $\theta^*$  Angle between  $Z_1$ 's trajectory and the beam axis
  - $\Phi_1$  Angle between the  $Z_1$  decay plane and the X decay plane
  - $\theta_{1,2}$  Angle between the negative lepton trajectory and the trajectory of its parent Z
    - $\Phi$  Angle between the decay planes of the two Zs





## Mass and Width Measurements



- Above are the scans of the negative log-likelihood  $-2\Delta \ln \mathcal{L}$  versus the mass  $m_H$  and width  $\Gamma_H$
- Combined mass measurement:

 $m_H = 125.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (syst.) GeV}$ 

- ▶ Upper limit of 3.4 GeV at 95% CL, with an expected upper limit of 2.8 GeV
- Measured width:

$$\Gamma_H = 0.0^{+1.3}_{-0.0} \text{ GeV}$$



## Spin-Parity Measurement Strategy



• The standard model  $J^P = 0^+$  hypothesis is tested against twelve alternate hypotheses

$J^P$	J <sup>P</sup> Production	$\int J^P$	J <sup>P</sup> Production
$0^{-}$	Any	$2_{m}^{+}$	$gg \to X$
$0_{h}^{+}$	Any	$2_{m}^{+}$	$q\bar{q} \to X$
1	$q\bar{q} \to X$	$2_{m}^{+}$	Any
1-	Any	$2_{b}^{+}$	$gg \to X$
1+	$q\bar{q} \to X$	$2_{h}^{+}$	$gg \to X$
1+	Any	$2_h^{-}$	$gg \to X$

- We use a mass window of  $106 < m_{4l} < 141$  GeV for the spin-parity analysis
- A 2D log-likelihood ratio test statistic is used to separate the hypotheses

$$q = -2\ln\left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{J^{P}}}{\mathcal{L}_{0^{+}}}\right]$$
(1)

- Where  $\mathcal{L}_{2D}^{J^{p}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{2D}^{J^{p}}(\mathcal{D}_{bkg}, \mathcal{D}_{J^{p}})$
- The discriminants are discussed on the next slide

Results Spin-Parity

## Spin-Parity Hypothesis Separation





- The values of q are shown for the standard model and the alternate J<sup>p</sup> hypotheses
- The expected distributions are created by generating MC toys assuming  $m_H = 125.6 \text{ GeV}$
- The observed value is indicated by a black point

#### Results Spin-Parity

## Spin-Parity Hypothesis Separation



$J^P$	$J^P$ Production	Expected ( $\mu = 1$ )	Obs. $0^+$	Obs. J <sup>P</sup>	$CL_s$
0-	Any	$2.4\sigma$ $(2.7\sigma)$	$-0.9\sigma$	$+3.6\sigma$	0.09%
$0_{h}^{+}$	Any	$1.7\sigma (1.9\sigma)$	$-0.0\sigma$	$+1.8\sigma$	7.1%
1 <sup>=</sup>	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow X$	$2.6\sigma$ $(2.7\sigma)$	$-1.4\sigma$	$+4.8\sigma$	0.001%
1-	Any	$2.6\sigma$ $(2.6\sigma)$	$-1.7\sigma$	$+4.9\sigma$	0.001%
1+	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow X$	$2.1\sigma$ ( $2.3\sigma$ )	$-1.5\sigma$	$+4.1\sigma$	0.03%
$1^{+}$	Any	$2.0\sigma$ $(2.1\sigma)$	$-1.9\sigma$	$+4.5\sigma$	0.01%
$2_{m}^{+}$	$gg \to X$	$1.7\sigma$ $(1.8\sigma)$	$-0.8\sigma$	$+2.6\sigma$	1.9%
$2_{m}^{+}$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow X$	$1.6\sigma (1.7\sigma)$	$-1.6\sigma$	$+3.6\sigma$	0.03%
$2_{m}^{+}$	Any	$1.5\sigma (1.5\sigma)$	$-1.3\sigma$	$+3.0\sigma$	1.4%
$2_{h}^{+}$	$gg \to X$	$1.6\sigma (1.8\sigma)$	$-1.2\sigma$	$+3.1\sigma$	0.9%
$2_{h}^{\tilde{+}}$	$gg \to X$	$3.7\sigma$ $(4.0\sigma)$	$+1.8\sigma$	$+1.9\sigma$	3.1%
$2^{\frac{n}{h}}_{h}$	$gg \to X$	$4.0\sigma$ $(4.5\sigma)$	$+1.0\sigma$	$+3.0\sigma$	1.7%

- The expected separation is shown when the signal strength is calculated from data, and when it is fixed to 1
- The observed values reflect the consistency with the 0<sup>+</sup> or J<sup>P</sup> models where the signal strength is allowed to float
- All cases are consistent with the standard model hypothesis

#### Summary

### Summary



- ▶ Presented are the CMS measurements of mass, width, and spin-parity in  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$  (CMS-HIG-13-002)
- Utilizing 5.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of 7 TeV and 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> of 8 TeV CMS data
- Mass
  - $m_H = 125.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (syst.) GeV}$
- Width
  - $\Gamma_H = 0.0^{+1.3}_{-0.0} \text{ GeV}$
  - Upper limit of 3.4 GeV at 95% CL (2.8 GeV expected)
- Spin-Parity
  - For all hypotheses tested, the CMS data are consistent with the standard model pure scalar hypothesis
  - Pseudoscalar and spin-1 hypotheses tested are excluded at 99% CL or higher
  - All spin-2 hypotheses tested are excluded at 95% CL or higher

The production and decay properties of the observed boson in the 4l final state are consistent with the standard model expectations

# **Backup Slides**

# Preselection and Physics Objects

### Triggers and Datasets

- Dimuon, Dielectron, and Muon + Electron datasets from the 7 and 8 TeV LHC run periods
- ► Corresponds to 5.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 7 TeV, and 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 8 TeV
- We use Double Muon, Double Electron, Triple Electron, and Electron + Muon high-level triggers

#### Electrons

- Required to have  $p_T > 7$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Includes identification using a multivariate method, and energy corrections/calibrations
- Required to come from the primary vertex

#### Muons

- Required to have  $p_T > 5$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.4$
- Includes energy corrections/calibrations
- Required to come from the primary vertex
- Final State Radiation (FSR)
  - The decay of a Z boson can be associated with final-state radiation photons  $(Z \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma)$ , and we wish to recover the energy from the radiated photon
  - ► Isolated photons are selected, and assigned to their closest preselected lepton by  $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2}$





• The mass and width measurements are performed using a likelihood fit with a 3D p.d.f.

$$\mathcal{L}_{3D}^{m,\Gamma}\left(m_{4l},\mathcal{D}_m,\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}\right) = \mathcal{P}(m_{4l}|m_H,\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_m)\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_m|m_{4l}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}|m_{4l}) \quad (2)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  We use a kinematic discriminant  $\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}$  to discriminate against the background

$$\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{0^+}^{kin}}{\mathcal{P}_{0^+}^{kin} + \mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{kin}} = \left[1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{kin}(m_{Z_1}, m_{Z_2}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l})}{\mathcal{P}_{0^+}^{kin}(m_{Z_1}, m_{Z_2}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l})}\right]^{-1}$$
(3)

• Where  $\vec{\Omega} = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta^*, \Phi, \Phi_1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}$  does not carry discrimination power based on  $m_{4l}$ 

## Spin-Parity Models



General decay amplitude for spin-0 boson to two vectors (v is the SM VEV) 

$$\begin{aligned} A(H \to ZZ) &= v^{-1} \left( a_1 \cdot m_Z^2 \epsilon_1^* \epsilon_2^* + a_2 \cdot f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} f^{*(2),\mu\nu} + a_3 \cdot f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} \tilde{f}^{*(2),\mu\nu} \right) \\ f^{(i),\mu\nu} &= \epsilon_i^{\mu} q_i^{\nu} - \epsilon_i^{\nu} q_i^{\mu} \\ \tilde{f}^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} &= 1/2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} f^{(i),\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_i^{\alpha} q_i^{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

### Description

- $0^{+}$ SM pure scalar ( $a_1$  dominates)
- Pseudoscalar ( $a_3$  dominates)  $0^{-}$
- $0_{h}^{+}$ Non-SM scalar with higher-dimension operators ( $a_2$  dominates)
- 1<sup>+</sup> Pseudovector
- $1^{-}$ Vector
- Graviton-like with minimal couplings
- $2_{b}^{+}$  $2_{b}^{+}$  $2_{h}^{+}$  $2_{h}^{-}$ Graviton-like where SM fields propogate in the bulk of extra dimensions
- Tensor with higher-dimension operators
- Pseudotensor with higher-dimension operators

## Spin-Parity Discriminants

We build a kinematic discriminant to separate the signal from background, which includes the discrimination power of m<sub>4l</sub>

$$\mathcal{D}_{bkg} = \left[1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{kin}(m_{Z_1}, m_{Z_2}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l}) \times \mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{mass}(m_{4l})}{\mathcal{P}_{0^+}^{kin}(m_{Z_1}, m_{Z_2}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l}) \times \mathcal{P}_{sig}^{mass}(m_{4l} | m_{0^+})}\right]^{-1}$$
(4)

> We build a similar discriminant to separate the different signal hypotheses

$$\mathcal{D}_{J^{P}} = \left[ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{J^{P}}^{kin}(m_{Z_{1}}, m_{Z_{2}}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l})}{\mathcal{P}_{0^{+}}^{kin}(m_{Z_{1}}, m_{Z_{2}}, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4l})} \right]^{-1}$$
(5)

- For spin-1 and spin-2 hypotheses, the angles  $\cos \theta^*$  and  $\Phi_1$  depend on the production mode
- To remove this dependence, we integrate out those angles, and make the following replacements in the discriminants

$$\mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{kin} \to \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Phi_1 \, d\cos\theta^* \, \mathcal{P}_{bkg}^{kin} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{J^{\rho}}^{kin} \to \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Phi_1 \, d\cos\theta^* \, \mathcal{P}_{J^{\rho}}^{kin} \tag{7}$$



# Angles-Only Spin-Parity Analysis

- As an alternate cross-check, the spin-parity analysis can also be performed using only a subset of the kinematic angles (CMS-approved D.A.B. PhD thesis analysis)
- > We build a log-liklihood ratio test statistic as before, with a 3D liklihood

$$\mathcal{L}_{3D} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{3D} \left( P_2(\cos \theta_1), P_2(\cos \theta_2), \cos(2\Phi) \right) \tag{8}$$

- The choice of angles is outlined in: Modak, Sahoo, Sinha, and Cheng, Inferring the nature of the boson at 125-126 GeV, arXiv:1301.5404 [hep-ph]
- The 3D p.d.f. used to compute the likelihood is an  $8 \times 8 \times 8$  template populated by Monte Carlo simulation for both signal and background (assuming  $m_H = 126$  GeV)
- Since the  $m_{4l}$  shape is not used here, a smaller mass window is utilized: 121.5 <  $m_{4l}$  < 130.5 GeV
- Hypotheses tested:  $0^-$ ,  $0^+_h$ ,  $2^+_m(gg)$ , and  $2^+_m(q\bar{q})$
- Uses 19.7  $fb^{-1}$  of 8 TeV data only



Angles-Only Spin-Parity

# Angles-Only Spin-Parity Analysis Results



The values of q are shown for the standard model and the alternate hypothesis, and the arrow indicates the observed value

Belknap (UW-Madison, CMS)



# Angles-Only Spin-Parity Analysis Results



J <sup>P</sup>	J <sup>P</sup> Production	Expected	Obs. 0 <sup>+</sup>	Obs. J <sup>P</sup>	$CL_{s}$		
$0^{-}$	Any	$1.83\sigma$	$-0.17\sigma$	+2.04 $\sigma$	4.8%		
$0_{h}^{+}$	Any	$1.33\sigma$	$+1.73\sigma$	$-0.30\sigma$	65%		
$2_{m}^{+}$	$gg \to X$	$1.11\sigma$	$-0.62\sigma$	$+1.77\sigma$	14%		
$2_{m}^{+}$	$q\bar{q} \to X$	$1.10\sigma$	$+1.08\sigma$	$-0.11\sigma$	63%		

(Belknap Ph.D. Thesis)

- > The expected separation is shown when the signal strength is calculated from data
- The observed values reflect the consistency with the 0<sup>+</sup> or J<sup>P</sup> models where the signal strength is allowed to float
- Using only three of the angles is not nearly as powerful as using all five angles with the masses
- Results are consistent with the previous spin-parity results