# WG1: Neutrino, Rare Muon and Kaon Physics summary

#### David E. Jaffe, Bob Tschirhart

Workshop on Applications of High Intensity Proton Accelerators October 19-21, 2009

David E. Jaffe, Bob Tschirhart (BNL)

21 Oct 09 1 / 13

#### Motivation: Physics beyond the Standard Model

ProcessPrediction $\nu$  oscillations (CP violation, etc.)

Measurement

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu^+ \to e^+ \gamma & \mathcal{B}_{SM} \sim 10^{-54} & < 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \\ \mu^- N \to e^- N & \mathcal{B}_{SUSY} \geq 10^{-18} & < 4.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ (Ti)} \\ g_\mu - 2 & a_\mu^{exp} - a_\mu^{SM} = (27.6 \pm 8.1) \times 10^{-10} \\ \mu \text{ EDM} & 0 & < 2 \times 10^{-19} \text{ } e \cdot cm \\ & \text{SUSY} \sim 10^{-24} \text{ } e \cdot cm \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\cal K}^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu} & {\cal B}_{SM} = (8.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-11} & (17.3^{+11.5}_{-10.5}) \times 10^{-11} \\ {\cal K}^0_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu} & {\cal B}_{SM} = (2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-11} & < 6.7 \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$ 

David E. Jaffe, Bob Tschirhart (BNL)

# LBNE MI $\nu$ beam (M.Bishai)

Long-baseline neutrino program

- 1280 km baseline to Homestake
- 20-year program
- 0.5 < E<sub>ν</sub> < 10 GeV to address most ν oscillation questions. Lower E<sub>ν</sub> not easily accessible due to Fermi motion.
- 700 kW @ 120 GeV (pre-ProjectX) with capability of 2MW @ 60
   120 GeV(ProjectX)
- Further design & optimization of target, focussing, decay region can improve sensitivity
- Fast-extracted beam
- Low-energy  $\nu$  beam option? (next slide)

# Conventional $\nu$ beam (M.Bishai)



Green lines are oscillation maxima at 1300 km baseline.

MiniBoone 8 GeV beam( $\times 10$ ) compared to 120 GeV MI beam

- Can increase MiniBoone 8 GeV ν flux by ×2 – 3 with more efficient focussing, longer decay pipe
- Water Č det. efficiency increases at low energy
- NC background smaller
- Is it possible to run 8 GeV beam interleaved with 120 GeV MI beam in LBNE beamline?
- Need detailed sensitivity calculation

#### Muons

- ▶  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma$ : Current experiment (MEG @ PSI) limited by detector resolution, not beam intensity. Difficult to see how to improve on PSI  $\mu^+$  production.
- ▶  $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ : Limited by beam background, so improvements in purity and intensity of  $\mu^-$  could improve sensitivity
- ► g<sub>µ</sub> 2: Improvements on BNL/CERN "magic momentum" technique possible.
- μ EDM is zero in SM. Second-generation sensitivity to new sources of CPV. Copious μ source (and thus proton source) required.

#### mu2e Muon Beam and Detector



#### $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ at ProjectX

- Beam time structure: Pulsed
- Proton beam energy: 2-5 GeV
- Beam pulse width: < 10 30 ns
- Beam pulse interval 0.3 2µs
- ▶ Extinction (proton + muon beam): 10<sup>-11</sup>
- Beam power: > 0.2 (2) MW
- ▶  $B(\mu^- N \to e^- N)/B(\mu^- N \to \nu N) < 10^{-17} \ (< 10^{-18})$  sensitivity

Beam pulse interval depends on Z of capture target and details of beam line.

Advances in  $\mu$  cooling techniques would be beneficial to this measurement.

# Pion yields (S.Striganov, MARS15)



- ► Low energy π<sup>-</sup> yield from Ta larger than C at P<sub>p</sub> = 3 GeV/c
- Normalized low energy π<sup>+</sup> yield larger at P<sub>p</sub> = 3 GeV/c than 8 GeV/c

# muon g - 2 (BNL method at magic momentum)

- Beam time structure: Pulsed
- Muon (magic) momentum: 3 GeV/c
- Proton beam energy: 8 GeV
- ▶ Beam pulse width: < 50 ns
- Beam pulse interval: 1ms
- ▶ Extinction: < 10<sup>-4</sup>
- Beam power: > 0.2 MW
- $\blacktriangleright$  Backward muon beam? Less background, need 5 GeV  $\pi$
- Reduce storage ring aperture to reduce unc. in average B field at cost of stored beam intensity

Beam pulse interval driven by  $64\mu$ s muon lifetime at 3 GeV/c. Measure muons for 10 lifetimes.

David E. Jaffe, Bob Tschirhart (BNL)

## Muon EDM

- Beam time structure: Pulsed
- beam pulse width: < 50 ns</p>
- beam pulse interval:  $\sim 10 \mu s$
- ▶ beam extinction: 10<sup>-9</sup>
- Muon momentum < 700 MeV/c
- Beam power: > 2 MW to reach  $10^{-25} e \cdot cm$  (guess)

#### $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ at Project X

	$K^+  o \pi^+ \nu \bar{ u}$	$K^0_L  o \pi^0  u ar u$
Beam time structure	DC	pulsed (25 MHz)
Proton beam energy	2.6-6 GeV	2.6-6 GeV
Beam pulse width	NA	< 50 ps
Beam pulse interval	NA	$\sim\!40~{ m ns}$
Extinction	NA	$10^{-3}$
Beam power	2 MW	2 MW
Poth over a star start ICD 2		

Both experiments prefer ICD-2.

Maximum power would allow reduction of phase space of kaon beams for higher beam purity, detection efficiency.

#### Kaon production (K.Gudima, MARS15-LAQGSM)

Optimal production rate at  $T_p \ge 4$  GeV is 8-10× rate at  $T_p = 2$  GeV



#### Considerations for kaon beams at ProjectX

- $K^+$  experiment uses stopped beam.
  - At low energy T<sub>p</sub> = 2.6 GeV K<sup>+</sup> stopping rate is 180× AGS, kaon production is not optimal and π<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> is 6× worse than at 6 GeV.
  - Requires improved separation. Perhaps RF separation is superior to electromagnetostatic separation (P.Cooper).
- ▶ Neutral kaon experiment uses TOF technique.
  - At  $T_p = 2.6$  GeV  $K_L^0$  flux would be  $25 \times$  KOPIO proposal,  $K_L^0$ /neutron is 4 times worse than KOPIO.
  - At  $T_p = 6$  GeV,  $K_L^0$ /watt would be  $3 \times$  higher still.
  - Need detailed optimization of target, neutral beam and detector.

Potential problem: Destruction of production target at 2 MW.