

🛟 Fermilab

Proton Bunch Compression Strategies Valeri Lebedev Fermilab

or workshop information and registration: http://conferences.fnal.gov/App-Proton-Accelerator/index.html



Workshop on Applications of High Intensity Proton Accelerators Fermilab October 19-21, 2009

Main Phenomena Limiting Bunch Compression

- Longitudinal instability
- Non-linearity of compressing RF fields

<u>Criterion of Longitudinal Beam Stability</u>

- For continuous beam
 - Equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} + \delta \omega \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \theta} + eE \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \underbrace{\tilde{f} \propto \tilde{f}_n \exp(i(\omega - n\theta))}_{f_n} \Rightarrow \quad i(\omega + n\eta\omega_0 x)\tilde{f}_n + \frac{eE_n}{p_0}\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$x = \frac{\Delta p}{p_0}$$
, $\delta \omega \equiv \omega - \omega_0 = \left(\frac{d\omega}{dx}x\right) = -\eta \omega_0 x$, $\eta = \alpha - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$.

$$E_{n} = \frac{Z_{n}}{2\pi R_{0}} I_{n} = \frac{Z_{n}}{2\pi R_{0}} I_{0} \int \tilde{f}_{n} dx , \qquad \int f_{0} dx = 1$$

It results in the dispersion equation

$$\left(\varepsilon_{n}(y) \equiv 1 + \frac{eI_{0}}{2\pi i c p_{0} \beta \eta \sigma_{p}^{2}} \left(\frac{Z_{n}}{n}\right)_{\delta \to +0} \frac{d\psi_{0}/dz}{y + z - i\delta \operatorname{sign}(n)} dz\right) = 0, \quad y = \frac{\delta \omega}{n \omega_{0} \eta \sigma_{p}}, \quad z = \frac{x}{\sigma_{p}}$$

Where we normalized the distribution function width to 1

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_p} \psi_0\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_p}\right)$$
, $\sigma_p^2 = \int x^2 f_0(x) dx$ so that $\int \psi_0(x) dx = 1$. $\int x^2 \psi_0(x) dx = 1$

At the stability boundary Im(δω) = 0 or Im(y) = 0
Thus, the stability boundary is characterized by the distribution function shape and one parameter

Stability Criterion and Growth Rate

Finally, stability boundary is

$$A(y) = \left(i \int_{\delta \to +0} \frac{d\psi_0 / dz}{y + z - i\delta \operatorname{sign}(n)} dz\right)^{-1}, \quad y = \frac{\delta \omega}{n\omega_0 \eta \sigma_p}$$

• where
$$A = \frac{eI_0}{2\pi c p_0 \beta \eta \sigma_p^2} \left(\frac{Z_n}{n}\right)$$

- For "rectangular" distribution there is no significant difference in stability thresholds above and below transition
 - In practice
 - $\operatorname{Im}(Z_n) >> \operatorname{Re}(Z_n)$
 - Longitudinal injection painting creates truncated tail
 - \Rightarrow Stability condition can be

approximated as

Well above stability threshold:



Proton bunch compression strategies, Valeri Lebedev, Fermilab; WAHIPA 09, Fermilab; Oct. 19-21, 2009

 $\frac{eI_0}{2\pi cp_0\beta\eta\sigma_p^2}\Big($

 $\left(\frac{Z_n}{n}\right) \le 2$

<u>Longitudinal Impedance</u>

- Longitudinal impedance has three major contributions
 - Space charge
 - For round beam & vacuum chamber with radius a

$$\frac{Z(\omega_n)}{n} = i \frac{Z_0}{\beta \gamma^2} \ln \left(\frac{a}{1.06\sigma}\right)$$

- Resistive wall
 - For round beam & vacuum chamber

 $\frac{Z(\omega_n)}{n} = \left(1 - i\operatorname{sign}(\omega_n)\right) \frac{Z_0\beta c}{2a\sqrt{2\pi\sigma\omega_n}}$



f [Hz]

Copper chamber, f₀ = 1.13 MHz, a = 4.8 cm, E=8 GeV

Effect of RF cavities, vacuum chamber discontinues, etc. can be controlled by machine design and dampers (f < 100 MHz)
Space charge contribution does not depend on frequency and dominates at all frequencies if an appropriate attention was paid to the vacuum chamber electrodynamics and E ≤ 20 GeV

Simple Stability Criterion

- At high frequencies, $\lambda_n \approx n\omega_0 \eta (\Delta p / p) >> \omega_s$, the continuous beam theory can be used for bunched beam
 - We assume
 - The space charge impedance dominates (γ < 20)
 - Before compression the bunch has uniform density and length L_b
 - Conservation of longitudinal impedance:

 $\frac{r_p N L_b}{\beta^2 \gamma^3 \eta (\sigma_p L_b)_{cm}^2} \ln \left(\frac{a}{1.06\sigma}\right) \le 1$

 $\sigma_p L_b = const$ - before and after compression

Then

Let's rewrite it for beam power

$$P_{\max} \le m_p c^2 (\gamma - 1) f_{rep} \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^3 \eta (\sigma_p L_b)_{fin}^2}{r_p L_{init} \ln \left(\frac{a}{1.06\sigma}\right)}$$

- Very steep dependence on beam energy
- For 8 GeV and above an operation well above transition maximizes η

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.08 & 0.08 & 0.08 \\ 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.02 & 0 \\ 0.02 & 0 & 0.04 & 0.02 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 15 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ Dependence of sllip-factor on tune

Dependence of sllip-factor on tune in the smooth focosing approximation for 8 GeV beam

Dependence of Maximum Power on Parameters

Assume

- Operation well above transition
 - Small tune
 - Large dispersion reduces the space charge tune shift
- Slip-factor (momentum compaction) increase is limited by the horizontal beam size in dipoles $\eta \approx \alpha \approx \frac{D}{R_0} \approx \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta p R_0}$,
- Momentum spread is limited by machine chromaticity For rough estimate it yields:

$$P_{\text{max}} \approx 0.72 \,\text{MW} \left(\frac{E}{8 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{f_{rep}}{15 \,\text{Hz}}\right) \left(\frac{L_b \sigma_p}{60 \,\text{cm} \times 1\%}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10 \,\text{m}}{L_{init}}\right)$$

What RCS can do?

- 340 kW with 3 turn injection from RCS to the compressor ring at 8 GeV
 - Compressor ring length = 1/3 of RCS
 - 2 ns single bunch as required for muon collider
- 1 MW will require
 - ♦ 3 mA current from CW linac
 - 6 MV installed CW RF
 - Or Transition to pulsed linac
 - Or Combination of CW and pulsed RF
 - ♦ 20 Hz repetition rate
 - tripling RCS RF power
 - doubling RCS RF voltage
 - Foil is OK
- Pulsed linac of ICD-I at 15 Hz will result approximately the same power
- Pulsed linac of ICD-2 can achieve 1 MW with laser injection only
 - Thin flat stream of liquid Li requires more insight

Numerical example for "ultimate" 4 MW ring

- Maximum possible slip factor
 - Its value is limited by beam size at high dispersion regions
- 4 T superferic dipoles
- 2T at beam boundary for quadrupoles
- Racetrack with minimum reasonable length of straight lines

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf E}_{\sf k} {=} 12 \; {\it GeV}, \quad {\it C} {=} 208 \; m \\ \alpha {=} 0.0957, \quad \eta {=} 0.090 \\ {\sf P} {=} 4 \; {\it MW}, \qquad {\it N}_{p} {=} 1.4 {\cdot} 10^{14} \\ \nu_{x}, \nu_{y} {=} 4.42/4.42 \\ \Delta \nu_{x}, \Delta \nu_{y} {=} 0.17/0.22 \\ (\Delta \nu {=} 0.366 \; {\rm for} \; {\sf D} {=} 0) \end{array}$



Ay disp

104.64

Major parameters of longitudinal dynamics

<u>Compressed beam</u>

Desired: σ_p =0.01, σ_s =60 cm RF voltage: V=3 MV (q=1) Bunch field: $E_{max}C = 350 \text{ kV}$ Rotation time: 136 turns $(1/(4v_s))$ Bucket height: ∆p/p=0.041 Peak beam current: 4.4 kA Number of Cavities = 5 Per cavity: $R/Q=25 \Omega$, Q=350, $P_{gen}=20 MV$ Injected beam $\eta_{ini}=L_b/C=0.22 \implies Peak beam current=150 A$ Injection time: 1800 turns for 80 mA peak linac current (18 mA average) Initial momentum distribution assumed to be close to Gaussian one Stability margin ~ 3 (σ_{pini} =5.3·10⁻⁴ requires linac beam debunching) $Z_n/n_{allowed}=14 \Omega$ (for Gaussian distribution) $Z_n/n_{\text{SpaceCharge}}=5 \Omega$

Simulations of injection and bunch rotation

Injected: σ_p≈5.3·10⁻⁴, $\eta_{inj}=L_b/C=0.22$

After rotation: σ_p≈0.01, σ_s≈100 cm



0

- 500

Ω

s [cm]

500



Transverse stability

- Assume
 - resistive wall makes major contribution (Cu, 2h=6 cm)

Eigen-values



For continuous beam

For compressed beam

 Transverse damper is required during injection and bunch compression

Conclusions

- ICD-2 can deliver ~340 kW at 10 Hz with required bunch length
- It looks feasible to achieve 4 MW at 15 Hz with 12 GeV beam
- It requires
 - 12 GeV linac with large beam current from linac (~30-100 mA) which cannot be supported CW linac of ICD-2
 - Or synchrotron with ~20 GeV energy