Can we predict performance of 650MHz cavity?

Dmitri A. Sergatskov, Fermilab (das@fnal.gov)

6th SRF Materials Workshop Tallahassee, FL 2010.02.18

Intro: 650 MHz requirements

- CW machine need to lower RF losses.
- => Paradigm shift: was "Get 35 MV/m" -> "Get 5 (8?) nOhm surface resistance"
 - "Going from 7.2 to 5 nOhm reduces cost of cryoplant by 20%"
- => Is it "5" or is it "8"? What can we expect? What can we deliver?

(Un)-Knowns

•
$$R_s \approx R_{BCS} + (R_{mag} + R_{res})$$

•
$$R_{BCS} = (A/T) \cdot f^2 \cdot \exp\{-B/T\}$$

- **A** = ?; **B** = ?

- What helps: Operate machine at 1.8K

• $R_{mag} = C \cdot B$; (B – residual magnetic field)

$$-$$
 C = ? ; **B** = ?

• $R_{res} = ?$



R

res

Jlab report by G. Ciovatti as presented by Padamsee on Fermilab colloq. (Nov. 2009) But what about residual magnetic fields?

Magnetic fields

- What else determines "C"?
 - Mag field "form factor" (uniform or not; orientation)?
 - Material properties besides RRR (small/large grain)?
- How well do we know magnetic field around cavities?



Room T measurements. See: Ginsburg, Reid, Sergatskov IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 19, issue 3, pp. 1419-1422



Fit parameters: A=7073.0, B=18.4, C=4.97

- At Fermilab R_{res} consistent 5nOhm +/- 0.5nOhm (1.3GHz Tesla as well as 335MHz SSR1 spoke resonators)
 - SSR1 resonator BCP + ultrasound +"crude" HPR
 - Double mag shield (mumetal + cryoperm) helps?
- Need more and better data (2.17 to 1.4K range)
- How is it all relevant to operation at high E?
 - What E can we commit to?