

# The Higgs Search Systematics Meeting Wish List



Tom, Steve, Wade  
and Everyone at the Meeting

Collected from the discussion, and our historical  
wish-list.

Some items may be missed! Please add to it.

- 1) Set limits on  $\sigma(\text{gg}\rightarrow\text{H})\cdot\text{Br}(\text{H}\rightarrow\text{WW})$  putting WH, ZH, and VBF at their SM values at each mH

We just did this with zero WH, ZH, and VBF in our 4<sup>th</sup> generation publication (in the arXiv today). Is this a worthwhile addition?

What is the most useful way to present our results?  $R_{\text{lim}}$ ? Others?

- 2) Make high-NN-score signal region plots for experimental input variables (to show that they are not on tails)
- 3) Make a high-NN-score plot for  $\text{pt}(\text{H})$ .  $y(\text{H})$ .
- 4) Plot  $\Delta(\text{Phi}_{\text{ll}})$  for the different Higgs Pt spectra (Pythia, and reweighted to HQT, HNNLO, FEHIP, ...)
- 5) Investigate the impact of the different jet definitions between the experimental cone or midpoint jets of size 0.4 and the  $K_{\text{T}}$  jets of Anastasiou, Grazzini, Dissertori, Stockli, and Webber
- 6) Consensus on  $\alpha_s$  and PDF uncertainty errors:  
Joey: add them in quadrature; C-P: add linearly

7) Consensus on adding scale and PDF uncertainty errors – Joey, Tom, Babis – add in quadrature for  $ggH$ .

C-P suggests that when one included scale uncertainty into the top cross section and refit the PDFs, the errors added linearly. Is this special to  $t\bar{t}$ ?

8) Check/add scale variation systematic uncertainties on predictions of observables used in PDF fits

9) 68% or 90% PDF uncertainties? Historically experimentalists have used 90% for acceptance uncertainties, but the arguments (different order PDFs and MC matrix elements) do not apply to cross section predictions

10) Check 4-flavor scheme vs. 5-flavor scheme predictions of the second  $b$  in  $Z+b+jets$ . Do we need to have some 4-flavor MC and some 5-flavor MC and match them like we do for  $t$ -channel single top?

11) Experimental analysis: Update the  $W+b+X$  cross section measurement ~ how often does the  $b$ -tagged jet contain two  $B$  hadrons?

- 12) Update the Z+b+X measurements with more data
- 13) Consensus on using NNLO+NNLL ggH production cross section vs. just NNLO?  
(Frank and C.-P. disagree)
- 14) Experimentalists provide goodness-of-fit numbers
- 15) Prescriptions for prior shapes to use  
  
Consensus on the scale variation range - ggH and other processes
- 16) PDF correlations (Joey promises these..., but we have to know what to ask for)
- 17) Consensus on exp vs theory  $\alpha_s$  uncertainties (does everyone agree with Frank?)
- 18) Biggest fears for failure modes for our existing simulations  
(WW  $\Delta\Phi$  bump question from Kirill?) - ways to address this.
- 19) Prescription to address ggH njets uncertainty. Does everyone agree with the "best calculation for each bin" method?

# Joey's Homework List

- (1) repeat LHC exercise for Higgs production uncertainties using CTEQ6.6, MSTW2008 and NNPDF
  - calculate an effective K'-factor for going to NNLO for CTEQ and NNPDF
- (2) calculate correlations between Higgs production cross sections and backgrounds (and among backgrounds and perhaps with respect to some SM benchmark cross sections at the Tevatron); foremost among the backgrounds is WW production; any other specific suggestions?
- (3) a comparison of predictions for Higgs kinematic distributions similar to that done for the LHC in <http://arXiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/0403100> (p. 51)