



OSG Storage Forum September 21, 2010 J. Ray Scott

© 2010 Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center

## **Project Summary**

- Evaluation of a Global Widearea File System for:
  - Performance
  - Robustness
- Leverage Work from Teragrid
- Software Support
  - PSC
  - Josephine Palencia, Brian Johanson
- Hardware Support
  - UF
- Testing
  - UF, FSI, FIU, PSC, others



### **Project Approach**

- Secure Infrastructure
- Installation Support
- Authentication Mapping
- Network Performance Measurement
- Application Integration
- Assessment and Project Support




### Secure Infrastructure

- Kerberos security infrastructure
- Lustre 2.0
- Installation Packages
  - Ease the software installation
  - Hide Kerberos from site administration



# **Example Site Configuration**





# Kerberized scp/kftp/gridftp: konFUSEd

#### Lustre WAN Login Node Client GridFTP •HPN SSH Server KFTP Server kinit joe@TERAGRID.ORG krb5cc\_1000 written to /tmp **File System File System** /boot /boot /usr /jwan /tmp /home /tmp "konfused" ticket propagated to /tmp (fuse interceptor)

thunderball.jwan.teragrid.org



pople.psc.edu

Installation Support – RPM Packaging Index of ftp://ftp.psc.edu/pub/jwan/Lustre-2.0-alpha/

Name	Size	Last Modified					
iii 1.9.210		10/20/2009	04:19:00 AM				
iii 1.9.280		11/03/2009	10:07:00 PM				
iii 1.9.50		06/04/2009	12:00:00 AM				

- > 1.10.0.40 Lustre 2.0 Beta 1
- > VM client/server rpms



## **Authentication Mapping**

- UID Mapping Using IU Developed Code
- Only Necessary Across Administrative
  Domains
  - Without UID synchronization



### **Network Performance Testing**

- Pre-Production Baseline Testing
- Ongoing Production Testing



### **Performance Measurement –Internal Testing**

#### Lustre-2.0 host parameter check

Site	PSC											
Date	9-Jul-10	9-Jul-10	9-Jul-10	29-Jul-10	29-Jul-10							
Hostname (.teragrid.org)	mgs.jwan	mds00w.psc.jwan	oss00w.psc.jwan	oss01w.psc.jwan	mgs1.jwan							
IP address	128.182.112.251	128.182.112.60	128.182.112.61	128.182.112.62	128.182.112.70							
OS	CentOS 5	CentOS 5	CentOS 5	CentOS 5	CentOS 5							
Interface	1GbE (NetXtreme)	1GbE	1GbE nVidia	1GbE nVidia	1GbE (NetXtreme)							
MTU	9000	9000	9000	9000	9000							
txqueuelen	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000							
net.ipv4.tcp_rmem	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216							
net.ipv4.tcp_wmem	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216							
net.ipv4.tcp_moderate_rcvbuf	1	1	1	1	1							
net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps	1	1	1	1	1							
net.core.rmem_max	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216							
net.core.wmem_max	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216	16777216							
net.core.rmem_default	126976	126976	126976	126976	109568							
net.core.wmen_default	126976	126976	126976	126976	109568							
net.core.netdev_max_backlog	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000							
Comments			1									



#### Performance Measurement – Internal Testing

iperf TCP test: Sender: iperf -c <hostname> -l128k -t300 -i10 Receiver: iperf -s -l128k -i2 netperf TCP test: Sender: netperf -H <hostname> -c -C -l 300 -D 2 -- m 128k -M 128k sar -P ALL 2 100

Receiver: netserver

<sup>1</sup> "Service Demand" in a\_STREAM test is the microseconds of CPU time consumed to transfer one KB (K == 1024) of data

Test date: 30-July-10										
Test and hosts	Contraction of the second	Press and Supply	Max thruput Gbps	% of max	Notes					
iperf: mgs.jwan<->mgs1.jwan	124	990	1	99						
iperf: mds00w.psc.jwan->mds01w.psc.jwan	118	940	1	94	M⊤U on mds01w.psc.jwan at 1500					
iperf: mds01w.psc.jwan->mds00w.psc.jwan	117	939	1	93.9	MTU on mds01w.psc.jwan at 1500					
iperf: oss01w.psc.jwan->mds02w.psc	124	990	1	99						
iperf: mds02w.psc->oss01w.psc.jwan	122	978	1	97.8	Max buffer on mds02w is too small					
iperf: mds02w.psc->mds00w.psc.jwan	122	978	1	97.8	Max buffer on mds02w is too small					
iperf: 128.182.112.61->mgs.jwan	124	990	1	99	oss00w? mds04w? Check host name/table					
iperf: mgs.jwan->128.182.112.61	124	990	1	99	oss00w? mds04w? Check host name/table					



#### Performance Measurement – TeraGrid

http://staff.psc.edu/benninge/ETF/PerfTests.htm

iperf TCP test:	Sender: iperf -c <hostname> -1128k -t300 -i10</hostname>	Receiver: iperf -s -1128k	-i2
netperf TCP test:	Sender: netperf -H <hostname> -c -C -l 300 -L</hostname>	) 2m 128k -M 128k	Receiver: netserver

Test date: 18-June-10										
Test and hosts		Thruput		% of max	Notes					
Iperf: mds18.psc->oss1.tacc	71.88	575	1	57.50						
Iperf: mds18.psc->oss1.tacc	70.75	566	1	56.60						
netperf: mds18.psc->oss1.tacc	74.38	595	1	59.50						
iperf: oss1.tacc->mds18.psc	67.00	536	1	53.60						
netperf: oss1.tacc->mds18.psc	66.25	530	1	53.00						
netperf: oss1.tacc->oss0.psc	66.97	535.72	1	53.57						

Test date: 21-June-10										
Test and hosts		Thruput Mb/s		% of max	Notes					
Iperf: mds18.psc->oss1.tacc	73.25	586	1	58.60						
Iperf: oss0.psc->oss2.tacc	75.63	605	1	60.50						
Iperf: oss0.psc->oss1.tacc	72.50	580	1	58.00						
Iperf: mds18.psc->oss2.tacc	70.13	561	1	56.10						
iperf: oss2.tacc->oss1.psc	68.88	551	1	55.10						
iperf: oss1.tacc->mds18.psc	68.25	546	1	54.60						
netperf: mds18.psc->oss1.tacc	72.33	578.6	1	57.86						
netperf: oss0.psc->oss2.tacc	75.04	600.31	1	60.03						
netperf: oss1.tacc->mds18.psc	68.22	545.75	1	54.58						

Test date: 23-June-10											
Test and hosts	Thruput MB/s			% of max	Notes						
netperf: oss0.psc->oss1.tacc	75.31	602.49	1	60.25							
netperf: oss1.tacc->oss0.psc	67.99	543.89	1	54.39							
netperf: oss1.tacc->oss0.psc	69.04	552.29	1	55.23							
netperf: oss1.tacc->mds18.psc	68.84	550.68	1	55.07							



# **On Going Network Performance Testing**

#### **Lustre-WAN Metrics**

From host: DC-V	From host: <u>DC-WAN</u>																Legend							
	08/	/18/20	010	08/	/17/20	10	08/	08/16/2010			08/15/2010			08/14/2010		08/13/2010		08/12/20		)10		<25MBps		
To host:	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	k	) a	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg		25-50MBps
IU BigRed	<u>75.0</u>	<u>58.8</u>	<u>65.1</u>	<u>68.5</u>	<u>34.7</u>	<u>46.0</u>	<u>64.6</u>	<u>43.6</u>	55.2	43.	<u>6 23</u>	<u>.5</u> 3	1.7	7 <u>6.6</u>	<u>58.1</u>	<u>66.3</u>	<u>45.0</u>	16.9	<u>28.0</u>	<u>19.2</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>13.5</u>	1	>50MBps
PSC Pople	<u>57.7</u>	gen	<u>57.3</u>	<u>60.3</u>	gen	<u>57.9</u>	<u>61.5</u>	58.3	60.5	59.	1 56	.6 5	7.6	<u>59.0</u>	gen	<u>57.5</u>	<u>59.3</u>	gen	40.2	<u>59.2</u>	<u>35.1</u>	51.8		Login Failure
TACC Lonestar	gen	gen	<u>N/A</u>		gen	N/A							N/A	gen	gen									Operation Timeout Checksum Failure
																							i	Generic Error
From nost: <u>IU B</u>	From host: <u>IU BigRed</u>														4									
	08/	18/20	010	08/	17/20	10	08/	/16/2	010	08/15/2010			08/14/2010		08	08/13/2010		08/12/201		010		speeds in MB/s.		
To host:	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	k	) a	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg		
DC-WAN	<u>77.1</u>	<u>61.1</u>	<u>68.2</u>	<u>74.7</u>	<u>64.0</u>	<u>69.0</u>	<u>71.7</u>	<u>62.1</u>	<u>65.9</u>	<u>73.</u>	<u>8 55</u>	<u>.4</u> 6	5 <u>.1</u>	7 <u>6.8</u>	<u>46.8</u>	<u>63.8</u>	<u>76.2</u>	<u>64.9</u>	<u>69.5</u>	<u>76.7</u>	<u>58.9</u>	<u>67.9</u>		
From host: J-W/	<u>AN</u>																						İ	
			0	08/18/	/2010	08	/17/2	010	08/	16/20	010	08/	15/2	010	08/	14/20	)10	08/1	3/201	0 0	3/12/2	2010	1	
To host:			ł	hi k	o avg	) hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo	avg	hi	lo av	/g hi	lo	avg		
PSC Goldeneye			Q	en ge	en N/A	A gen	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	gen o	ien N	/A ge	n <u>qer</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
PSC MDS 00W	00W gen gen N/A gen gen N/A gen					<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>qen</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>qen</u>	ien N	<u>A qe</u>	n <u>qer</u>	<u>N/A</u>						
From host: J-W/	AN D	DEV																					İ	
			(	)8/18/	/2010	08	/17/2	010	08/	16/20	010	08/	15/2	010	08/	14/20	)10	08/1	3/201	0 0	3/12/2	2010		



## **Application Integration**

- Largely Invisible to Application
- Performance
  - Large Metadata Operations
  - Data Locality
- Independent Assessment for LQCD, CMS services to include:
  - data integrity
  - accessibility
  - usability



### Application Integration, cont.

- maintainability
- ability to troubleshoot/isolate problems
- namespace
- IO performance
- Metrics and Assessment evaluate acceptability as production storage for LHC physics
- compare with Hadoop20 implementation
- test with SCEC and Protein Structure applications



## **Project WIKI**

#### PITTSBURGH SUPERCOMPUTING CENTER

#### TWiki > KerbLustre Web > ExTENCIProjectWithOSG

r113 - 21 Jul 2010 - 15:48:33 -JosephinAtPscEdu

#### ExTENCI with the OSG

#### Background: OSG

- http://www.opensciencegrid.org/
- consortium similar to the Teragrid with funding from NSF and DOE
- provides/uses middleware called Virtual Data Toolkit (VDT)
- established worldwide interoperable systems World Wide LHC Computing Grid for CERN LHC experiments

#### Background: ExTENCI

Some key ExTENCI's project goals (Extending Science Through Enhanced National Cyberinfrastructure)

- deploy distributed Lustre file system for use across the wide area network
- evaluate performance, robustness, and capabilities of a generally available "global wide area file system" as an integrating service across TeraGrid and OSG
- center the infrastructure at University of Florida for initial deployments/tests; software and security components of Lustre over the wide area are provided by PSC
- integrate/test initial applications and system integration at Fermilab (Lattice QCD, CMS and ATLAS) and the University of Chicago

This will supposedly tie in with the Lustre deployment service already part of the TeraGrid extension phase (March 2010-July 2011). EnCITE will extend this work to testing in the OSG environment to support both existing OSG and TeraGrid.

#### Main Collaborators:

- University of Florida (PI):
- Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center (co-PI)

#### Others

- University of Chicago (co-PI)
- Clemson University
- Louisiana State University
- Purdue University
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- Formi National Accelerator Laboratory



## Teragrid WIKI

http://teragridforum.org/mediawiki/index.php?title
 =JWAN:\_lustre-wan\_advanced\_features\_testing



#### Thank You

- Josephine Palencia <u>– Josephin@psc.edu</u>
- J. Ray Scott Scott@psc.edu

