

Rigid Supersymmetry in curved Superspace

Guido Festuccia
Institute for Advanced Study

Based on [arXiv:1105.0689](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0689) N.Seiberg, GF

Why study Susy on nontrivial backgrounds?

- ◆ A new handle to understand the dynamics of SUSY theories.
- ◆ Introduces new computable observables for known theories.

Questions we want to answer

- ◆ Which backgrounds allow for Susy?
- ◆ What are the corresponding superalgebras?
- ◆ How do we construct Lagrangians?
- ◆ How do we compute (I'll not address this question here)?

Outline

- ◆ The standard approach.
- ◆ A new description in terms of background superfields.
- ◆ Examples: AdS , S^4 , $S^3 \times S^1$, S^3 .
- ◆ An Index.
- ◆ Conclusion.

The customary approach

- ◆ Start with a Lagrangian in flat space.
- ◆ Deform the metric to a curved manifold with size parametrized by r . Generically **Susy**.
- ◆ A Deformation of the Susy variations of the fields and the Lagrangian order by order in $1/r$ to preserve Susy is **sometimes** possible.
- ◆ If lucky the expansion terminates!

A different approach

- ◆ Start with off-shell formulation of Supergravity.
- ◆ Set arbitrary background values for the metric and auxiliary fields in the gravity multiplet.

The Rigid limit (\neq Linearized limit):

- ◆ Set the gravitino $\psi_{\mu\alpha}$ to zero.
- ◆ Take $M_p \rightarrow \infty$ to decouple the gravity multiplet keeping background values fixed.
- ◆ Susy is preserved if there exists ζ_α which sets $\delta_\zeta \psi_{\mu\alpha} = 0$ this requirement gives integrability conditions for the metric and auxiliary fields.

Advantages

- ◆ Unified treatment of different cases. The consistency conditions do not depend on the matter content.
- ◆ Great simplification.
- ◆ The auxiliary fields couple to definite components of the Supercurrent \Rightarrow the deformation of the theory can be described also when a Lagrangian is not available.
- ◆ As the gravity multiplet is not on-shell different formulations of SUGRA can allow different backgrounds.

Example: AdS [Zumino...]

- ◆ In Old Minimal SUGRA the auxiliary fields are a complex scalar M, \bar{M} and a real vector b_μ .
- ◆ Set the metric to be AdS of radius r .
- ◆ Set $M = \bar{M} = 3/r$ and $b_\mu = 0$.
- ◆ Can solve $\delta_\zeta \psi_{\mu\alpha} = \nabla_\mu \zeta_\alpha + (iM/3) (\sigma_\mu \bar{\zeta})_\alpha = 0$.
- ◆ The resulting superalgebra is $OSp(1|4)$.
- ◆ Kähler transformations allow to adsorb the superpotential W in the Kähler potential. W is not protected and holomorphy not applicable.

How to get S^4

- ◆ Analytically continue M, \bar{M} to $M = \bar{M} = -3i/r$ or equivalently $r \rightarrow ir$ in euclidean AdS.
- ◆ When not superconformal the theory is **not reflection positive** at $O(m/r)$. There is no unitary Susy theory on dS!
- ◆ The isometry $SO(5)$ does not extend to a real form for $OSp(1|4)$: the Q_α **anticommute to a complexified isometry**.
- ◆ As in AdS the superpotential is not protected because of Kähler transformations. **No holomorphy**.
- ◆ It is still possible to compute in $N=2$ [**Pestun...**].

Example: $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ [D.Sen, Romelsberger...]

- ◆ Set $M, \bar{M}=0$ and constant b_μ along the axis.
- ◆ The resulting Q_α are time dependent unless $\exists U(1)$ R-symmetry and a background $U(1)_R$ gauge field.
- ◆ Alternatively if $U(1)_R$ present work in new minimal SUGRA. The auxiliary fields are the $U(1)_R$ gauge field $A_\mu \sim A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varphi$ and a real 2 form $B_{\mu\nu} \sim B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu a_\nu + \partial_\nu a_\mu$.
- ◆ Set A_μ along the axis and $H=dB$ threading S^3 .
- ◆ The superalgebra is $SU(2|1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$.
- ◆ $M, \bar{M}=0 \Rightarrow$ Kahler transformations do not change W .

$$S^3 \times S^1$$

- ◆ With time independent Q_α we can go to euclidean space and compactify euclidean time to get $S^3 \times S^1$.
- ◆ This works only for theories with a global $U(1)$ R-symmetry.
- ◆ By reducing along the S^1 we get an N=2 theory on S^3 [Kapustin,Willet,Yaakov; Jafferis....].
- ◆ On S^3 as on S^4 if the theory is not superconformal there is no reflection positivity.
- ◆ If flavor $U(1)_F$ are present can give the relative background gauge fields $A_{F\mu}$ arbitrary complex values. Leads to shifts in the R-charges and real masses in 3D.

An Index

$$Z = \text{Tr} (-1)^F \exp \left(-\beta H - \frac{\beta}{r} \sum_F \mu_F Q_F \right)$$

- ◆ Compute the partition function on $S^3 \times S^1$.
- ◆ Complex chemical potentials μ_F for $U(1)_F$ can be introduced. The dependence on μ_F is holomorphic.
- ◆ Only states in short representations of $SU(2|1)$ contribute. This is an index [Romelsberger].

- ◆ It does not vary as the 4d Lagrangian parameters are changed. It is the same in the UV and IR. Can be used to test many dualities [[Dolan, Osborn; Spiridonov, Vartanov,...](#)].
- ◆ If superconformal reduces to superconformal index [[Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju](#)]. Otherwise the use of this term is misleading.

Conclusions

- ◆ There are many interesting examples of Susy theories on curved manifolds.
- ◆ They can be described in a unified way.
- ◆ New interesting observables can be defined and computed in many cases.
- ◆ Another handle to understand dynamics of Susy theories.
- ◆ We only started answering the questions at the beginning! Lots to be uncovered?

Thank You !