Dennis Box, Joe Boyd, Rick Snider on behalf of REX

Definitions and context Basic objectives Requirements

> NuComp meeting Fermilab Oct 13, 2010

### Definitions and resources

- Computing element (CE)
  - A grid headnode + the associated worker nodes serviced by that node
- "Local batch" (via General Physics Computing Facility)
  - Pool with priority access to sub-set of machines per experiment
  - To be used mainly for small-scale projects/testing
  - All experiment-specific resources available (home areas, data/sw disks)
  - Not the grid (?)
- "GP grid" (aka "local grid" or "Fermigrid")
  - Various centrally maintained CEs
  - Most experiment-specific resources available (no home areas)

### Definitions and resources

- Other resources on Fermigrid (a seriously overloaded term...)
  - On-site CEs purchased specifically for other experiments
  - Opportunistic or pre-arranged access
  - Reasonable connectivity to experiment disk, but no guarantee of mounts
- Grid at large (aka "OSG")
  - CEs, storage accessible only via fully grid compliant mechanisms
    - ► Should generally assume high-latency, intermittent connectivity
  - At least two flavors:
    - ► Collaborating institutions with priority access rights
    - ► Non-collaborating sites with only opportunistic / pre-arranged access
  - Typically some work to integrate remote sites into an experiment

### What does "job submission" include?

- "Job submission" cuts through several layers
  - Submission client
    - ► What end users see: includes the feature set and user interface
    - Independent of underlying batch system(s)
  - Job submission and management infrastructure
    - ► Talks to the submission client and the batch system
    - ► May include pieces that live on several machines + pieces submitted with the job
    - Exploits features specific to a particular batch system
  - Batch system configuration
    - ► Provides features to support management of resource utilization

Note that the relevant "batch system" may not be the one in operation at a given site.

► Can "overlay" one batch system on another

### Some notes on the discussion that follows

- Cast in declarative terms, but really seeking input as much as trying to present a vision
- Attempted to abstract the requirements from any given batch system
  - Have adopted some of the language of Condor for conceptual purposes (although direct mapping of conceptual requirements onto actual features is obviously a good thing).

### Read the requirements slides in the following way:

- A requirement (the big bullet items)
  - Discussion, considerations, issues, examples
    but not "requirements" in all the other bullets

### Basic objectives

#### For end-users

- To provide access to distributed (grid) computing resources
  - "local" resources in this context = one instance from a set of grid resources
- To simplify the task of utilizing these resources to solve complex or largescale computing problems

#### For experiment management

- To allow experiments to manage utilization of the available resources to meet physics objectives
- For computing system operators
  - To provide mechanisms to manage utilization of the available resources in order to maximize computing throughput
  - To minimize the effort required to do so across multiple experiments

The underlying assumption: limited computing resources available

(in no particular order)

- Common submission client for all IF experiments
  - Isolates users from direct interaction with batch system
    - Allows uniform interface (although options may differ between experiments)
  - Provides primary mechanism for simplifying complex job submissions
    - Automatically generate submission configuration files for particular use cases
    - ► Ex: jobs that require pre-staging of data from tape before processing begins
    - ► Ex: jobs that require certain steps to complete before others can start
  - Allows instrumentation of job submission
    - ► Collect monitoring, debugging data beyond that of the underlying batch system
      - For example, "your job died because you used this switch incorrectly, try this instead"
    - Collect data for usage analysis
      - May require application-level information
- Common submission infrastructure for all IF experiments
  - Mostly same as above
  - Reduces required support load

- Provides support for steering of jobs to specific resources
  - GPCF, GP grid, Fermigrid, OSG
  - Specific CEs or sets of CEs when useful (eg, a particular OSG site)
    - ► Reasons could include testing or the location of a resource or dataset of interest
    - ► A critical feature during times of OS migration

- Supports the concept of "groups" for accounting and priority
  - Need to distinguish members of different experiments (ie, VO membership)
    - Provide priority access to particular machines
    - ► Provide certain number of slots with priority access on a given CE or set of CEs
    - ► Limit opportunistic users
  - Provide a structure for experiment to manage limited computing resources
    - ► Define special groups for various types of processing, for instance:
      - > Service groups for centrally managed data production, MC production
      - ⊳ High priority groups for rapid processing for certain jobs
      - ▶ Low priority groups for things that should only run if absolutely nothing else needs CPU
      - ⊳ Etc.
    - ► Can set slot limits, steer to particular resources, etc, based upon group
    - ► Users select the submission group. Several default groups available to all users.
    - Experiment management can set high priority group membership

- Supports specification of resource requirements "external" to the job
  - Input and output data sources
  - Required access to experiment code base, etc.
  - User-imposed limit on number of simultaneously executing jobs
  - Approximate job execution time
    - ► Could allow limited number of short jobs to execute with higher priority than otherwise equal long jobs
    - ► Need at least a "test queue" for very small number of very short jobs

These specifications can be used for job steering, throttling, or other resource management algorithms

- Supports job ordering dependencies
  - For example, pre-staging data files from tape prior to executing the jobs that consume them
  - REX will implement and support experiment-defined workflows when possible and appropriate
- Supports logging of job submission information not available via batch system
  - Needed for operations, resource management, and planning
- Operational requirements
  - Not yet defined, but are considering how / if to define requirements for:
    - ► Deployment
      - ▷ Eg, shouldn't need stop everything just to update the code, or change configuration
    - ► Robustness

- Provides extensible and maintainable code base
  - Extensible in the sense that (these are requirements):
    - ► Experiment-specific customization do not require modification of core code
    - Submission configuration adaptable from the command line
      - Allows rapid adaption to changes in underlying batch system (usually out of our control)
- Returns error messages that users can understand, respond to
  - Easier said than done...
- Provides tools to assist with tarball creation
  - Will be a necessary part of working on the grid...
- Provides sensible defaults so that the most simple command is almost always the correct one to use
  - Most users, most of the time

### When do I get all this?

- Short term goals
  - Provide basic functionality
    - ► The system evolving from \* jobsub does this
  - Agree on the requirements
- Intermediate goals (work in progress now)
  - Re-write and unification of 'jobsub' scripts (in beta now)
  - Extensibility provided via sub-classing for each experiments
  - Will provide easy transition for users
- Longer term
  - Infrastructure that ships monitoring suite with the user job
  - Everything else

Need to work out details of how to proceed when done with requirements