Snowmass 2021: EF09 Expression of Interest

Wade Fisher, Daniel Hayden, Ahmed Tarek,
on behalf of MSU Group.

EF09 Meeting
29th May 2020

Many Related Interpretations

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Upper Exclusion Limits

Status: May 2019

ATLAS Preliminary

$\int \mathcal{L}\, dt = (3.2 - 139)$ fb^{-1} $\sqrt{s}$ = 8, 13 TeV

	Model	ℓ,γ	Jets†	E_T^{miss}	$\int \mathcal{L}\, dt$[fb^{-1}]	Limit		Reference
Extra dimensions	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$	0 e,μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	M_D 7.7 TeV	$n = 2$	1711.03301
	ADD non-resonant $\gamma\gamma$	2 γ	–	–	36.7	M_S 8.6 TeV	$n = 3$ HLZ NLO	1707.04147
	ADD QBH	–	2 j	–	37.0	M_{th} 8.9 TeV	$n = 6$	1703.09127
	ADD BH high $\sum p_T$	≥ 1 e,μ	≥ 2 j	–	3.2	M_{th} 8.2 TeV	$n = 6$, $M_D = 3$ TeV, rot BH	1606.02265
	ADD BH multijet	–	≥ 3 j	–	3.6	M_{th} 9.55 TeV	$n = 6$, $M_D = 3$ TeV, rot BH	1512.02586
	RS1 $G_{KK} \to \gamma\gamma$	2 γ	–	–	36.7	G_{KK} mass 4.1 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.1$	1707.04147
	Bulk RS $G_{KK} \to WW/ZZ$	multi-channel			36.1	G_{KK} mass 2.3 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 1.0$	1808.02380
	Bulk RS $G_{KK} \to WW \to qqqq$	0 e,μ	2 J	–	139	G_{KK} mass 1.6 TeV	$k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 1.0$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-003
	Bulk RS $g_{KK} \to tt$	1 e,μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1J/2j	Yes	36.1	g_{KK} mass 3.8 TeV	$\Gamma/m = 15\%$	1804.10823
	2UED / RPP	1 e,μ	≥ 2 b, ≥ 3 j	Yes	36.1	KK mass 1.8 TeV	Tier (1,1), $\mathcal{B}(A^{(1,1)} \to tt) = 1$	1803.09678
Gauge bosons	SSM $Z' \to \ell\ell$	2 e,μ	–	–	139	Z' mass 5.1 TeV		1903.06248
	SSM $Z' \to \tau\tau$	2 τ	–	–	36.1	Z' mass 2.42 TeV		1709.07242
	Leptophobic $Z' \to bb$	–	2 b	–	36.1	Z' mass 2.1 TeV		1805.09299
	Leptophobic $Z' \to tt$	1 e,μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1J/2j	Yes	36.1	Z' mass 3.0 TeV	$\Gamma/m = 1\%$	1804.10823
	SSM $W' \to \ell\nu$	1 e,μ	–	Yes	139	W' mass 6.0 TeV		CERN-EP-2019-100
	SSM $W' \to \tau\nu$	1 τ	–	Yes	36.1	W' mass 3.7 TeV		1801.06992
	HVT $V' \to WZ \to qqqq$ model B	0 e,μ	2 J	–	139	V' mass 3.6 TeV	$g_V = 3$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-003
	HVT $V' \to WH/ZH$ model B	multi-channel			36.1	V' mass 2.93 TeV	$g_V = 3$	1712.06518
	LRSM $W_R \to tb$	multi-channel			36.1	W_R mass 3.25 TeV		1807.10473
	LRSM $W_R \to \mu N_R$	2 μ	1 J	–	80	W_R mass 5.0 TeV	$m(N_R) = 0.5$ TeV, $g_L = g_R$	1904.12679
CI	CI $qqqq$	–	2 j	–	37.0	Λ 21.8 TeV η_{LL}^-		1703.09127
	CI $\ell\ell qq$	2 e,μ	–	–	36.1	Λ 40.0 TeV η_{LL}^-		1707.02424
	CI $tttt$	≥1 e,μ	≥1 b, ≥1 j	Yes	36.1	Λ 2.57 TeV	$\lvert C_{4t}\rvert = 4\pi$	1811.02305
DM	Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM)	0 e,μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	m_{med} 1.55 TeV	g_q=0.25, g_χ=1.0, $m(\chi) = 1$ GeV	1711.03301
	Colored scalar mediator (Dirac DM)	0 e,μ	1 – 4 j	Yes	36.1	m_{med} 1.67 TeV	g=1.0, $m(\chi) = 1$ GeV	1711.03301
	$VV\chi\chi$ EFT (Dirac DM)	0 e,μ	1 J, ≤ 1 j	Yes	3.2	M_* 700 GeV	$m(\chi) < 150$ GeV	1608.02372
	Scalar reson. $\phi \to t\chi$ (Dirac DM)	0-1 e,μ	1 b, 0-1 J	Yes	36.1	m_ϕ 3.4 TeV	$y = 0.4$, $\lambda = 0.2$, $m(\chi) = 10$ GeV	1812.09743
LQ	Scalar LQ 1st gen	1,2 e	≥ 2 j	Yes	36.1	LQ mass 1.4 TeV	$\beta = 1$	1902.00377
	Scalar LQ 2nd gen	1,2 μ	≥ 2 j	Yes	36.1	LQ mass 1.56 TeV	$\beta = 1$	1902.00377
	Scalar LQ 3rd gen	2 τ	2 b	–	36.1	LQ_3^u mass 1.03 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(LQ_3^u \to b\tau) = 1$	1902.08103
	Scalar LQ 3rd gen	0-1 e,μ	2 b	Yes	36.1	LQ_3^d mass 970 GeV	$\mathcal{B}(LQ_3^d \to t\tau) = 0$	1902.08103
Heavy quarks	VLQ $TT \to Ht/Zt/Wb + X$	multi-channel			36.1	T mass 1.37 TeV	SU(2) doublet	1808.02343
	VLQ $BB \to Wt/Zb + X$	multi-channel			36.1	B mass 1.34 TeV	SU(2) doublet	1808.02343
	VLQ $T_{5/3}T_{5/3}\lvert T_{5/3} \to Wt + X$	2(SS)/≥3 e,μ	≥1 b, ≥1 j	Yes	36.1	$T_{5/3}$ mass 1.64 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(T_{5/3} \to Wt) = 1$, $c(T_{5/3}Wt) = 1$	1807.11883
	VLQ $Y \to Wb + X$	1 e,μ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1j	Yes	36.1	Y mass 1.85 TeV	$\mathcal{B}(Y \to Wb) = 1$, $c_R(Wb) = 1$	1812.07343
	VLQ $B \to Hb + X$	0 e,μ, 2 γ	≥ 1 b, ≥ 1j	Yes	79.8	B mass 1.21 TeV	$\kappa_B = 0.5$	ATLAS-CONF-2018-024
	VLQ $QQ \to WqWq$	1 e,μ	≥ 4 j	Yes	20.3	Q mass 690 GeV		1509.04261
Excited fermions	Excited quark $q^* \to qg$	–	2 j	–	139	q^* mass 6.7 TeV	only u^* and d^*, $\Lambda = m(q^*)$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-007
	Excited quark $q^* \to q\gamma$	1 γ	1 j	–	36.7	q^* mass 5.3 TeV	only u^* and d^*, $\Lambda = m(q^*)$	1709.10440
	Excited quark $b^* \to bg$	–	1 b, 1 j	–	36.1	b^* mass 2.6 TeV		1805.09299
	Excited lepton ℓ^*	3 e,μ	–	–	20.3	ℓ^* mass 3.0 TeV	$\Lambda = 3.0$ TeV	1411.2921
	Excited lepton ν^*	3 e,μ,τ	–	–	20.3	ν^* mass 1.6 TeV	$\Lambda = 1.6$ TeV	1411.2921
Other	Type III Seesaw	1 e,μ	≥ 2 j	Yes	79.8	N^0 mass 560 GeV		ATLAS-CONF-2018-020
	LRSM Majorana ν	2 μ	2 j	–	36.1	N_R mass 3.2 TeV	$m(W_R) = 4.1$ TeV, $g_L = g_R$	1809.11105
	Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\ell$	2,3,4 e,μ (SS)	–	–	36.1	$H^{\pm\pm}$ mass 870 GeV	DY production	1710.09748
	Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\tau$	3 e,μ,τ	–	–	20.3	$H^{\pm\pm}$ mass 400 GeV	DY production, $\mathcal{B}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell\tau) = 1$	1411.2921
	Multi-charged particles	–	–	–	36.1	multi-charged particle mass 1.22 TeV	DY production, $\lvert q\rvert = 5e$	1812.03673
	Magnetic monopoles	–	–	–	34.4	monopole mass 2.37 TeV	DY production, $\lvert g\rvert = 1g_D$, spin 1/2	1905.10130

Legend: $\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV | $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV partial data | $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV full data

Limit axis: 10^{-1}, 1, 10 — Mass scale [TeV]

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Combination: Spin-1 Interpretation

Link



Combination: Spin-1 Interpretation



Particularly interesting for Snowmass would be to look at VBF channels, where sensitivity is currently low due to dataset size.

Can use inputs from other Snowmass searches to perform combination.

- This is something we didn't have for Snowmass 2013.
- Shows additional complementarity of improvements from this exercise.

What are the limitations in these new scenarios? Experiment? Theory?

EFT Interpretations and Interplay

Effective field theory (EFT) can be used to probe BSM physics at high mass scales Λ_{NP}

From K. Mimasu





- Main advantage of EFTs is model independence.
  - However, validity depends on the UV-complete BSM.
  - E.g: inferring m_W from Fermi theory G_F depends on g_2

$$G_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{4\Lambda^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}g_2^2}{8m_W^2}$$

Study goals

- ***Top-down*** approach to study matching scenarios and EFT/direct search interplay:
  - Using existing EFT matching dictionaries (e.g. 1711.10391)
  - Mapping a resonance at high energy to lower energy EFT constraints
  - Study the effects of the Λ_{NP} scale on the EFT validity
  - Study the effects of the dimension-6 operator truncation
  - Compare evolution of direct search limits with corresponding EFT constraints
    - Would it be possible to derive validity maps from one paradigm to the other?

MSU Group

Various people at MSU working on different aspects, would be interested to find other people with similar interests to cooperate with.

Improved jet identification algorithms in high pile-up scenarios.

2 Postdocs, 1 Grad, 1 Prof.

Improved understanding (reduction) of theory uncertainties.

2 Profs.

Heavy resonance searches at future colliders

The combination of those heavy resonance searches, and interplay with EFTs.

1 Postdoc, 3 Grads, 2 Profs

Backup


q
V/V
V'
$\bar{q}$
V/H
q
q
V
V
V'
V
V
q
q
g
V
ϕ
g
V
q
$\ell/\ell/q/q$
V'
$\bar{q}$
$\bar{\ell}/\bar{\nu}/\bar{q}/q'$
g
V/q
G_{KK}
g
$V/\bar{q}$
q
q
V
V
ϕ
V
V
q
q