### Proton reconstruction in protoDUNE

Xianguo Lu University of Oxford

2020 June 4 ProtoDUNE Analysis Meeting



- Proton Fermi motion observed
- 218 phase-space cut exclusive events / 3263 pi+ beam events = 6.7%

- Neutron Fermi motion observed
- 260 phase-space cut exclusive events / 3263 pi+ beam events = 8.0%
- Impose kinetic energy threshold for p  $\pi$ +

Xianguo Lu, Oxford

 require exactly 1 proton above threshold (=remove events with subleading proton above threshold) Data set:

\_datadriven\_forced\_reco

calcuttj\_PDSPProd2\_MC\_1GeV\_reco\_sce\_datadriven\_forced\_reco 3447 out of all 3486 files finished without error

statistics: the total merged file size is 381M. The merged tree has 25947 entries.

#### The following true-level variables are used: vector<int> \*true\_beam\_daughter\_PDG=0x0; vector<double> \*true\_beam\_daughter\_startPx=0x0; vector<double> \*true\_beam\_daughter\_startPy=0x0; vector<double> \*true\_beam\_daughter\_startPz=0x0; double true\_beam\_endPx = -999; double true\_beam\_endPy = -999; double true\_beam\_endPz = -999; int true\_beam\_PDG = -999;



# Purpose of this feasibility study:

- Figure out signal definition
- Estimation statistics

3263 pi+ beam events (3263/25947 = 12.6%)

Q: are these true events AFTER reconstruction? (That is, already suppressed by 1-efficeincy?)





#### Exclusive $p\pi$ + event selection:

- At least 1 proton (leading proton kinematics used in calculation)
- Exactly 1  $\pi$ +, no other pions
- Don't care about neutron, gamma, nucleus
- Phase space cut (to be added after a few slides)
- $\rightarrow$  708 p $\pi$ + events selected

(708/3263= 22%)



Purpose of this study:

- Check proton reconstruction efficiency and momentum resolution
- Try to improve momentum resolution with ESC proton selection

Data set:

calcuttj\_PDSPProd2\_MC\_1GeV\_reco\_sce\_datadriven\_forced\_reco

#### The following **reco-level** variables are used:

```
vector<int>* true_beam_daughter_ID = 0x0;
vector<int>* reco_daughter_PFP_true_byHits_ID = 0x0;
vector<int>* reco_daughter_allTrack_ID = 0x0;
vector<double>* reco_daughter_allTrack_momByRange_proton = 0x0;
vector<vector<double> >* reco_daughter_allTrack_calibrated_dEdx_SCE = 0x0;
```



3263 pi+ beam events (3263/25947 = 12.6%)

**2671** events have protons (2671/3263 = 82%)

Look into proton true sample, find the reconstructed protons







T. & Rec & ncl>=6 & signal sample: "Integrated" resolution

- 9% bias
- 12% RMS



Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

**MINERvA** Archive

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



 $\rightarrow$  Cut on dEdx from track end point





E0 and E1 look "weird" due half-dead proton not traversing the full "cluster length"

#### T. & Rec & ncl>=6 sample: Resolution for leading proton momentum as a function of startE0,1,2



#### T. & Rec & ncl>=6 sample: Resolution for leading proton momentum as a function of startE0,1,2



Can require startE2 > 10 to improve resolution

Bad Good resolution

#### T. & Rec & ncl>=6 sample: Resolution for leading proton momentum as a function of startE3,4,5



#### T. & Rec & ncl>=6 sample: Resolution for leading proton momentum as a function of startE3,4,5



Events with low dEdx at track end all have bad resolution

#### **Start** of track endE0,1,2

#### End of track startE0,1,2,3,4,5



After applying startE2>10 cut: all look much more reasonable



0

-0.8

-0.6

-0.4 -0.2

0.2

0

 $p_{
m p}^{
m rec}/p_{
m p}^{
m true}$ -1

0.4

0.6

0.8

1.2

1

Xianguo Lu, Oxford

0.2

0.4

0.6

 $p_{\rm p}^{\rm true}~({\rm GeV}/c)$ 

0.8

-0.8

-1<u>-</u>



After applying startE2>10 cut



Xianguo Lu, Oxford



Xianguo Lu, Oxford



After **ESC** proton selection

### Summary and discussions

- 1. Looked at proton reconstruction efficiency and momentum resolution using Jake's sample
  - Efficiency onset at ~0.2 GeV/c, saturate at 55% for pi+ beam events, and ~10% for pπ signal events (denominator all pi+ beam events)
  - Signal sample proton momentum bias 9%, resolution 12%
- 2. The proton momentum resolution can be improved by **ESC** (Elastically Scattered Contained) protons selection



- Efficiency onset at ~0.4 GeV/c, saturate at ~8% for pπ signal events (denominator all pi+ beam events)
- Signal sample proton momentum bias 5%, resolution ~8%.
- 3. Reconstruction efficiency for signal events (denominator true signal events): 40~60%
- 4. Need some investigation on dE/dx to further improve resolution.

## BACKUP





- Require number of dEdx cluster >= 6
- Requires to be signal events

Reconstruction efficiency

- Onset at 0.2 GeV/c
- Saturates at 12% from 0.45 GeV/c



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions

#### Homogeneous non-magnetized tracker Momentum by range



Momentum-range correlation best known when the track has "peaceful" end: stopped elastically



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions

#### Homogeneous non-magnetized tracker Momentum by range



Momentum-range correlation best known when the track has "peaceful" end: stopped elastically

If track ends on the fly due to inelastic interaction in detector (e.g.  $p A \rightarrow n A'$ ) Range can only be measured prematurely  $\rightarrow$ large bias in momentum estimation

#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



 $\rightarrow$  Cut on dEdx from track end point

#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



• Require at least 6 dE/dx nodes from track end point





#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



- Require at least 6 dE/dx nodes from track end point
- Cut on summed dE/dx of last two nodes ( node 0 and 1 correlated)



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



- Require at least 6 dE/dx nodes from track end point
- Cut on summed dE/dx of last two nodes ( node 0 and 1 correlated)
- Cut on individual nodes (node 1-5)



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



- Require at least 6 dE/dx nodes from track end point
- Cut on summed dE/dx of last two nodes (node 0 and 1 correlated)
- Cut on individual nodes (node 1-5)



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



\*dE/dx+cleanup cut efficiency 30-40-20%@0.6-0.75-1 GeV/c

ESC proton selection:

- Cut efficiency ~ 40%
- Reconstructed momentum spread much reduced @ 0.7 – 1.1 GeV, resolution 3% ~ 2%
- 5-10% uncertainty in efficiency

Clean-up cuts to improve proton and muon momentum resolution:

- proton dE/dx profile  $\chi^2$
- number of MINOS track nodes

Also need to correct pT scales of both muon and protons.

#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



#### Joint Experimental-Theoretical Physics Seminar, FNAL, March 2, 2018

- One muon candidate track matched to a MINOS track
- At least one proton candidate (particle identification using dE/dx along the track)
  - Elastically Scattered Contained (ESC) proton selection → new development
- Vertex in tracker
- Michel electron (from pion-muon-electron decay chain) tag to remove pion production
- Cut on energy far from vertex (unattached visible energy) to remove events with untracked pions



Start to look at and try to understand protoDUNE dE/dx:

- Using calibrated dE/dx with SCE
- Test the dE/dx array first  $\rightarrow$  which one contains the Bragg peak?

(\*reco\_daughter\_allTrack\_calibrated\_dEdX\_SCE) [itrack] [icluster]
Start of array: startE0, startE1, ..., startE5: [icluster=0], [1], ..., [5]
End of array: endE0, endE1, ..., endE5: [icluster=Ncluster-1], [Ncluster-2], ..., [Ncluster-6]



Track end = start of array or end of array?



#### **End of array:**

endE0, endE1, ..., endE5: [icluster=Ncluster-1], [Ncluster-2], ..., [Ncluster-6]

all have same dE/dx $\rightarrow$  not track end



#### **Start of array:**

startE0, startE1, ...,
startE5:
[icluster=0], [1], ...,
[5]

Seems to have Bragg peak → also dE/dx decrease as [icluster] increase

 $\rightarrow$  Start of array is the track end

E0 and E1 look "weird" due half-dead proton not traversing the full "cluster length"

→ need to check correlations like E0:E1, E1:E2, E2:E3, ...

 $\rightarrow$  E0 and E1 can be used by combining them like E0\*=E0+E1+E2

# END