PDFs with Saturation effects from CTEQ-TEA

Keping Xie PITT-PACC, University of Pittsburgh

On behalf of the CTEQ-TEA collaboration





K. X., EF06 - Lol preparation

QCD dynamics vs. Q and x

$\gamma^* p$ total cross sections ZEUS, hep-ex/9510009



Slide from P. Nadolsky @ DIS 2016

K. X., EF06 - Lol preparation

How to treat the DIS low-Q and small-x data?

NNPDF/xFitter: BFKL to resum the small-x log's
CT: a saturation scale

$$\mu_{\mathrm{DIS},x}^2 = a_1(Q^2 + a_2/x^{a_3})$$

- We obtain the same level of agreement between data and theory.
- Both approaches enhance (reduce) the gluon (singlet) PDF at small x and small Q.
- \succ At higher Q, the small-x effect disappears.
- Within the accessible experimental region, the PDFs and predicted cross sections agree well between two approaches.

See the below talk for more details. <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/44075/</u>

Extend the comparisons between two approaches to new kinematic regions.

- At smallest x (10⁻⁶) and small Q (2 GeV), the saturation dynamics becomes markedly different from the large-log approximation based on the BFKL formalism.
- Delineate the boundaries among the DGLAP, BFKL and saturation approaches.
- Understand the implications to phenomenological predictions at the FCC-hh collider and cosmic ray experiments.