

Latest Results from CONUS

Thomas Hugle (on behalf of the CONUS collaboration) Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg Weak Interactions and Neutrinos (WIN) 2021





Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering



[A. Bonhomme]

- 1973: neutral current discovered at CERN
- 1974: CEvNS predicted by D. Freedman
- 2017: observed by COHERENT at π-DAR source with CsI[Na]



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{SM}}(E_{\nu},T)}{\mathrm{d}T} \simeq \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} \underbrace{\left[N - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)Z\right]^2}_{\approx N^2} \underbrace{F^2(q^2)}_{\to 1} M \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{MT}{2E_{\nu}^2}\right)}_{\mathrm{kinematics}} \right]_{2/16}$

CONUS Collaboration





FÜR KERNPHYSIK HEIDELBERG Preussen Elektra

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT

Collaboration:

- H. Bonet, A. Bonhomme, C. Buck, J. Hakenmüller, J. Hempfling, J. Henrichs,
- G. Heusser, T. Hugle, M. Lindner, W. Maneschg, T. Rink, H. Strecker
 - Max Planck Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg
- K. Fülber, R. Wink
 - Preussen Elektra GmbH, Kernkraftwerk Brokdorf (KBR), Brokdorf





CONUS Experimental Site

Overburden:

- ► 10-45 m w.e. (angle-dependent)
- muon-induced background

CONUS experiment:

- Four 1 kg low threshold Ge detectors
- electric cryocoolers
- elaborate shield

Ain't no lab!



Reactor core:

- thermal power3.9 GW
- neutrino flux
 2 * 10¹³ cm⁻² s⁻¹
 @ 17 m distance
- high duty cycle (~1 month/yr off)

CONUS Detectors

Four p-type point contact HPGe detectors (1 kg each)



For full discussion see Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 3, 267

- ▶ pulser resolution: $\leq 80 \text{ eV}_{ee}$
- ► energy threshold: ~300 eV_{ee}
- Iow background components
- electric cooling (instead of N₂) necessary at KBR



CONUS Shield Design

Active muon veto:

 suppress cosmic ray muon-induced background

> [Inspired by the GIOVE spectrometer shield design (MPIK, Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75: 531)]

Lead (Pb):

- shield radioactivity
- high radiopurity



- keep everything together



Borated PE / PE:

moderate & capture neutrons



radon

Total suppression factor: 10⁴

For reactor correlated bkg. discussion see Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:699 (in cooperation with PTB)

8/16

450









Noise-temperature correlation cut

Time-difference distribution cut

Run-1 exposure after cuts: ON 208.8 kg d OFF 37.6 kg d

Region of Interest for BSM searches

Criteria:

- trigger efficiency: ~100%
- exclude region with temperature correlation
 - fit electronic noise with exponential



 Det.	Run	On [d]	$Off \ [d]$	ROI $[eV_{ee}]$
C1	R1	96.7	13.8	276 - 741
C2	R1	14.6	13.4	281 - 999
C3	$\mathbf{R1}$	97.5	10.4	333 - 991
 total		208.8	37.6	

Data Analysis Overview

Input for analysis:

- theoretical expectations for BSM
- reactor description
 - fission fractions & thermal power known
 - Huber & Mueller and Kopeikin spectra
 + Daya Bay correction
- background description
 - Monte Carlo for physics events
 - exponential fit for electronic noise
- selected data for ON / OFF





Tensor Non-Standard Interactions (NSIs)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta}^{q\mathrm{T}} = \left(\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\nu_{\beta}\right)\left(\bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q\right) + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

$$Q_{\rm NSI}^{\rm T} = \left(2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{u\rm T} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{d\rm T}\right) Z + \left(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{u\rm T} + 2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{d\rm T}\right) N$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{NSI}}^{\mathrm{T}}(E_{\nu},T)}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{4G_{F}^{2}}{\pi}Q_{\mathrm{NSI}}^{\mathrm{T}}{}^{2}M\left(1-\frac{MT}{4E_{\nu}^{2}}\right)$$

- effective tensor operator
- degeneracy of couplings
 can be broken by using different detector materials
- higher kinematic cutoff than SM



Vector Non-Standard Interactions (NSIs)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\rm NSI}^{q\rm V} = \left(\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}L\nu_{\beta}\right)\left(\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}Pq\right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$Q_{\rm NSI}^{\rm V} \supset \left(g_{\rm V}^p + 2\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{u\rm V} + \epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{d\rm V}\right) Z + \left(g_{\rm V}^n + \epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{u\rm V} + 2\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{d\rm V}\right) N$$





- effective vector operator (Z' mediator integrated out)
- degeneracy of couplings ε as in tensor NSI case
- destructive interference possible



Simplified Models: Light Scalar

generic new scalar

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \supset \phi \left(g_{\phi}^{q} \bar{q}q + g_{\phi}^{\nu} \bar{\nu}_{R} \nu_{L} + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^{2} \phi^{2}$$

- universal couplings
- especially important at low recoil energies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\phi}(E_{\nu},T)}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{g_{\phi}^4 (14N + 15.1Z)^2 M^2 T}{4\pi E_{\nu}^2 (2MT + m_{\phi}^2)^2}$$



Simplified Models: Light Vector

generic new vector

 $\mathcal{L}_{Z'} \supset Z'_{\mu} \left(g^{q}_{Z'} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q + g^{\nu}_{Z'} \bar{\nu}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{L} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m^{2}_{Z'} Z'_{\mu} Z'^{\mu}$

0

- universal couplings
- destructive interference possible

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Z'}(E_{\nu},T)}{\mathrm{d}T} = \left(1 - \frac{3\,g_{Z'}^{\nu}g_{Z'}^{q}(Z+N)}{\sqrt{2}G_{F}Q_{\mathrm{SM}}(2MT+m_{Z'}^{2})}\right)^{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{SM}}(E_{\nu},T)}{\mathrm{d}T}$$



Summary & Outlook

- >~~~~~?
- New CONUS BSM results (papers to appear soon):
 - full-fledged spectral shape analysis of CEvNS channel RUN-1 data
 - competitive limits for tensor NSIs as well as for light scalar and vector mediators; in part of the parameter space even better limits than COHERENT
 - exact strength of limits is quenching dependent
- 1) Existing data:
 - Further analyses; e.g. magnetic moment $\mu_v < 10^{-10} \mu_B$
- 2) Continued data taking:
 - upgraded DAQ (e.g. pulse shape discrimination, anti-coincidence)
 - improved control of environmental parameters (e.g. thermal stability)
 - both enables improved data taking in RUN-5 (just started)
 - Brokdorf shutdown end of 2021 allows for balanced ON/OFF statistics with improved data