

# LIMITS ON STERILE NEUTRINO MIXING FROM A JOINT ANALYSIS OF THE MINOS/MINOS+, DAYA BAY, AND BUGEY-3 EXPERIMENTS

## Introduction

The LSND experiment [1] has detected a  $3.8\sigma$  excess of the expected number of  $\overline{\nu}_e$  events in a  $\overline{\nu}_\mu$  beam. Similar effects were observed by the MiniBooNE [2]: a 4.7 $\sigma$  excess in a total number of  $\nu_e$  and  $\overline{\nu}_e$  events. These excess could be explained with one or more sterile neutrinos, which interact only gravitationally.

#### The Daya Bay Experiment









• Large statistics

### **The Bugey-3 Experiment**

A reactor antineutrino experiment [4]

- Measures  $\overline{\nu}_e$  disappearance.
- Three distances of measurement: 15, 40, 95 m.
- Nearly 120,000  $\overline{\nu}_e$  events.



### The MINOS/MINOS+ Experiment



#### An accelerator experiment [5]

- Measures  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  diseppearance.
- Two detectors: near ( $\approx 1$  km) and far ( $\approx 735$  km).
- Detectors are sensitive to different region of sterile mass splitting.
- $16.36 \cdot 10^{20}$  protons on target to yield the NuMI  $\nu_{\mu}$  ( $\nu_{\overline{\mu}}$ ) beam.



Vitalii Zavadskyi (Joint Institute for Nuclear Research), on behalf of the Daya Bay collaboration

# **Neutrino oscillation**

- Neutrino flavor eigenstates are superposition of mass eigenstates.
- Neutrino mixing can be parameterized by the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix.
- Commonly, neutrino oscillation is parameterized by three-neutrino mixing.
- An additional state (sterile) that does not interact through weak interaction but it could mix with active states.
- A sterile state may explain the anomalous excess observed by the  $\nu_e$   $\nu_\mu$   $\nu_\tau$   $\nu_s$ LSND and MinoBooNE experiments.

### **Analysis Method**

 $CL_s$  method [6] was used to produce exclusion region:

- $H_0$  :  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 0$  three neutrino mixing
- $H_1 : \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \neq 0$  four neutrino mixing
- $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{H_1} \chi^2_{H_0}$
- $CL_s = \frac{CL_{s+b}}{CL_b}$
- Exclusion rule:  $CL_s < \alpha$
- $\Delta \chi^2$  has Gaussian approximation [7] (used only for the Daya Bay experiment)

# **Daya Bay+Bugey-3 Combination**

$$P(\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_e) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^2 L}{4E}\right)$$

- Using Huber-Mueller model of  $\overline{
  u}_e$  energy spectrum [8], [9].
- Daya Bay exclusion region based on 1230 days of data.
- Combination of two experiments is sensitive to  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14}$ in the region

 $2 \cdot 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{eV}^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 3 \,\mathrm{eV}^2$ .

• No evidence of light sterile neutrino is observed.

# MINOS/MINOS+ Results

 $P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cos 2\theta_{24} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right) -$ 

- Two-detector fit method (fit near and far spectra simultaneously).
- The experiment is sensitive to  $\sin^2 \theta_{24}$  in the region  $10^{-4} \,\mathrm{eV}^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 10^3 \,\mathrm{eV}^2.$
- Feldman-Cousins contours are consistent with  $CL_s$  contours.

# (more than $2.5 \cdot 10^6$ events).







 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ 

 $\Delta m_{21}^2$ 





 Daya Bay Excluded FC 90% C.L Daya Bay Sensitivity FC 90% C

(median, 1σ and 2σ)





periments

 $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow$ 

MINOS/MINOS+ into

 $4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu4}|^2 = \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \theta_{24} \equiv \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}.$ 

- $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$ .
- gion
- creased.

# Daya Bay+Bugey-3+MINOS

• An appearance probability for the short-baseline ex-

$$(\nu_e) = 4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu4}|^2\sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^2L}{4E}\right)$$

• Combines constrains of  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14}$  from Daya Bay+Bugey-3 and constrains of  $\sin^2 \theta_{24}$  from

• The largest  $CL_s$  value is taken for different combinations of  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{24}$  that give the same value of

#### Conclusion

• No evidence of light sterile neutrino is found. • Stringent limits are obtained on the  $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$  in the re-

 $10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 10^3 \text{ eV}^2.$ 

• The LSND and MiniBooNE 99% C.L. allowed regions are excluded at 99%  $CL_s$  for  $\Delta m_{41}^2 < 1.2 \text{ eV}^2$  [10]. • Tension between the  $\nu_e$  appearance indications and the null results from disappearance channels is in-

#### References

1. Aguilar, A. et al. Phys. Rev. D 64, 112007 (2001). 2. Aguilar-Arevalo, A. A. et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 221801 (2018). 3. Cao, J. & Luk, K.-B. Nucl. Phys. B 908, 62–73 (2016). 4. Abbes, M. & et al. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 374, 164–187 (1996). 5. Adamson, P. & et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122. ISSN: 1079-7114 (2019). 6. Read, A. L. J. of Phys. G 28, 2693–2704 (2002). 7. Qian, X. et al. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 827, 63–78 (2016). 8. Huber, P. Phys. Rev. C 84, 024617 (2 2011). 9. Mueller, T. A. et al. Phys. Rev. C 83, 054615 (5 2011). 10. Adamson, P. & et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 071801 (2020).