

# OTE Building PMG Meeting Notes

05/16/2011

2:00 pm, Comitium

Present:

C. Trimby	P	M.J. Lyke	A	T. Dykhuis	P
B. Chrisman	P	R. Hersemann	A	T. Powers	P
D. Allspach	P	R. Merchut	P	R. Ortgiesen	P
J. Eisenmenger	A	R. Sood	A	C. Conger	P
M. Bonkalski	A	S. Webster	A	A. Harris	A
M. Bollinger	A	D. Hoffer	P	P. Czarapata	A
Stuart Henderson	P	T. Templeton	P	R. Merchut	P

Notes prepared by R. Merchut

Indico Posting: <http://indico.fnal.gov/categoryDisplay.py?categId=162>

1. R. Merchut discussed the general project status. See posted PMG Update presentation. Areas of note include:
  - a. Preliminary Design complete. Strong focus on cost and LEED analysis underway.
  - b. Conference area revisions to provide 175 person slopped floor lecture room + 30/30 executive conference room underway agreed to by Master Planning Task Force.
  - c. Related projects IASU & FCC Sanitary Sewer projects NTP 5/4/11 to Barton Malow Co. Adjustments to completion dates to be made to accommodate end of TeV run.
  - d. Favorable progress is being made towards the July 21, 2011 Director's Review. Pre-final design package due from HOK 6/8/11. To be used for Comments & Compliance Review (CCR).
  - e. Draft list of project alternates for favorable/unfavorable bids presented.
  - f. Coordination with TeV D&D underway.
2. B. Chrisman questioned how we could omit indicated alternate for fire safety glass at exit stairs. R. Merchut clarified that exit stairs would be enclosed in rated drywall partitions as a less costly solution, but the desire would be to maintain glass as a means of encouraging stair use rather than elevator use.
3. B. Chrisman questioned if a strong relationship to TeV shutdown was driving the schedule. R. Merchut indicated no, if opportunities to accelerate award schedule for OTE develop, early construction activities such as mobilization may be able to take place before full completion of TeV shutdown activities.
4. R. Merchut presented the scope, cost, and schedule impact of the conference area revisions. It was agreed by all present that changes did not necessitate action by the Change Control Board.
5. R. Merchut requested guidance on ways to accelerate schedule towards procurement. It was agreed that director's review could proceed on pre-final design package with a list of significant findings from the CCR.
6. No action items were generated.