Back to Big Questions



- Snowmass is a chance to gauge the physics interests of the community and identify the most promising research opportunities.
 - A '10 year plan with a 20 year vision'.
- Ultimately driven by big questions ('science drivers')
 - Flows down to experiments, facilities, programs, etc...
 - Historically lead to establishment of "frontiers"
- Naturally, intra-frontier communication is most efficient and scientists become most fluent within adjacent fields
 - Anecdotally, this seems especially true for EC scientists

Back to Big Questions



- While Snowmass must focus on a 10-20 year plan, I advocate that SEC embrace an even longer view
- Motivating prompt to the EC particle physics community:
 - What fundamental questions would you most like to answer over the course of your physics career?
 - Implies a ~ 40-year time scale
- Snowmass 2020 EC scientists will be the main drivers of this research program; are we equipped to answer this?
 - Big questions cross boundaries being an expert in "your field" will not be enough!

Education talks as an answer



- Propose a series of colloquia to share perspectives
 - Implies that the top priority would be to identify a list of motivating questions/topics.
- Example outline:
 - Topic: Higgs / Understand nature of EWSB
 - Introduce the Big Q. (Consistent w/ SM? Lone higgs?)
 - What we know now; want to know? (Width, BSM portal)
 - Ideal experiments to probe. (Energy, lumi, beams?)
 - Necessary technology (Magnets, silicon, electronics,...)
 - What is the roadmap to realize this program?
 - Stepping-stone measurements? Tech demonstrators?

Main items for discussion



- How many seminars? (A list of topics will help us here)
- Audience? EC-focus but open to all. Colloquium-level.
- Venue?
 - Standalone zoom series?
 - Minimal org. Difficulty attracting attendees, speakers?
 - Co-organize w/ other like-minded series?
 - 'Automatic' attendance. Still reach a broad audience?
 - Connect to SN events? (CPM, April APS, Summer mtg)
 - Sensible time-scale? Enough time for all talks?
 - A completely standalone workshop? (e.g. 2 half-days)
 - Could combine w/ full-SEC workshop if interest.

Other assorted considerations



- Some natural connections to survey effort:
 - What physics are SEC scientists interested in?
 - Could benefit from coordinating timescales.
- If we don't go for a workshop-style event, what is the right duration and frequency?
- In general, how can we take advantage of the existing SN structure while still "allowing ourselves to forget" frontiers, facilities, past and ongoing experiments for the purpose of the seminars?
 - Is there a list of big questions already identified?