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Abstract

Geant4 photo-absorption ionisation (PAI) and the Moller-Bhabha standard models were extended in the low energy region. The models show good agreement with the experiment \( \frac{dE}{dx} \) for the electron energy interval 0.01 - 10 MeV. Ionisation distribution along step is discussed in terms of the \( \Gamma \)-distribution. Geant4 models for bremsstrahlung were tested versus experimental data and the prediction of the PENELOPE as well as EGS4 packages for the electron energy interval 1-15 MeV.
1. **Outline**

1. GEANT4 PAI model extension to low energy region.

2. Comparison with experimental data for dE/dx in the case of electrons and protons.


4. Comparison with experimental data for the bremsstrahlung spectrum at different angles.

5. Conclusions.
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2  \( dE/dx \) for electrons in different targets

Experimental data for Al, Au, Cu and Si are compilation from [1]. The data for liquid water, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen and carbon dioxide are compilation from [2]. Geant4 models are: PAI, Bhabha, Penelope (Penelope08) and Livermore.

3  \( dE/dx \) for protons in different targets

Experimental data are compilation from [3]. Geant4 models are: PAI, Bragg.
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Electron mean energy loss in Al vs. electron energy

Old PAI and Moller-Bhabha models.
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Electron mean energy loss in Al vs. electron energy

In PAI: \(1 - \exp \left[ -\beta / (\alpha a(Z)) \right] \), \(a(Z)\) is parametrised.

In Moller-Bhabha: low energy 0.25 \(\rightarrow\) 0.025 keV.
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Electron mean energy loss in Cu vs. electron energy

- Geant4 PAI
- G4 Moller-Bhabha model
- G4 Penelope model
- G4 Livermore model
- Experimental data
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Electron mean energy loss in water vs. electron energy

- **Geant4 PAI**
- **G4 Moller-Bhabha model**
- **G4 Penelope model**
- **G4 Livermore model**
- **Experimental data**
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Electron mean energy loss in CO$_2$ vs. electron energy

\[
\frac{dE}{dx}(\text{MeV cm}^2/\text{g})
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Graph Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geant4 PAI</td>
<td>Red line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Moller-Bhabha model</td>
<td>Blue line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Penelope model</td>
<td>Green line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Penelope08 model</td>
<td>Light blue line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Livermore model</td>
<td>Pink line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>Dotted line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Electron mean energy loss in N$_2$ vs. electron energy

- Geant4 PAI
- G4 Moller-Bhabha model
- G4 Penelope model
- G4 Livermore model
- Experimental data
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\textbf{Electron mean energy loss in }O_2\textbf{ vs. electron energy}

- \textit{Geant4 PAI}
- \textit{G4 Moller-Bhabha model}
- \textit{G4 Penelope model}
- \textit{G4 Livermore model}
- \textit{Experimental data}
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Proton mean energy loss in He vs. proton energy

- Geant4 PAI
- G4 Bragg model
- Experimental data

Proton energy (keV)

dE/dx (x10^15 eV cm^2/atom)
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Proton mean energy loss in Ne vs. proton energy

- Geant4 PAI
- G4 Bragg model
- Experimental data
4 Proposal to improve the sampling of ionisation along step

There were two problems in old Geant4 code (G4ElectronIonPair::SampleNumberOfIonsAlongStep):

1. The Fano factor, $F$, defines the variance of ionisation distribution \cite{4, 5}, rather than the mean square root (old Geant4 code):

   $$\langle (n - \bar{n})^2 \rangle = F \bar{n}.$$  

   Here $n$ is the ionisation, and $\bar{n} = \Delta/W$ its mean value ($\Delta$ is the energy deposited along the step, and $W$ is the mean energy required to produce an electron-ion pair).

2. It is more safe to use the $\Gamma$-distribution (instead of Gaussian in old Geant4 code) which provides non-negative ionisation.
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New function:

```cpp
inline G4int G4ElectronIonPair::SampleNumberOfIonsAlongStep(const G4Step* step) {
    G4double meanion = MeanNumberOfIonsAlongStep(step);
    G4double lambda = 1./FanoFactor;
    G4double a = meanion*lambda;
    G4int nion = G4int(CLHEP::RandGamma::shoot(a,lambda) + 0.5);
    return nion;
}
```

since the Γ-distribution:

\[
p(x) = \frac{\lambda^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda x),
\]

has the mean value, \( \bar{x} = a/\lambda = \Delta/W \), and the variance,

\[
\langle (x - \bar{x})^2 \rangle = a/\lambda^2 = \bar{x}/\lambda = F\bar{x} \quad \text{(so \( \lambda = 1/F \), and \( a = \Delta/(WF) \))}.
\]

The ionisation \( n \) is defined as integer of \( x + 0.5 \), \( n = \text{G4int}(x + 0.5) \).
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5 GEANT4 bremsstrahlung model validation

2. G4PenelopeBremsstrahlungModel in the EM low energy package.
6 Bremsstrahlung produced by low energy electrons

Experimental data for Al, from [6, 7] are evaluated versus the GEANT4 models and the prediction of the PENELLOPE package [8]. The bremsstrahlung intensity spectrum produced by electrons with the energies 1 and 2.8 MeV was evaluated at the angle of 15° in aluminum with 2.03 and 6.41 mm thicknesses, respectively. The experiment with 15 MeV [9] electrons was evaluated in terms of bremsstrahlung spectrum to compare the GEANT4 model predictions with the results of EGS4 simulation (Al 36.1 mm thick at 10°).

The simulation of bremsstrahlung requires high statistics to get smooth curves corresponding to the experimental measurements. A modern remote cluster of parallel processors was used in the batch mode to perform the simulation of the experimental set-ups.
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Statistics is $1 \cdot 10^8$. The G4eBremsstrahlungModel overestimates the spectrum at low energies and underestimates at high energies.
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Bremsstrahlung (2.8 MeV e\(^{-}\) in Al 6.41 mm at 15\(^{\circ}\)) vs. photon energy

Statistics is \(1 \cdot 10^8\).
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Bremsstrahlung (15 MeV e\(^{-}\) in Al 36.1 mm at 10\(^{\circ}\)) vs. photon energy

Statistics is \(5 \cdot 10^7\).
7 Conclusions

1. The GEANT4 PAI model was extended to low energy region below the ionisation minimum. The Moller-Bhabha standard ionisation model was corrected in the low energy mode. The GEANT4 ionisation models show satisfactory agreement with dE/dx experimental data for the electron kinetic energies in the range 0.01-10 MeV.

2. The ionisation distribution along step was corrected and implemented in terms of the $\Gamma$-distribution.

3. The GEANT4 G4PenelopeBremsstrahlungModel and G4LivermoreBremsstrahlungModel models are in satisfactory agreement with experimental data and the prediction of PENELOPE and EGS4 packages.

4. For slow electrons ($\leq 3$ MeV), the GEANT4 G4eBremsstrahlungModel model overestimates the bremsstrahlung spectrum at fixed angle for low photon energies with more deep decreasing for high energies.
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