



Multi-head attention network for DUNE reconstruction

Jeremy Hewes DUNE reconstruction workshop 18th September 2020



Graph neural networks

Describe information structure as a graph represented by nodes and edges.



- Nodes are generalised as quantised objects with some arbitrary set of **features**.
- Edges describe the relationships between nodes.
- Perform convolutions on nodes and edges to learn relationships within the graph.
- Output is user-defined:
 - Classify nodes or edges.
 - Classify full graph.
 - Regression outputs.



Reminder

- Developing graph neural network (GNN)-based reconstruction in the DUNE far detector.
- Using **pytorch-geometric** toolkit for graph networks.
- Working with **CCQE beam neutrino simulation** in the full DUNE 10kt geometry.
 - 10,000 events each in nonswap and fluxswap configurations.
 - Approximately equal representation of v_e and v_{μ} interactions.
 - Standard simulation chain, low-level reconstruction (hit-finding).
 - Using pre-refactor Geant4, so enable shower daughters to retain information on EM system.
- Utilise Nvidia DGX GPU cluster with 8 Tesla V100 GPUs.
- Preprocess HDF5 inputs into .pt files which are used for training.



Reminder





Attention message-passing networks



- Message-passing network aggregates information from neighbouring nodes across edges to form new features on each node, utilising an attention mechanism to weight up useful edges.
- Repeat the same network multiple times in order for information to travel further across the graph over multiple iterations (the "message passing").

• Edge classifier:

- Input for each node is the features of incoming and outgoing nodes.
- Two multi-layer perceptrons, using Tanh and sigmoid activations.
- Outputs sigmoid score on each edge.

Node classifier:

٠

- Uses edge score to aggregate each node's features with incoming & outgoing edges as input.
- Two multi-layer perceptrons with Tanh activation.
- Produces new features for each node.



Flavoured edges

- For binary edge classification, observed that the network responded differently for tracks and showers.
- Track edges usually far from threshold, while shower edges very close to threshold.
- Try explicitly defining separate classes to help the network disambiguate.
- Expand binary truth (true/false edges) to flavour edges with different true scores.
 - True vs false definition remains the same.
 - True edges are now classified based on particle type:
 - Primary **muon** and descendents.
 - Electron shower root.
 - Electron shower children.
 - Everything else (for these CCQE events this means hadronic).



ν_e example





u_{μ} example





Multiclass attention network



- Take our initial message-passing network and stack several of them in parallel.
- Each stack learns to classify a separate class.
 - Because each stack is disconnected, the mechanism for information flow can vary between different classes.
- Each stack outputs a single attention score on each edge.
- We can then take the softmax of these scores to get class probabilities for each edge.



Network performance









- Network appears to learn well for false and track-like classes.
- Accuracy for shower and shower root classes remains low.





Next steps

- Again, multi class network seems to show good promise for track-like particles, but more work required on showers.
- Next step is to define a simpler ground truth:
 - Combine shower root and shower children truths into a single object.
 - Rather than reconstructing the internal structure of the shower, just treat the whole shower as a single object with dense connections.
- Other to-do list items still outstanding from previous update:
 - Test on new inclusive sample I have produced.
 - Explore graph pooling techniques for hit clustering.