Rb measurement at CEPC MC Level

Bo Li

Introduction

• R_b : the relative decay width of Z into b quarks

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{b}\bar{\mathbf{b}})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{h}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{d})}$$

- $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{12}$ Z boson with 45ab⁻¹ at CEPC
- Double tagging method :
 - The ratio of one jet tagged as b jet
 - The ratio of both jets tagged as b jets

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Get From} \\ \text{Mixed MC} \\ \text{Sample} \end{array} & \left[\begin{array}{c} N_t \\ \overline{2N_{had}} \end{array} \right] = \left[R_b \varepsilon_b + R_c \varepsilon_c + (1 - R_b - R_c) \varepsilon_{uds} \\ \\ \frac{N_{tt}}{N_{had}} \end{array} \right] = \left[R_b \varepsilon_b + R_c \varepsilon_c + (1 - R_b - R_c) \varepsilon_{uds} \\ \\ C_b R_b \varepsilon_b^2 + C_c R_c \varepsilon_c^2 + C_{uds} (1 - R_b - R_c) \varepsilon_{uds}^2 \\ \\ C_b R_b \varepsilon_b^2 + C_c R_c \varepsilon_c^2 + C_{uds} (1 - R_b - R_c) \varepsilon_{uds}^2 \\ \end{array} \right] \\ \begin{array}{c} C_b = \frac{\varepsilon_{2jet} - tagged}{(\varepsilon_{1jet} - tagged)^2} \end{array}$$

Rb method

Double Tagging Method



- LEP measurement 0.21594 ±0.00066
 Syst error : ~0.2%
 Major systematics: hemisphere tag correlations, charm modeling, gluon spliting
- CEPC
 - Expected Syst error (0.02%)
 - hemisphere tag correlations depends on b tagging efficiency
 - with a high b-tagging efficiency above 80% and rejection of charm and light jet above 90%



Rb method

Double Tagging Method



Rb method

preliminary study

Closure test:

- Following this procedure, we can • meausere the R_b , ε_b
- The Z hadronic pseudo'DATA' is ٠ mixed by MC samples: Zbb sample, Zcc sample, Zll sample
- We set Rb=0.2, Rb=0.4, Rb=0.6 as the • Input Rb to mix the 'DATA'



2



Effect from MC Eff

- input theory Rb=0.2158:
- I/O test with $\epsilon_{c} \pm 10\%$, $\epsilon_{uds} \pm 10\%$, $C_{b} \pm 10\%$ ٠

B-tagging correlation:

such as: two jets ٠ are back to back





1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

9.0

1.034

6

5

4

I Cut point



Plan

- Both the B-tagging efficiency for Z->bb and rejection for Z->cc, Z->uds are good for method
- > The double tagging method procedure works well as shown in I/O test
- > Next step :
 - Two independent B-tagging method to reduce the correlation
 - Study on the systematic errors such as the gluon splitting, charm physics modeling

B-tagging correlation

Jet back to back cut



Cutpoint	1	2	3	4	5	6
Prob	> 0.45	> 0.5	>0.6	> 0.7	>0.8	>0.9

Method

Follow the procedure in : Measurement of Rb and $Br(b \rightarrow \ell vX)$ at LEP Using Double-Tag Methods (L3 Collaboration)

In section 4.3.3 Systematics from Hemisphere Correlation

- 1. The normalised distribution of λ for all hemispheres, N(λ).
- 2. The single-hemisphere tagging effiffificiency as a function of λ , $\epsilon(\lambda)$.
- 3. The normalised distribution of λ in a co-tagged hemisphere, C(λ). A co-tagged hemisphere is the one opposite to a tagged hemisphere, regardless of whether it is itself tagged.

$$c_{\rm b}^{\lambda} = \frac{\int \epsilon(\lambda) \ C(\lambda) \ d\lambda}{\int \epsilon(\lambda) \ N(\lambda) \ d\lambda}.$$

B-tagging method

 Based on the LCFIPlus: combines more than 60 variables to calculate the b jet probability by BDT method

