

# **PIP-II** Meeting Agenda Assuring Project Delivery: Quality Workshop - #1

Date: 5 Nov in 2020

Zoom

2:00 – 5:30pm (UK) 8:00 - 11:30am (US)

Invitees:	<b>STFC</b> Kieran C, Geraint J, Peter M, Jon L, Alan W, Mark I Mitchell K, Tom J <i>Optional</i> : Paul H, Peter C, additional people from W <b>FNAL</b>	
	Jemila A, Tom DiG, Allan R, Jeremiah H, Saravan, S, Fumio F, Genfa W, Cristian B, Ken P, Matt L, Vir <i>Optional</i> : Arkadiy K, Luisella L, John A, Lidija K, Lia	ncent R
Outline:	Slides and other materials to be hosted on Indico, t on STFC SharePoint system post-meeting. Link: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/45909/</u>	hen also archived
	<u>Scope</u> – PIP-II High Beta 650 MHz (HB650) cryome covering work within the scope of the PIP-II Project and interaction with FNAL. Section numbers align v Quality Assurance Management Plan (QAMP) sect sections not covered in this workshop are greyed o noted that not all STFC processes are fully impleme stage of the project. Lines of communication are co section.	at UKRI-STFC, with the STFC ion numbering, and ut. It should be ented yet at this
	Format – Most sections would consist of one or two presentations, then brief open discussion, chaired b	
	<u>Charge</u> – The assembled group of technical subject charged with ensuring on-going alignment and tran- labs, ensuring that FNAL personnel are briefed on the and understands how it interacts with their areas. The envisaged to give quality a higher profile, provide of and sharing of best practices. The ultimate goal of the the group to understand their roles and responsibility of the STFC QAMP, along with an awareness of the processes.	sparency between the STFC QAMP, his workshop is n-going assurance, this workshop is for ties in each section
	<u>Expected outcome</u> - Workshop report, primarily in the form of a Record of Actions and Decisions (RoAD). Increased awareness of Quality, and clarification of roles. Opportunities for improvement to be identified.	
	Going forward - This is intended to be start of a semi-regular series; no more than twice a year.	
2020-11-04	(1253-pm-tem-0005-Meeting-Agenda-Template)	Page 1 of 3

#### PLANNING (30 mins)

ASSURANCE

(60 mins)

## 1. Introduction – Kieran C/Jemila A (20 mins)

- Overview of Quality: Planning/Assurance/Control/Improvement
- Quality of not just the product, but also the processes
- World Quality Day 12 November

## 2. Roles and responsibilities for Quality – Kieran C (5 mins)

- OBS and overview of work packages at STFC
- Points of contact on both sides
- 3. Deliverables Jon L (5 mins)

#### 4. Communication

## 7. Vendor Management – Jon L, Allan N

- QA/QC vendor expectations
- Handling of supplier inspections in the time of COVID
- [Expected outcome: Identification of opportunities for improvement]
- 5. Training Shrikant P, Jon L
  - Focus on cavity string assembly
  - [Expected outcome: proposal regarding next steps and confirmation of prerequisites]
- 8. Product identification and traceability

### 9. Document Management – Kieran C

- Document hierarchy
- FNAL access to STFC PIP-II SharePoint system
- [Expected outcome: Assurance of the system]
- 10. Software assurance
- 11. Component handling, storage and transportation

#### **12.** Transfer of ownership

## Cryomodule Transport – Mitchell K, Jeremiah H

- Outcomes of the Transport Tooling FDR
- [Expected outcome: Confirming how QC forms part of the Transport Plan]

15-minute break

## 6. Change Control – Kieran C, Allan R

## CONTROL (75 mins)

- Walkthrough of process
- Thresholds, and reporting to FNAL
- [Expected outcome: Demonstration of current process and identification of opportunities for improvement]
- 13. Procured product acceptance

#### 14. Manufacturing Inspection Plans - Shrikant P, Geraint J

• Travellers, hold points, witness points, etc.

- Experience from ESS
- Interface travellers at FNAL Tom DiG
- [Expected outcome: Alignment of expectations]

### 15. Non-conformance Management – Kieran C, Geraint J, Tom DiG

- Thresholds
- Reporting to FNAL during assembly
- Reporting to STFC during SAR
- [Expected outcome: Confirmation of NCR thresholds and expectations of response]

16. Measuring and test equipment

## 17. Continuous Improvement – Jon L, Kieran C, Tom DiG

#### IMPROVEMENT (30 mins)

- Lessons Learned, gauging customer satisfaction
- Related activities: Technical Coordination Workshop, Design Coordination Group
- [Expected outcome: Identification of opportunities for improvement and streamlining]
- 18. Technical Reviews at STFC Kieran C
  - [Expected outcome: Assurance of alignment with FNAL]
- 19. Review of QAMP
- 20. Closing Kieran C/Jemila A
  - Summary of workshop
  - Next steps

#### Close