Top Quark Physics at LHeC and FCC-eh

Christian Schwanenberger
DESY
for the LHeC/FCC-eh Study Group

Snowmass EF03 Meeting
Heavy Flavour and Top

ONLINE
5 November 2020

Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Linac-Ring Collider, LHeC and FCC-eh



LHeC

LHeC CDRs, arXiv:1206.2913, arXiv:2007.14491

operated synchronously

- with HL-LHC:
  p beam: 7 TeV, $\sqrt{s}$=1.3 TeV
- with HE-LHC:
  p beam: 13.5 TeV, $\sqrt{s}$=1.8 TeV
- or later with LE-FCC-hh:
  p beam: 19 TeV, $\sqrt{s}$=2.1 TeV
- or later with FCC-hh:
  p beam: 50 TeV, $\sqrt{s}$=3.5 TeV

Energy Recovering Linac

$e^{\pm}$ beam: 60 GeV

L_{int} = 1-2 ab^{-1} (1-2k × HERA!)

FCC CDR, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019)

FCC-eh



LHeC and FCC-eh Detector Layout



LHeC

FCC-eh



DELPHES

SM Top Quark Production

CC DIS top production



σ=1.89pb @ LHeC
σ=4.46pb @ HE-LHC
σ=15.3pb @ FCC-eh

NC top photoproduction



σ=0.05pb @ LHeC
σ=0.??pb @ HE-LHC
σ=1.14pb @ FCC-eh

E_e=60 GeV

→ **future ep collider is ideal to study EWK interactions of the top quark**

Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Signal and Backgrounds

LHeC

signal

background

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (2015) no.12, 577

hadronic

$\not{E}_T \geq 25$ GeV



$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},j} \geq 0.4$

$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},b} \geq 0.4$

$|m_{j_1 j_2} - m_W| \leq 22$ GeV

$p_{T\,j,b} \geq 20$ GeV

$|\eta_j| \leq 5, |\eta_b| \leq 2.5$

$\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$



N_t=220k, s/b=1.2

e beam: 60 GeV
1000 fb^{-1}

leptonic

$\not{E}_T \geq 25$ GeV



$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},j} \geq 0.4$

$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},b} \geq 0.4$

$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},l} \geq 0.4$

$p_{T\,j,b,l} \geq 20$ GeV

$|\eta_j| \leq 5, \ |\eta_{b,l}| \leq 2.5$

$\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$



N_t=110k, s/b=11

→ top quark factory (with low backgrounds)

Direct Measurement of $|V_{tb}|$



$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

Limits on Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-}$$
$$-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} + h.c.$$



$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

Limits on Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-}$$

$$-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} + h.c.$$



$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$



+ other variables sensitive on W helicity

Limits on Anomalous Wtb Couplings

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} + h.c.$$

= 1 in SM

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688
Kumar, Ruan, to be publ.

95% C.L.



DELPHES



including detector simulation (Delphes)

LHeC

hadronic channel:



<0.14
<0.09
<0.04
<0.01

Direct Measurement of $|V_{tb}|$

[1] including top-quark mass uncertainty
[2] σ_{theo}: NLO PDF4LHC11 (NPPS205 (2010) 10, CPC191 (2015) 74)
[3] including beam energy uncertainty





$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

Top Quark Polarisation



using simply e–beam axis:
polarisation: P_t = 96%

TESLA+HERAp:

$\sqrt{s}$=1.6 TeV
L_{int}=20 fb^{-1}

19.7 fb^{-1}: $A_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ = 0.26±0.11

JHEP 04 (2016) 073

Atag, Sahin,
PRD 73, 074001 (2006)

cosθ: angle between charged lepton and spin quantisation axis in top rest frame

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_T}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + A_{\uparrow\downarrow}\alpha\cos\theta) \qquad A_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \frac{N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow}{N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow}$$



accuracy of order 10^{-2}

Measurement of $|V_{td}|$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

arXiv:1709.07887

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

HL-LHC

2σ

arXiv:1709.07887



SM

LHC

→ $|V_{td}|<0.06$



DELPHES

arXiv:1501.05013



SM

LHC

HL-LHC

→ $|V_{td}|<0.037$

H. Sun PoS DIS 2018, 167 (2018)

→ **extend HL-LHC limits**

Measurement of $|V_{ts}|$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & \boxed{V_{ts}} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

SM



DELPHES

arXiv:1501.05013

H. Sun PoS DIS 2018, 167 (2018) **SM**

→ **probing SM prediction directly for the first time**

2σ



LHC

→ $|V_{ts}|<0.06$

FCC CDR, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019)



LHC

→ $|V_{ts}|<0.037$

CP Nature of Top–Higgs Coupling



parton level

B. Coleppa
M. Kumar
S. Kumar
B. Mellado

Phys. Lett. B770 (2017) 335

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{m_t}{v}\bar{t}\,[\kappa\cos\zeta_t + i\gamma_5\sin\zeta_t]\,t\,h$$



LHeC

rapidity difference (H,$\bar{t}$)



top polarisation



angular asymmetries (b_2,l^-)



CP Nature of Top-Higgs Coupling



parton level

B. Coleppa
M. Kumar
S. Kumar
B. Mellado

Phys. Lett. B770 (2017) 335

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{m_t}{v}\bar{t}\,[\kappa\cos\zeta_t + i\gamma_5\sin\zeta_t]\,t\,h$$



LHeC

rapidity difference (H,$\bar{t}$)



top polarisation



fiducial incl. cross-section



Exclusion Contours (fiducial cross section)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{m_t}{v}\bar{t}\,[\kappa \cos\zeta_t + i\gamma_5 \sin\zeta_t]t\,h$$

LHeC

CP-even (flipped sign)

CP-odd

CP-even (SM)



HL-LHC

Phys. Lett. B761 (2016) 25

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

3σ

→ **powerful probe of ttH coupling**

10% uncertainty on background yields

$\kappa = 1.00 \pm 0.17$

Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Analysis of the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ Vertex

signal



background



→ measure **top quark charge**

LHC



OR



?

→ difficult at the LHC

Search for Anomalous $t\bar{t}\gamma$ Couplings



LHeC

$$\mathcal{L}_{t\bar{t}\gamma} = e\bar{t}\left(Q_t\gamma^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{4m_t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(\kappa + i\tilde{\kappa}\gamma_5)\right)t$$

electric dipole moment: $\tilde{\kappa}$

8% and 16% accuracy
10% 18%
→ systematically limited



magnetic dipole moment: κ

27% accuracy
(4.59fb^{-1}, 7 TeV)

Bouzas, Larios,
Physical Review D 88, 094007 (2013)

Search for Anomalous $t\bar{t}Z$ Couplings

Bouzas, Larios,
Physical Review D 88, 094007 (2013)



property	precision
EDM: $\tilde{\kappa}$ / $\tilde{\kappa}_Z$	0.20–0.28/0.6–0.8
MDM: κ / κ_Z	0.05–0.09/0.9–1.3

LHeC

$t\bar{t}$ photoproduction

10% and 18% accuracy



Search for Anomalous $t\bar{t}Z$ Couplings



Bouzas, Larios,
Physical Review D 88, 094007 (2013)

$t\bar{t}\gamma$

property	precision
EDM: $\tilde{\kappa}$ / $\tilde{\kappa}_Z$	0.20−0.28 / 0.6−0.8
MDM: κ / κ_Z	0.05−0.09 / 0.9−1.3

LHeC

10% and 18% accuracy

$t\bar{t}$ photoproduction



$t\bar{t}$ DIS



Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Search for Anomalous FCNC tuγ, tuZ Couplings

signal

I. Cakir, Yilmaz, Denizli, Senol, Karadeniz, O. Cakir, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 1572053 (2017)

$$L = -g_e \sum_{q=u,c} Q_q \frac{\lambda_q}{\Lambda} \bar{t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(f_q + h_q\gamma_5) q A_{\mu\nu} + h.c.$$



background



Search for Anomalous FCNC tuγ Coupling

signal

I. Cakir, Yilmaz, Denizli, Senol, Karadeniz, O. Cakir, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 1572053 (2017)

$$L = -g_e \sum_{q=u,c} Q_q \frac{\lambda_q}{\Lambda} \bar{t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(f_q + h_q\gamma_5) q A_{\mu\nu} + h.c.$$



b-tagging

$130 < M_{Wb} < 190$ GeV

$50 < M_{jj} < 100$ GeV

DELPHES



Cross sections:
σ_W=8.611 pb
σ_H=0.074 pb
σ_Z= 0.617 pb
σ_{tt}=0.415 pb

Search for Anomalous FCNC tuγ Coupling

signal

I. Cakir, Yilmaz, Denizli, Senol, Karadeniz, O. Cakir, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 1572053 (2017)

$$L = -g_e \sum_{q=u,c} Q_q \frac{\lambda_q}{\Lambda} \bar{t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(f_q + h_q\gamma_5)qA_{\mu\nu} + h.c.$$



b-tagging

$130 < M_{Wb} < 190$ GeV

$50 < M_{jj} < 100$ GeV

→ **test exotic models leading to FCNC**

DELPHES



LHeC CDR, arXiv: 2007.14491



$<4\cdot10^{-5}$

$<1\cdot10^{-5}$

Search for Anomalous FCNC tuγ Coupling

signal

I. Cakir, Yilmaz, Denizli, Senol, Karadeniz, O. Cakir, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 1572053 (2017)

$$L = -g_e \sum_{q=u,c} Q_q \frac{\lambda_q}{\Lambda} \bar{t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(f_q + h_q\gamma_5) q A_{\mu\nu} + h.c.$$



$130 < M_{Wb} < 190$ GeV

$50 < M_{jj} < 100$ GeV

→ **test exotic models leading to FCNC**

DELPHES



FCC CDR, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019)



Search for Anomalous FCNC tuγ Coupling

signal

I. Cakir, Yilmaz, Denizli, Senol, Karadeniz, O. Cakir, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 1572053 (2017)

$$L = -g_e \sum_{q=u,c} Q_q \frac{\lambda_q}{\Lambda} \bar{t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(f_q + h_q\gamma_5) q A_{\mu\nu} + h.c.$$



b-tagging

130<M_{Wb}<190 GeV

50<M_{jj}<100 GeV

DELPHES

→ **test exotic models leading to FCNC**





Search for Anomalous FCNC tHu Coupling

signal

`Sun, Wang,`
`arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]`



$$e^- p \to \nu_e \bar{t} \to \nu_e h \bar{q} \to \nu_e b \bar{b} \bar{q}, \quad q = u, c$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = \kappa_{tuh} \bar{t} u h + \kappa_{tch} \bar{t} c h + h.c.}$$

Search for Anomalous FCNC tHu Coupling

signal

Sun, Wang, arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]

background



$$e^-p \to \nu_e\bar{t} \to \nu_e h\bar{q} \to \nu_e b\bar{b}\bar{q}, \quad q = u, c$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = \kappa_{tuh}\bar{t}uh + \kappa_{tch}\bar{t}ch + h.c.}$$







Search for Anomalous FCNC tHu Coupling

signal

Sun, Wang, arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]

background



$$e^- p \to \nu_e \bar{t} \to \nu_e h \bar{q} \to \nu_e b\bar{b}\bar{q}, \quad q = u, c$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \kappa_{tuh} \bar{t}uh + \kappa_{tch} \bar{t}ch + h.c.$$



- parametrised assumed resolutions for electrons/photons, muons, jets and unclustered energy using ATLAS values
- b-tag rate of 60%, c-jet fake rate of 10%, light-jet fake rate of 1%
- selections optimized for LHeC and FCC-ep scenarios (s/√(S+B))
- cut-based and MVA-based analyses

Upper Limit on Br(t→uH) in MVA analysis

Sun, Wang, arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]

parametrisation



HL-LHC

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

<0.0015

(1ab^{-1})

2σ



HL-LHC

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

2σ

<0.0002 (2ab^{-1})

→ **improves HL-LHC sensitivity**

FCNC Top Quark Couplings

~2030's **≳2050's**

LHeC **FCC-ep**







FCC CDR, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019)







CLIC **FCC-ee**

~2040's

≳2050's **FCC-pp**



→ **complementarity of colliders**

Outline

Introduction

CC Top Production

NC Top Production

BSM Top Production

Conclusions

Summary

- future ep collider has a rich analysis programme, in particular for electroweak interactions of top quark
- single top quark factory: $|V_{tb}|$ (~1%)
- top quark couplings to bosons (Wtb, ttγ, ttZ, tH, FCNC)
- analyse top quark properties with high precision: polarisation, charge, PDFs of tops, ...
- many stringent searches for new physics:
  anomalous couplings, FCNC, CP violation in ttH, heavy top, SUSY stops…

→ DIS is competitive and complementary in performing high precision measurements of top properties

→ large sensitivities to discover new physics!

→ FCC-eh (2050+) sensitivities ~10 times better than LheC (2030s) - maybe room for both if LINAC is reused

Backup

FCNC Top Quark Couplings

LHeC













CLIC

HL-LHC



→ **complementarity of colliders**

LHeC and FCC-eh Detector Layout

FCC-eh

LHeC



Central Tracker
circular-elliptical beam pipe
4 layers Si-pixel
5 layers Si-strixel

(see Table of Detector Dimensions/
Parameters in backup)

DELPHES

Length of Inner Solenoid ~12m

Top Quark Parton Density Function

LHeC CDR, J.Phys. G39, 075001 (2012)

parton momentum fraction



six-flavour variable number scheme for top quark



→ LHeC offers new field of research for top quark PDF

Top Quark Structure Function

Boroun, Phys. Lett. B744, 142 (2015)

$L_{int}=10\ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$

variable flavour number scheme for top quark





$$\tau_t = (1 + \frac{4m_t^2}{Q^2})^{1+\lambda} \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2} (\frac{x_B}{x_0})^{\lambda}$$

$$x = x_B(1 + \frac{4m_t^2}{Q^2})$$

→ **LHeC opens up a new field of top quark PDFs**

Searches for RPV SUSY and stops

$$W_{\not R p} = \overbrace{\lambda_{ijk}\hat{L}_i\hat{L}_j\hat{E}_k^C + \lambda'_{ijk}\hat{L}_i\hat{Q}_j\hat{D}_k^C + \underbrace{\epsilon_i\hat{L}_i\hat{H}_u}_{\text{bilinear terms}}}^{L\text{-number violating terms}} + \underbrace{\lambda''_{ijk}\hat{U}_i^C\hat{D}_j^C\hat{D}_k^C}_{B\text{-number violating terms}}$$

ΔL =1, 9 λ couplings, 27 λ' couplings



similar to leptoquark searches with generation mixing



→ **very promising with high luminosity**

→ RPV can be probed at unprecedented levels

Upper Limit on Br(t→uH) in MVA analysis



Sun, Wang,
arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]

parametrisation

HL-LHC

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

<0.0015

(1ab^{-1})

2σ



HL-LHC

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

2σ

<0.0002 (2ab^{-1})

→ **improves HL-LHC sensitivity**

FCNC Branching Ratios at Colliders



FCNC Branching Ratios at Colliders



cut-based

MVA

cut-based

E_e=60 GeV
1000 fb^{-1}

FCNC Branching Ratios at Colliders



cut-based

LHeC

FCC-eh

E_e=60 GeV
1000 fb^{-1}
2000 fb^{-1}

MVA

LHeC

FCC-eh

LHeC

cut-based

FCNC Branching Ratios at Colliders



- **improve limits on BR(t→γu), BR(t→Zu), BR(t→Hu) considerably**

→ test SUSY, little Higgs, technicolor…

E_e=60 GeV
1000 fb^{-1}
2000 fb^{-1}

Energy Recovering Linac

Energy Recovering Linac (ERL):

E_e=60 GeV



8.9 km

- **power limit: 100 MW**
- **luminosity: 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}**
- **factor of 15/120 (LHeC/FCC-eh) extension of Q^2, 1/x reach**



M. Klein, F. Zimmermann

Initial, tentative, rough scaling estimate of basic cost (tunnel, linac (XFEL), magnets

Powerful ERL for Experiments (PERLE)



- 2 Linacs (Four 5-Cell 801.58 MHz SC cavities)
- 3 turns (160 MeV/turn)
- Max. beam energy 500 MeV at 20mA → 10 MW

cf Walid Kaabi at Amsterdam FCC

in Orsay

- BINP
- CERN
- Daresbury/Liverpool
- Jlab
- Orsay

→ CDR 1705.08783
[J. Phys G]

→ TDR in 2019

First 802 MHz cavity successfully built (Jlab)



→ **ERL demonstrator**

→ **O(10 MeV) physics**

LHeC Detector Layout

- Cross section with MadGraph5
  - tree-level Feynman diagrams using p_T of scattered quark as scale for ep processes
  - Fragmentation & hadronisation uses ep-customised Pythia.
- DELPHES Fast Detector Simulation
  - 'Standard' GPD LHC-detectors
    - Optimising vertex resolution a la ATLAS IBL of ~ 5 μm
    - ATLAS b-tagging efficiencies
    - Using state-of-the art hadronic and el.mag. Resolutions
  - Considering displaced vertices and impact parameter distributions

[arXiv:1802.04317]



Length x Diameter: LHeC (13.3 x 9 m^2) HE-LHC (15.6 x 10.4) FCCeh (19 x 12)
ATLAS (45 x 25) CMS (21 x 15): [LHeC < CMS, FCC-eh ~ CMS size]

Object	Acceptance
Electrons	$\|\eta\|<4.7$
Muons	$\|\eta\|<4.7$
Jets	$\|\eta\|<5$
b-tagging	$\|\eta\|<3.5$

Slide: M. Schott

CC Single Top Quark Cross Section

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688 [hep-ph]



100 fb^{-1}:

$2 \cdot 10^5$ events

$3 \cdot 10^5$ events

→ **LHeC offers excellent prospects for top quark physics**

CC Single Top Quark Cross Section

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688 [hep-ph]

100 fb^{-1}:
2–6 · 10^5 events
3–10 · 10^5 events



→ **LHeC offers excellent prospects for top quark physics**

CC Single Top Quark Cross Section

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688 [hep-ph]





Backgrounds: Hadronic Channel



No.	Background Process	$p_{T\,j,b} \geq 20$ GeV $\lvert\eta_j\rvert \leq 5, \lvert\eta_b\rvert \leq 2.5$ $\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$ $\not{E}_T \geq 25$	$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},j} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},b} \geq 0.4$	$\lvert m_{j_1 j_2} - m_W\rvert \leq 22\,\text{GeV}$	$\sigma_{\text{eff.}}$
1	$e^- p \to \nu_e W^- \bar{b}$ without anti-top line	7.5×10^{-3}	6.8×10^{-3}	4.5×10^{-3}	2.7×10^{-3}
2	$e^- p \to \nu_e jjj$	4.2×10^{0}	3.6×10^{0}	2.4×10^{0}	7.2×10^{-2}
3	$e^- p \to \nu_e cjj$ & $e^- p \to \nu_e \bar{c} jj$	1.5×10^{0}	1.2×10^{0}	8.6×10^{-1}	8.6×10^{-2}
4	$e^- p \to \nu_e c\bar{c}j$	5.8×10^{-2}	5.0×10^{-2}	3.2×10^{-2}	6.7×10^{-3}
5	$e^- p \to \nu_e b\bar{b}j$	2.5×10^{-2}	2.2×10^{-2}	5.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}
6	$e^- p \to \bar{c}\nu_e$ $(\bar{c} \to W^- \bar{s})$	2.5×10^{-2}	2.2×10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-4}



Event Selection	$p_{T\,j,b} \geq 20$ GeV $\lvert\eta_j\rvert \leq 5, \lvert\eta_b\rvert \leq 2.5$ $\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$ $\not{E}_T \geq 25$	$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},j} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E},b} \geq 0.4$	$\lvert m_{j_1 j_2} - m_W\rvert \leq 22\,\text{GeV}$	Fiducial Efficiency	$S/\sqrt{S+B}$
SM	3.2×10^{4}	2.3×10^{4}	2.2×10^{4}	66.7 %	–
SM+$\sum_i$ Bkg$_i$	6.5×10^{4}	5.0×10^{4}	4.0×10^{4}	61.5 %	
$\lvert V_{tb}\rvert\, \Delta f_1^L = .5$	7.3×10^{4}	5.0×10^{4}	5.0×10^{4}	68.0 %	1.92
$f_1^R = .5$	4.6×10^{4}	3.2×10^{4}	3.2×10^{4}	69.7 %	1.43
$f_2^L = .5$	4.9×10^{4}	3.6×10^{4}	3.6×10^{4}	73.2 %	1.55
$f_2^L = -.5$	3.4×10^{4}	2.3×10^{4}	2.3×10^{4}	69.6 %	1.40
$f_2^R = .5$	5.7×10^{4}	4.1×10^{4}	4.1×10^{4}	72.3 %	1.69

Backgrounds: Leptonic Channel



No.	Background Process	$p_{T\,j,b,l} \geq 20$ GeV, $\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$, $\not{E}_T \geq 25$ $\lvert\eta_j\rvert \geq 5$, $\lvert\eta_{b,l}\rvert \geq 2.5$	$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,j} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,b} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,l} \geq 0.4$	σ_{eff}.
1	$e^- p \to l^- \bar{\nu}_l \nu_e j$	1.5×10^{-1}	1.4×10^{-1}	1.4×10^{-3}
2	$e^- p \to l^- \bar{\nu}_l \nu_e c$ & $e^- p \to l^- \bar{\nu}_l \nu_e \bar{c}$	6.6×10^{-3}	6.1×10^{-3}	6.1×10^{-4}
3	$e^- p \to l^- \bar{\nu}_l \nu_e b$ & $e^- p \to l^- \bar{\nu}_l \nu_e \bar{b}$ Without top line	3.6×10^{-3}	3.2×10^{-3}	1.9×10^{-3}
4	$e^- p \to e^- l^- \bar{\nu}_l c$	1.5×10^{-2}	6.9×10^{-3}	6.9×10^{-4}
5	$e^- p \to e^- l^- \bar{\nu}_l j$	1.2×10^{-1}	5.5×10^{-2}	5.5×10^{-4}



Event Selection	$p_{T\,j,b} \geq 20$ GeV $\lvert\eta_j\rvert \leq 5, \lvert\eta_b\rvert \leq 2.5$ $\Delta R_{j,b/j} \geq 0.4$ $\not{E}_T \geq 25$	$\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,j} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,b} \geq 0.4$ $\Delta\Phi_{\not{E}_T,l} \geq 0.4$	Fiducial Efficiency	$S/\sqrt{S+B}$
SM	1.2×10^4	1.1×10^4	92.0 %	–
SM+$\sum_i$ Bkg$_i$	1.3×10^4	1.2×10^4	92.0 %	–
$\lvert V_{tb}\rvert \, \Delta f_1^L = .5$	4.5×10^4	2.5×10^4	92.6 %	1.55
$f_1^R = .5$	2.8×10^4	1.6×10^4	94.1 %	1.23
$f_2^L = .5$	3.1×10^4	1.7×10^4	89.5 %	1.27
$f_2^L = -.5$	1.8×10^4	1.0×10^4	90.9 %	0.95
$f_2^R = .5$	3.6×10^4	2.0×10^4	90.9 %	1.38

Direct Measurement of $|V_{tb}|$



$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta|V_{tb}| \cdot 1000$

$\Delta\beta$: luminosity uncertainty



Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado,
arXiv:1307.1688 [hep-ph]

100 fb^{-1}: $\Delta|V_{tb}|=0.005$

Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(\boxed{f_V^L} P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$

Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$

Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- \\ -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688



L_{int}=100 fb^{-1}







+ other variables sensitive on W helicity

Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_{\mu}^{-} + h.c.$$

= 1 in SM

Dutta, Goyal, Kumar, Mellado, arXiv:1307.1688



68% C.L.

property	precision
f_V^L	0.001−0.01
f_V^R, f_T^L, f_T^R	0.01−0.1



Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$

= 1 in SM

68% C.L.





Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

= 1 in SM

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$





Search for Anomalous Wtb Couplings

$$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}V_{tb}\left(f_V^L P_L + f_V^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^-$$
$$-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{M_W}\left(f_T^L P_L + f_T^R P_R\right)tW_\mu^- + h.c.$$

= 1 in SM







Gluon Parton Density Function



→ **measure gluon density at high x**

Top Quark Structure Function

Boroun, Phys. Lett. B744, 142 (2015)

$$\boxed{\widetilde{\sigma}^{t\bar{t}}(\tau_t)\rightarrow F_2^t(\tau_t)[1-R^t(\tau_t)]}$$

variable flavour number scheme for top quark





→ approximately: $1/\tau_t$

→ independent of x and τ_t

→ longitudinal top structure function component could be good to probe top quark density in proton at $Q^2 \simeq 4m_t^2$

NC Top Quark Production

Bouzas, Larios,
Physical Review D 88, 094007 (2013)

top pair production

single top production

DIS



photoproduction



photoproduction



e−beam 60 GeV, 100 fb^{-1}:

0.023 pb
$N_{t\bar{t}}$=2,300

0.70 pb
$N_{t\bar{t}}$=70,000

0.031 pb
N_t=3,100

Search for Anomalous $t\bar{t}\gamma$ Couplings



$$\mathcal{L}_{t\bar{t}\gamma} = e\bar{t}\left(Q_t\gamma^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{4m_t}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(\kappa + i\tilde{\kappa}\gamma_5)\right)t$$

electric dipole moment: $\tilde{\kappa}$



LHeC:
10% and 18% accuracy

LHC with
5% accuracy

27% accuracy
(4.59fb^{-1}, 7 TeV)

magnetic dipole moment: κ

Bouzas, Larios,
Physical Review D 88, 094007 (2013)

Top Quark Dimension 6 Operators

$$\Lambda^2\mathcal{L}_{4f} = C_1(\bar{\nu}_L\gamma^\mu t_L\bar{b}_L\gamma_\mu e_L + h.c.) + [C_2\bar{\nu}_L e_R\bar{b}_R t_L + C_3\bar{b}_L e_R\bar{\nu}_L t_R + C_4\bar{\nu}_L e_R\bar{b}_L t_R \ + h.c.]$$

Λ=1TeV





property	precision
C_1	0.50−0.85
C_2^r	2.2−5.0
C_3^r	1.4−2.9
C_4^r	2.2−4.9

Sarmiento-Alvarado, Bouzas, Larios, arXiv:1412.6679

$\mathcal{P}_e = 0$

$\mathcal{P}_e = 0.4$

$\mathcal{P}_e = 0.7$

experimental error

68% C.L.



cross section

FCNC Top Couplings at Colliders

`Top Quark Working Group Collaboration, arXiv:1311.2028 [hep-ph]`





Reconstructed Higgs mass



Reconstructed top quark mass



Direct Measurement of $|V_{tb}|$



$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$



[1] including top-quark mass uncertainty

[2] σ_{theo}: NLO PDF4LHC11 NPPS205 (2010) 10, CPC191 (2015) 74

[3] including beam energy uncertainty

Upper Limit on Br(t→uH) in MVA analysis

Sun, Wang,
arXiv:1602.04670 [hep-ph]

parametrisation



HL-LHC

LHC, 3000 fb^{-1}@14TeV

2σ

<0.0002
(2ab^{-1})

→ **improves HL-LHC sensitivity**