



1

Initial Electron Lifetime and dE/dx Studies at Bern SingleCube Run

Alex Flesher, Lane Kashur, Michael Mooney

Colorado State University

ND-LAr Consortium Meeting

October 29th, 2020



Introduction



- Goals of these studies:
 - Give a preliminary understanding of energy (charge) scale and purity level in Bern SingleCube Run → data quality
 - Inform data-taking modality for SingleCube running do we need to change the way we take data (e.g. length of runs, ASIC settings)?
 - Develop calibration tools that can be used by others throughout Bern SingleCube run and at other SingleCube tests (e.g. CSU, UTA)
- Very pressing to understand electron lifetime in detector
 - If this is poor, would substantially impact ability to fully characterize charge readout (and possibly light readout)
 - May need to take quick action (<u>spoiler</u>: things look **great** so far)
- Brief overview of tools and preliminary results in these slides
 - Tools will be exercised by Lane and Alex during their shifts for the Bern SingleCube run







~10 A-C-crossing tracks/minute

> Ideal run: 30 min. (~300 tracks)

- Basic steps of workflow:
 - Download h5 file from Bern to laptop (by hand for now)
 - Convert h5 file to ROOT file using python script
 - Run "TrackMaker" C++/ROOT program to produce clustered tracks, clustered in 3D using DBSCAN, with hit x/y/z/charge info
 - Run "PurityStudy" C++/ROOT program to select **anodecathode-crossing tracks** via maximum drift time cut (~180 µs), measure electron lifetime by fitting to dQ/dx vs. drift time, and measure dE/dx via electronics gain, recombination correction, and electron lifetime corrections



File: datalog_2020_10_28_22_41_18_CET_.h5



- ◆ First find pedestal using dedicated run: ~78 ADCs
 - Taking as uniform across detector for now
- Assume constant electronics gain for now
 - Should obtain per-channel measurements using pulser?
- $dQ/dx = 0.250 \text{ ke}/\text{mV} \times 3.9 \text{ mV}/\text{ADC} \times (Q \text{ [ADCs]} 78) / (\Delta x \text{ [cm]})$
- First electron lifetime results close to **1 ms** looking good!



File: datalog_2020_10_28_23_41_19_CET_.h5



- ◆ First find pedestal using dedicated run: ~78 ADCs
 - Taking as uniform across detector for now
- Assume constant electronics gain for now
 - Should obtain per-channel measurements using pulser?
- $dQ/dx = 0.250 \text{ ke}^{-}/\text{mV} \times 3.9 \text{ mV}/\text{ADC} \times (Q \text{ [ADCs]} 78) / (\Delta x \text{ [cm]})$
- First electron lifetime results close to **1 ms** looking good!



File: datalog_2020_10_29_08_17_11_CET_.h5



- ◆ First find pedestal using dedicated run: ~78 ADCs
 - Taking as uniform across detector for now
- Assume constant electronics gain for now
 - Should obtain per-channel measurements using pulser?
- $dQ/dx = 0.250 \text{ ke}/\text{mV} \times 3.9 \text{ mV}/\text{ADC} \times (Q \text{ [ADCs]} 78) / (\Delta x \text{ [cm]})$
- ◆ First electron lifetime results close to **1 ms** looking good!



File: datalog_2020_10_29_10_38_24_CET_.h5



- ◆ First find pedestal using dedicated run: ~78 ADCs
 - Taking as uniform across detector for now
- Assume constant electronics gain for now
 - Should obtain per-channel measurements using pulser?
- $dQ/dx = 0.250 \text{ ke}^{-}/\text{mV} \times 3.9 \text{ mV}/\text{ADC} \times (Q \text{ [ADCs]} 78) / (\Delta x \text{ [cm]})$
- ◆ First electron lifetime results close to **1 ms** looking good!



First dE/dx Results

File: datalog_2020_10_28_23_41_19_CET_.h5



- $dE/dx = (23.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MeV/ke} \times e^{\Delta t/\tau} / 0.66) \times dQ/dx [ke^{-}/cm]$
- Ignore first and last bins in Δt for 1D histogram of dE/dx
 - Track selection effects, or something like E field distortions?
- First dE/dx distribution looks close! However, seems to be
 20-30% too high in scale electronics gain off?
 - Also can measure dE/dx resolution \rightarrow do this next





File: datalog_2020_10_28_23_41_19_CET_.h5



- First results shown on electron lifetime and dE/dx at SingleCube run at Bern
 - Electron lifetime is great! Roughly **1** ms (need > $300 \ \mu$ s)
 - dE/dx looks good, but scale is 20-30% too high → electronics gain likely needs tuning using pulser data
 - <u>Request</u>: **30-minute runs** best for this analysis
- Will work today to publish tools for use by all (via GitHub)





BACKUP SLIDES



Pedestal Distribution



