Fermilab **ENERGY** Office of Science



Snowmass 2021: pMSSM studies

Jennet Dickinson, Jim Hirschauer EF08 pMSSM Kick off November 4, 2020

Intro to pMSSM

- Most experimental SUSY searches are optimized in terms of simplified models containing 2-3 free parameters
- However, the full MSSM contains 120 free parameters
- The pMSSM goes beyond simplified models, but uses motivated assumptions to reduce the total number of parameters to a more tenable 19:

tan β : the ratio of the vev of the two–Higgs doublet fields. M_A : the mass of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson μ : the Higgs–higgsino mass parameter M_1, M_2, M_3 : the bino, wino and gluino mass parameters. $m_{\tilde{q}}, m_{\tilde{u}_R}, m_{\tilde{d}_R}, m_{\tilde{l}}, m_{\tilde{e}_R}$: first/second generation sfermion masses $m_{\tilde{Q}}, m_{\tilde{t}_R}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}, m_{\tilde{L}}, m_{\tilde{\tau}_R}$: third generation sfermion masses A_t, A_b, A_{τ} : third generation trilinear couplings.

arXiv 9901246

Goal of Snowmass 2021 pMSSM scan

- Explore future sensitivity in a framework that goes beyond simplified SUSY models
- Understand the physics potential of different future collider scenarios in the context of the pMSSM
 - How will SUSY sensitivity from various collider scenarios overlap/complement each other?
 - What interesting pMSSM models have limited coverage, and how can we expand this coverage?
- Complementarity across Snowmass Frontiers: input from dark matter, rare frontier, etc.



Overview of pMSSM scan strategy

- Sample points in the pMSSM
 - Can build in well-established physics knowledge
 - e.g. with a Markov chain Monte Carlo (see Malte's talk)
- Focus in on interesting regions of phase space
 - Accessible to different experiments
 - Well-motivated by existing measurements
 - Well-motivated by theoretical considerations
- Generate signal points
 - SM backgrounds can mostly be generated centrally
- Get event counts for various signal regions
 - Based on selections chosen by different collider groups
- Do statistics

Collider scenarios

- SM backgrounds to be provided for the colliders and center of mass energies listed below (<u>link to slides</u>)
 - Should choose a subset to focus on
- Regions of pMSSM sensitivity vary widely...
 - One big scan for all scenarios?
 - Multiple scans, with one targeting each scenario?

Machine	Energy							
CEPC	mz	2m _w	240					
FCC-ee	mz	2m _w	240	2m _t				GoV
ILC	250	350		500	1000			Gev
CLIC			380			1500	3000	
HL-LHC/FCC-hh	14	75	100	150				
LHeC/FCC-eh	1.3	3.5						TeV
μμ	3	10	14	30				

John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

Today's meeting

- We will hear from experts working on pMSSM studies from CMS, ATLAS, and theory perspectives
- Followed by discussion of how to adapt existing tools for Snowmass studies

2:00 PM → 2:10 PM	Introduction Speaker: Jennet Dickinson (UC Berkeley/LBNL)	🕲 10m
2:10 PM → 2:22 PM	CMS pMSSM scan workflow Speaker: Malte Mrowietz (University of Hamburg)	() 12m
2:22 PM → 2:34 PM	ATLAS pMSSM workflow Speaker: Giordon Stark	() 12m
2:34 PM → 2:46 PM	Theory considerations for pMSSM scans Speaker: Sven Heinemeyer (IFT (CSIC, Madrid))	🕓 12m
2:46 PM → 3:02 PM	Discussion	🕓 16m

