

ADMX 2-4GHz Electronic Tuning Update

Jonathan Tedeschi Electrical Engineer



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





- Background and motivation
- Summary of research to date
- Simulation and measurement details
- Next steps



Background and Motivation

- As the cavity resonant frequency changes due to tuning rod location, the coupling antenna needs to be tuned to couple into the right frequency
- Current methods use mechanical tuning of coupling antenna
 - Critically coupling of probe into microwave cavity resonant frequency
 - Providing good energy transfer
- Electronic tuning could provide advantages to the current matching technique
 - Removing potential mechanical failure point
 - Heat load
 - Physical size
 - Scalable design for many cavity systems
- Industry applications
 - Reconfigurable antennas
 - Tunable filter / switched filter banks





Impedance Control for Critically Coupled Cavities

BII Riddle and Craig Nelson National Institute of Standards and Technology 325 Broadway Boulder, CO 80305-3328 Email: Bill.Riddle@nist.gov

Example of Electronic Tuning Impedance Match



Background: Electronic Tuning Model

- Adopted high level design from LLNL previous work and NRAO
- Cavity simple representation by using ideal LC resonant circuit
- Coupling efficiency set by transformer ratios into cavity
- Phase shifter used to adjust reactance for best coupling from measurement port into cavity
- 3-port network used to connect electronic tuning element with cavity and measurement port





Research Summary to Date

- Cavity simulations cascaded with impedance transformers
- Circulator vs. unmatched tee

 \rightarrow

• Tuning stub vs. digital phase shifter

 Tuning JPA inductance via magnetic flux bias

- Tunable cavity, adjustable Q, full system sparameters
- Unmatched tee providing best result with no isolation between ports
- Ideal tuning stub (microstrip line) produces good impedance matching, but requires many lengths to match over a broad bandwidth
 - Digital phase shifter proved too lossy
- New concept proposed by Aaron Cho, research underway



- Cavity simulation created from simple LCR resonant circuit
 - Tunable vs. frequency
 - LC values computed based upon desired Q, resonant frequency and resistance
- Cavity impedance then translated to ABCD matrix
- ABCD matrix then translated to scattering parameter matrix (s-parameters)
- S-parameters used in microwave cascaded analysis simulations





2.8

3.0

- Simplistic LCR cavity model produces desired results
 - Cavity unloaded Q values
 - Resonance frequency
 - Complex impedance

Isolated 2.5GHz Cavity LCR Performance



Multiple Cavity Simulations Tuned for **Different Frequencies**











- Cascading s-parameter matrices preserving magnitude and phase relationships vs. frequency
- Allows for transmission and reflection measurements through the cavity system
- Optimization of a tuning circuit for matching Port 2 to the impedance of the cavity
- Initial building blocks established but parameter refinement necessary post proof of concept





Unmatched System Simulation

10

-10

留 -20

-30

-40

-50

- System simulations shown for a 2.5GHz cavity with a 1:2 transformer for the coupling antenna
- S11 goes from -40dB to -4.4dB
 - Appropriate for N² impedance change
- S21 incurs loss of 1.9dB due to mismatch
- Transformer coupling used as placeholder to evaluate tuning techniques





Electronic Tuning via Digital Phase Shifter

• Measured s-parameters from 2-4GHz vs. control state

20

State

Control

50

- Digital phase shifter s-parameters applied to impedance tuning circuit and system cascade
- Phase shifter showed minimal ability to impact impedance match

2.00 2.25 2.50 2.75 3.00 3.25 3.50 3.75 4.00

GHz



Digital Phase Shifter Under Test



Digital Phase Shifter Performance vs. Control State (calibration line magnitude and phase removed) Digital Phase Shifter S21 over Bandwidth

-3.00

-3.25

-3.50

-3.75

-4.00

-4.25

-4.50

4.75

State

2.00 2.25 2.50 2.75 3.00 3.25 3.50 3.75 4.00

GHz



Electronic Tuning with Digital Phase Shifter

- Digital phase shifter state 30 (best case scenario)
 - S11 (cavity perspective): -9dB
 - S22 (JPA side): -18.5dB
 - S21: -2.2dB
- Summary plots shown for s-parameter performance at 2.5GHz vs. control state
- De-embedding DPS state 0 (for example only)
 - Loss removed but phase adjustment preserved
 - Phase shifter loss requirements ~0.5dB max



20

30

Phase Shifter State

40

50

Tuned Location

ÓF

Phase Shifter State

20

40

50

60







-36

-40

2.0

25

3.0

GHz

3.5

Electronic Tuning with Shorted Transmission Lines

- Tuning is sensitive to preceding transmission line length
- Best case shown with tuning stub
 - S11 (cavity perspective): -23dB
 - S22 (JPA side): -24dB
 - S21: -0.6dB



_____ S11 _____ S21 -1-4-2 -8 -8 -12 -3 -12 -16 -4-16 昭 -5 暇 -20 띰 -20 -24 -6 -24 -28 -7 -28 -32 -32 -8

2.5

3.0

GHz

3.5

-9

-10

2.0

4.0

-36

-40

2.0

4.0

522

2.5

3.0

GHz



- If the ideal tuning stub provides a good impedance match, but the loss associated with a switched – tuning stub network would render it ineffective, a JPA may be able to work as a tunable inductor
- JPA is a resonant amplifier circuit with a coupling capacitance, a capacitance to ground and a tunable inductance
- The magnetic flux bias provided to the SQUID array within the JPA may be used to tune the circuit's inductance
 - This inductance occurs on the non-linear transmission line where the center conductor is an array of squids, and the inductance per unit length is comes mostly from the nonlinear Josephson inductance of the SQUIDS
- Literature shows tuning bandwidth of JPA at ~ 1 octave
 - Lends to determining inductance range

Pump Tone



Signal

Development and Characterization of a Flux-Pumped Lumped Element Josephson Parametric Amplifier Esposito M., Rahamim J.



- Simulations have been developed to:
 - Create LCR cavity s-parameter matrix
 - Tunable vs. frequency, selectable Q
 - Impedance transformers for synthesizing impedance mismatch
- Simulations comparing impedance matching results
 - Circulators vs. unmatched tee
 - Digital phase shifter vs. tuning stub
- Current work
 - Studying JPA tunable inductance
 - Market research on servo-controlled phase shifters for cryogenic applications

	Unmatched Tee w/ DPS	Unmatched Tee w/ Tuning Stub	Unmatched Tee w/ Servo Controlled PS	Unmatched Tee w/ JPA
Tuning Sensitivity	Low	High	High	TBD
Transmission loss	High	Low	Low	TBD
Frequency tunable	Yes	Requires switched tuning stub network	Yes	TBD
Size	Small	Med	Large	TBD
Cryogenic Operation	Yes	Yes	TBD	Yes

Low Loss Motor Driven Phase Shifter





Thank you





Backup Slides



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





3-Port Network: Circulator vs. Unmatched Tee

- Initial testing of two variations of the three-port network
- Circulator directional device, S21 != S12, provides isolation between paths
 Low loss in standard operating direction (~0.6dB)
- Unmatched tee no impedance matching or isolation.
 - Most sensitive to 3 port impedance matching network



Circulator







- 3-port network using either a circulator or an unmatched tee
- Shorted microstrip line used as a tuning stub, length varied producing multiple S11 curves
- Isolation from circulator reduces effectiveness of tuning stub
- Unmatched tee connection behaves well and allows for impedance matching







Electronic Tuning with Digital Phase Shifter

- Attenuator placed before de-embedded phase shifter to determine how much loss renders the phase shifter ineffective
 - Leads to phase shifter requirements
- Phase shifter IL needs ~0.5dB IL or less to be effective
 - Possible with large mechanical tuned phase shifters or servo-controlled phase shifters







Tuning via JPA Magnetic Flux Bias

- Strategy
 - Determine typical LC parameters values for JPA
 - Values from literature search
 - Determine tunable range for Lg term
 - Apply simplified JPA C-L||C model to cavity simulation to determine tuning effectiveness
- Literature shows tuning bandwidth of JPA at ~ 1 octave
 - Lends to determining inductance range

