

DOE Basic Research Needs Study on HEP Instrumentation

Bonnie Fleming
Ian Shipsey
(on behalf of the BRN Panel)

“Transformative discovery in science is driven by innovation in technology. Our boldest undertakings in particle physics have at their foundation precision instrumentation. To reveal the profound connections underlying everything we see from the smallest scales to the largest distances in the Universe, to understand its fundamental constituents, and to reveal what is still unknown, we must invent, develop, and deploy advanced instrumentation.”

DOE Basic Research Needs Study on HEP Instrumentation

DOE BRN Instrumentation Panel



The Basic Research Needs for High Energy Physics Detector R&D Study Panels

co-Chairs: Bonnie Fleming (Yale University) & Ian Shipsey (Oxford University)

Cross-Cut Panel

Marcel Demarteau (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)
James Fast (Thomas Jefferson National Laboratory)
Sunil Golwala (California Institute of Technology)
Young-Kee Kim (University of Chicago)
Abraham Seiden (University of California Santa Cruz)

Panel Leads

Energy Frontier: James Hirschauer (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory) & Gabriella Sciolla (Brandeis University)
Neutrinos: Ornella Palamara (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory) & Kate Scholberg (Duke University)
Dark Matter: Jodi Cooley (Southern Methodist University) & Dan McKinsey (University of California, Berkeley)
Cosmic Acceleration: Clarence Chang (Argonne National Laboratory) & Brenna Flaughner (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory)
Explore the Unknown: Sarah Demers (Yale University) & Monica Pepe-Altarelli (CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research)
Calorimetry: Francesco Lanni (Brookhaven National Laboratory) & Roger Rusack (University of Minnesota)
Noble Liquids: Roxanne Guenette (Harvard University) & Jocelyn Monroe (Royal Holloway, University of London)
Photodetectors: Lindley Winslow (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) & Peter Krizan (University of Ljubljana and JSI, Ljubljana)
Quantum Sensors: Andrew Geraci (Northwestern University) & Kent Irwin (Stanford University)
Readout & ASICs: Gabriella Carini (Brookhaven National Laboratory) & Mitch Newcomer (University of Pennsylvania)
Solid State: Marina Artuso (Syracuse University) & Carl Haber (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory)
TDAQ: Darin Acosta (University of Florida) & Tulika Bose (University of Wisconsin, Madison)

Panel Members

Energy Frontier: Michael Begel (Brookhaven National Laboratory) & Meenakshi Narain (Brown University)

Neutrinos: Daniel Dwyer (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory) & Amy Connolly (The Ohio State University)

Dark Matter: Andrew Sonnenschein (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory) & Reyco Henning (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)

Dark Energy: Kyle Dawson (University of Utah) & Laura Newburgh (Yale University)

Explore the Unknown: Matthew Reece (Harvard University) & Nicola Serra (Universität Zürich)

Calorimetry: Nural Akchurin (Texas Tech University), Sarah Eno (University of Maryland), Paolo Rumerio (University of Alabama) & Renyuan Zhu (California Institute of Technology)

Noble Liquids: Jennifer Raaf (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), Andrea Pocar (University of Massachusetts), Jonathan Asaadi (University of Texas at Arlington) & Hugh Lippincott (University of California, Santa Barbara)

Photodetectors: Graham Giovanetti (Williams College), Adriana Lita (National Institute for Standards and Technology), & Felix Sefkow (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron)

Quantum Sensors: Gretchen Campbell (Joint Quantum Institute & University of Maryland), Alexander Sushkov (Boston University), Ronald Walsworth (Harvard University) & Anna Grassellino (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory)

Readout & ASICs: Angelo Dragone (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory), Maurice Garcia-Sciveres (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory),

Terri Shaw (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory) & Julia Thom-Levy (Cornell University)

Solid State: Alessandro Tricoli (Brookhaven National Laboratory) & Petra Merkel (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory)

Trigger and Data Acquisition: Wesley Ketchum (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), Jinlong Zhang (Argonne National Laboratory),

Paul O'Connor (Brookhaven National Laboratory) & Georgia Karagiorgi (Columbia University)

Opportunities for Discovery

Many mysteries to date go unanswered including:

The mystery of the Higgs boson

The mystery of Neutrinos

The mystery of Dark Matter

The mystery of Dark Energy

The mystery of quarks and charged leptons

The mystery of Matter – anti-Matter asymmetry

The mystery of the Hierarchy Problem

The mystery of the Families of Particles

The mystery of Inflation

The mystery of Gravity



Opportunities for Discovery

Many mysteries to date go unanswered including:

The mystery of the Higgs boson

The mystery of Neutrinos

The mystery of Dark Matter

The mystery of Dark Energy

The mystery of quarks and charged leptons

The mystery of Matter – anti-Matter asymmetry

The mystery of the Hierarchy Problem

The mystery of the Families of Particles

The mystery of Inflation

The mystery of Gravity

We are very much in a data driven era !



“New directions in science are launched by new tools much more often than by new concepts.

The effect of a concept-driven revolution is to explain old things in new ways. The effect of a tool-driven revolution is to discover new things that have to be explained” (Freeman Dyson)



**“Measure what is measurable, and
make measurable what is not so” (Galileo Galilei)**

Four Grand Challenges encompass this Instrumentation revolution

- **Advancing HEP detectors to new regimes of sensitivity:** *To make the unmeasurable measurable will require the development of sensors with exquisite sensitivity with the ability to distinguish signal from noise.... Research will be needed to develop these sensors with maximal coupling to the quanta to be sensed and push their sensitivities to ultimate limits.*
- **Using Integration to enable scalability for HEP sensors:** *Future HEP detectors for certain classes of experiments will require massive increases in scalability to search for and study rare phenomena ... A key enabler of scalability is integration of many functions on, and extraction of multidimensional information from, these innovative sensors.*
- **Building next-generation HEP detectors with novel materials & advanced techniques:** *Future HEP detectors will have requirements beyond what is possible with the materials and techniques which we know. This requires identifying novel materials ... that provide new properties or capabilities and adapting them & exploiting advanced techniques for design & manufacturing.*
- **Mastering extreme environments and data rates in HEP experiments:** *Future HEP detectors will involve extreme environments and exponential increases in data rates to explore elusive phenomena. ... To do so requires the intimate integration of intelligent computing with sensor technology.*

Charge, audience and goals

- Survey the present state of the HEP technology landscape.
- Identify key capabilities & performance requirements.
- Identify technologies to provide or enhance such capabilities.

10 Basic Research Needs Study Charge



Department of Energy
Office of Science
Washington, DC 20585
10 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR HELMUT MARSISKE

FROM: GLEN CRAWFORD
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
OFFICE OF HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS (HEP)

SUBJECT: Basic Research Needs Study of HEP Detector Research and Development

I request that you organize and carry out a Basic Research Needs (BRN) study to assess the present status of the HEP technology landscape, and to identify strategic technology areas, aligned with the strengths of the US community, for future long-term research and development (R&D) efforts should focus on in pursuit of the High Energy Physics drivers identified in the P5 report. For each of these areas, the study should articulate and justify a set of Priority Research Directions (PRDs) to push the technology well beyond the current state of the art, potentially leading to transformative advances with broad-ranging applicability in HEP and beyond. Furthermore, the study should identify a small set of high-impact instrumentation "Grand Challenges" where transformative breakthroughs could lead to game-changing experimental capabilities in pursuit of HEP science goals.

You should convene co-chairs to lead the study and work with them to select the core group of working group leaders to carry it out. The study encompasses responses to the specific charge elements elucidated above and is expected to take several months to complete. A focal point of the study should include a workshop, with attendance beyond the core group, expected to be held in the summer of 2019 time frame in the Washington, DC area. The study participants are to serve by invitation only.

The HEP Detector R&D program aims to develop cutting-edge, novel instrumentation to enable scientific leadership in a worldwide experimental program that is broadening into new research areas with ever increasing demands in sensitivity, scale, and cost. To meet this challenge, HEP must execute a program appropriately balanced between incremental, near-term, low-risk detector R&D and transformative, long-term, high-risk detector R&D.

With long-term technical challenges of current high-priority P5 projects subsiding, the HEP Detector R&D program aims to shift more emphasis towards building a long-term, high-risk high-reward ("Blue Sky") R&D portfolio that holds the promise of transformative advances with broad-ranging applications across HEP as well as other fields of science, medicine, and national security. Crucially, the program must take full advantage of the major advances happening in

Charge, audience and goals

- Survey the present state of the HEP technology landscape.
- Identify key capabilities & performance requirements.
- Identify technologies to provide or enhance such capabilities.
- Articulate Priority Research Directions to push well beyond the current state of the art, potentially leading to transformative technological advances with broad-ranging applicability.
- Flesh out required R&D efforts with deliverables with notional timelines & key technical milestones. Elucidate the technical infrastructure required to support these efforts.

10 Basic Research Needs Study Charge



Department of Energy
Office of Science
Washington, DC 20585
10 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR HELMUT MARSISKE

FROM: GLEN CRAWFORD
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
OFFICE OF HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS (HEP)

SUBJECT: Basic Research Needs Study of the HEP Detector Research and Development

I request that you organize and carry out a Basic Research Needs (BRN) study to assess the present status of the HEP technology landscape, and to identify strategic technology areas, aligned with the strengths of the US community, for future long-term research and development (R&D) efforts should focus on in pursuit of the High Energy Physics drivers identified in the P5 report. For each of these areas, the study should articulate and justify a set of Priority Research Directions (PRDs) to push the technology well beyond the current state of the art, potentially leading to transformative advances with broad-ranging applicability in HEP and beyond. Furthermore, the study should identify a small set of high-impact instrumentation "Grand Challenges" where transformative breakthroughs could lead to game-changing experimental capabilities in pursuit of HEP science goals.

You should convene co-chairs to lead the study and work with them to select the core group of working group leaders to carry it out. The study encompasses responses to the specific charge elements elucidated above and is expected to take several months to complete. A focal point of the study should include a workshop, with audience beyond the core group, expected to be held in the summer of 2019 time frame in the Washington, DC area. The study participants are to serve by invitation only.

The HEP Detector R&D program aims to develop cutting-edge, novel instrumentation to enable scientific leadership in a worldwide experimental program that is broadening into new research areas with ever increasing demands in sensitivity, scale, and cost. To meet this challenge, HEP must execute a program appropriately balanced between incremental, near-term, low-risk detector R&D and transformative, long-term, high-risk detector R&D.

With long-term technical challenges of current high-priority P5 projects subsiding, the HEP Detector R&D program aims to shift more emphasis towards building a long-term, high-risk high-reward ("Blue Sky") R&D portfolio that holds the promise of transformative advances with broad-ranging applications across HEP as well as other fields of science, medicine, and national security. Crucially, the program must take full advantage of the major advances happening in

Charge, audience and goals

- Survey the present state of the HEP technology landscape.
- Identify key capabilities & performance requirements.
- Identify technologies to provide or enhance such capabilities.
- Articulate Priority Research Directions to push well beyond the current state of the art, potentially leading to transformative technological advances with broad-ranging applicability.
- Flesh out required R&D efforts with deliverables with notional timelines & key technical milestones. Elucidate the technical infrastructure required to support these efforts.
- Formulate a small set of instrumentation Grand Challenges that could result in game-changing experimental capabilities.

10 Basic Research Needs Study Charge



Department of Energy
Office of Science
Washington, DC 20585
10 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR HELMUT MARSISKE

FROM: GLEN CRAWFORD
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
OFFICE OF HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS (HEP)

SUBJECT: Basic Research Needs Study of the Detector Research and Development

I request that you organize and carry out a Basic Research Needs (BRN) study to assess the present status of the HEP technology landscape, and to identify strategic technology areas, aligned with the strengths of the US community, for future long-term research and development (R&D) efforts should focus on in pursuit of the High Energy Physics drivers identified in the P5 report. For each of these areas, the study should articulate and justify a set of Priority Research Directions (PRDs) to push the technology well beyond the current state of the art, potentially leading to transformative advances with broad-ranging applicability in HEP and beyond. Furthermore, the study should identify a small set of high-impact instrumentation "Grand Challenges" where transformative breakthroughs could lead to game-changing experimental capabilities in pursuit of HEP science goals.

You should coordinate chairs to lead the study and work with them to select the core group of working group leaders to carry it out. The study encompasses responses to the specific charge elements elucidated above and is expected to take several months to complete. A focal point of the study should include a workshop, with attendance beyond the core group, expected to be held in the summer of 2019 time frame in the Washington, DC area. The study participants are to serve by invitation only.

The HEP Detector R&D program aims to develop cutting-edge, novel instrumentation to enable scientific leadership in a worldwide experimental program that is broadening into new research areas with ever increasing demands in sensitivity, scale, and cost. To meet this challenge, HEP must execute a program appropriately balanced between incremental, near-term, low-risk detector R&D and transformative, long-term, high-risk detector R&D.

With the near-term technical challenges of current high-priority P5 projects subsiding, the HEP Detector R&D program aims to shift more emphasis towards building a long-term, high-risk high-reward ("Blue Sky") R&D portfolio that holds the promise of transformative advances with broad-ranging applications across HEP as well as other fields of science, medicine, and national security. Crucially, the program must take full advantage of the major advances happening in

Charge, audience and goals

To meet this challenge, HEP aims to execute a program appropriately balanced between incremental, near-term, low-risk detector R&D and transformative, long-term, high-risk detector R&D

Along with the five science drivers, the 2014 P5 report identifies the importance of Instrumentation R&D in one of its highest level recommendations where it calls for a “balanced mix of short term and long-term R&D” in the current era.

The BRN does:
describe SCIENCE
OPPORTUNITIES
& TECHNOLOGIES
TO REALIZE THEM

The BRN does not:
rank PRD opportunities

Report should speak to the scientific community, the public, and decision makers

10 Basic Research Needs Study Charge



Department of Energy
Office of Science
Washington, DC 20585

10 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR HELMUT MARSISKE

FROM: GLEN CRAWFORD
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
OFFICE OF HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS (HEP)

SUBJECT: Basic Research Needs Study Charge: Detector Research and Development

I request that you organize and carry out a Basic Research Needs (BRN) study to assess the present status of the HEP technology landscape, and to identify strategic technology areas, aligned with the strengths of the US community, for future long-term research and development (R&D) efforts should focus on in pursuit of the five science drivers identified in the P5 report. For each of these areas, the study should articulate and justify a set of Priority Research Directions (PRDs) to push the technology well beyond the cutting edge of the art, potentially leading to transformative advances with broad-ranging applicability in HEP and beyond. Furthermore, the study should identify a small set of high-impact instrumentation “Grand Challenges” where technological breakthroughs could lead to game-changing experimental capabilities in pursuit of HEP science goals.

You should convene a working group to lead the study and work with them to select the core group of working group leaders to carry out the study. The study encompasses responses to the specific charge elements elucidated above and is expected to take several months to complete. A focal point of the study should include a workshop, with attendance beyond the core group, expected to be held in the summer of 2019 time frame in the Washington, DC area. The study participants are to serve by invitation only.

The HEP Detector R&D program aims to develop cutting-edge, novel instrumentation to enable scientific leadership in a worldwide experimental program that is broadening into new research areas with ever increasing demands in sensitivity, scale, and cost. To meet this challenge, HEP aims to execute a program appropriately balanced between incremental, near-term, low-risk detector R&D and transformative, long-term, high-risk detector R&D.

With the near-term technical challenges of current high-priority P5 projects subsiding, the HEP Detector R&D program aims to shift more emphasis towards building a long-term, high-risk high-reward (“Blue Sky”) R&D portfolio that holds the promise of transformative advances with broad-ranging applications across HEP as well as other fields of science, medicine, and national security. Crucially, the program must take full advantage of the major advances happening in

Science opportunities drive the next generation of experiments.

5 Science Panels



The Higgs as a tool for discovery

Conveners:

Jim Hirschauer (FNAL)

Gabriella Sciolla (Brandeis)




Cosmic acceleration: inflation and dark energy

Conveners:

Clarence Chang (ANL)

Brenna Flaugher (FNAL)




The physics of neutrino mass

Conveners:

Ornella Palamara (FNAL)

Kate Scholberg (Duke)




Exploring the unknown: new particles, new interactions and physical principles

Conveners:

Sarah Demers (Yale)

Monica Pepe-Altarelli (CERN)



The new physics of dark matter


Conveners:

Jodi Cooley (SMU)

Dan McKinsey (Berkeley)

An instrumentation revolution is critical to future discoveries


7 Technology Panels



Quantum Sensors

Conveners:


Andy Geraci (Northwestern)
Kent Irwin (Stanford)



Solid State (including vertexing and tracking)

Conveners:


Marina Artuso (Syracuse)
Carl Haber (LBNL)



Calorimetry

Conveners:


Francesco Lanni (BNL)
Roger Rusack (Minnesota)



Readout & ASICs

Conveners:


Gabriella Carini (BNL)
Mitch Newcomer (Penn)



Photodetectors

Conveners:


Lindley Winslow (MIT)
Peter Krizan (Jožef Stefan Institute)



Noble Liquids

Conveners:

Roxanne Guenette (Harvard)
Jocelyn Monroe (RHUL)



TDAQ (including Machine Learning)

Conveners:

Darin Acosta (Florida)
Tulika Bose (Wisconsin)

There is also a cross-cutting group.



Cross Cut

Conveners:

Marcel Demarteau (ORNL)
Abe Seiden (UCSC)

Study Process and timeline

- 8/2019: DOE charged co-Chairs. Conveners, panel members, additional members identified.

Co-Chairs

Bonnie Fleming, Yale
Ian Shipsey, Oxford

Cross-Cut Panel

Marcel Demarteau, ORNL
James Fast, JLab
Sunil Golwala, CalTech
Young-Kee Kim, Chicago
Abraham Seiden, UCSC

Physics Panels

Energy Frontier

James Hirschauer, Fermilab (Lead)
Gabriella Sciolla, Brandeis (Lead)
Michael Biegel, Brookhaven
Meenakshi Narain, Brown

Neutrinos

Ornella Palamara, Fermilab (Lead)
Kate Scholberg, Duke (Lead)
Daniel Dwyer, Berkeley Lab
Amy Connolly, OSU

Dark Matter

Jodi Cooley, SMU (Lead)
Dan McKinsey, Berkeley (Lead)
Andrew Sonnenschein, Fermilab
Reyco Henning, UNC

Cosmic Acceleration

Clarence Chang, Argonne (Lead)
Brenna Flaughner, Fermilab (Lead)
Kyle Dawson, Utah
Laura Newburgh, Yale

Explore the Unknown

Sarah Demers, Yale (Lead)
Monica Pepe-Altarelli, CERN, EONR (Lead)
Matthew Reece, Harvard
Nicola Serra, Universität Zürich

Panelists

Technology Panels

Calorimetry

Francesco Lanni, Brookhaven (Lead)
Roger Rusack, Minnesota (Lead)
Nural Akchurin, Texas Tech
Sarah Eno, UMD
Paolo Rumerio, Alabama
Ren-Yuan Zhu, CalTech

Noble Liquids

Roxanne Guenette, Harvard (Lead)
Jocelyn Monroe, U London (Lead)
Jennifer Raaf, Fermilab
Andrea Pocar, UMass
Jonathan Asaadi, UT, Arlington
Hugh Lippincott, UCSB

Photodetectors

Lindley Winslow, MIT (Lead)
Peter Krivzan, ULJ / JSI (Lead)
Graham Giovanetti, Williams College
Adriana Lita, NIST
Felix Sefkow, DESY

Quantum Sensors

Andrew Geraci, Northwestern (Lead)
Kent Irwin, Stanford (Lead)
Gretchen Campbell, JQI/UMD
Alexander Sushkov, BU
Ronald Walsworth, Harvard
Anna Grassellino, Fermilab

Readout & ASICs

Gabriella Carini, Brookhaven (Lead)
Mitch Newcomer, Penn (Lead)
Angelo Dragone, SLAC
Maurice Garcia-Sciveres, Berkeley Lab
Terri Shaw, Fermilab
Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Solid State & Tracking

Marina Artuso, Syracuse (Lead)
Carl Haber, Berkeley Lab (Lead)
Alessandro Tricoli, Brookhaven
Petra Merkel, Fermilab

TDAQ

Darin Acosta, Florida (Lead)
Tulika Bose, UW, Madison (Lead)
Wesley Ketchum, Fermilab
Jinlong Zhang, Argonne
Paul O'Connor, Brookhaven
Georgia Karagiorgi, Columbia

2 co-Chairs, 24 panel leads, 35 panel members, 5 cross cutters= 66

Balance is important

Co-Chairs

Bonnie Fleming, Yale
Ian Shipsey, Oxford

Cross-Cut Panel

Marcel Demarteau, ORNL
James Fast, JLab
Sunil Golwala, CalTech
Young-Kee Kim, Chicago
Abraham Seiden, UCSC

Physics Panels

Energy Frontier

James Hirschauer, Fermilab (Lead)
Gabriella Sciolla, Brandeis (Lead)
Michael Begel, Brookhaven
Meenakshi Narain, Brown

Neutrinos

Ornella Palamara, Fermilab (Lead)
Kate Scholberg, Duke (Lead)
Daniel Dwyer, Berkeley Lab
Amy Connolly, OSU

Dark Matter

Jodi Cooley, SMU (Lead)
Dan McKinsey, Berkeley (Lead)
Andrew Sonnenschein, Fermilab
Reyco Henning, UNC

Cosmic Acceleration

Clarence Chang, Argonne (Lead)
Brenna Flaughner, Fermilab (Lead)
Kyle Dawson, Utah
Laura Newburgh, Yale

Explore the Unknown

Sarah Demers, Yale (Lead)
Monica Pepe-Altarelli, CERN, EONR (Lead)
Matthew Reece, Harvard
Nicola Serra, Universität Zürich

Technology Panels

Calorimetry

Francesco Lanni, Brookhaven (Lead)
Roger Rusack, Minnesota (Lead)
Nural Akchurin, Texas Tech
Sarah Eno, UMD
Paolo Rumerio, Alabama
Ren-Yuan Zhu, CalTech

Noble Liquids

Roxanne Guenette, Harvard (Lead)
Jocelyn Monroe, U London (Lead)
Jennifer Raaf, Fermilab
Andrea Pocar, UMass
Jonathan Asaadi, UT, Arlington
Hugh Lippincott, UCSB

Photodetectors

Lindley Winslow, MIT (Lead)
Peter Krivzan, ULJ / JSI (Lead)
Graham Giovanetti, Williams College
Adriana Lita, NIST
Felix Sefkow, DESY

Quantum Sensors

Andrew Geraci, Northwestern (Lead)
Kent Irwin, Stanford (Lead)
Gretchen Campbell, JQI/UMD
Alexander Sushkov, BU
Ronald Walsworth, Harvard
Anna Grassellino, Fermilab

Readout & ASICs

Gabriella Carini, Brookhaven (Lead)
Mitch Newcomer, Penn (Lead)
Angelo Dragone, SLAC
Maurice Garcia-Sciveres, Berkeley Lab
Terri Shaw, Fermilab
Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Solid State & Tracking

Marina Artuso, Syracuse (Lead)
Carl Haber, Berkeley Lab (Lead)
Alessandro Tricoli, Brookhaven
Petra Merkel, Fermilab

TDAQ

Darin Acosta, Florida (Lead)
Tulika Bose, UW, Madison (Lead)
Wesley Ketchum, Fermilab
Jinlong Zhang, Argonne
Paul O'Connor, Brookhaven
Georgia Karagiorgi, Columbia

University (60%) National Labs (40%)

Balance is important

Gender (Female - Male)

Co-Chairs

Bonnie Fleming, Yale
Ian Shipsey, Oxford

Cross-Cut Panel

Marcel Demarteau, ORNL
James Fast, JLab
Sunil Golwala, CalTech
Young-Kee Kim, Chicago
Abraham Seiden, UCSC

Physics Panels

Energy Frontier

James Hirschauer, Fermilab (Lead)
Gabriella Sciolla, Brandeis (Lead)
Michael Beigel, Brookhaven
Meenakshi Narain, Brown

Neutrinos

Ornella Palamara, Fermilab (Lead)
Kate Scholberg, Duke (Lead)
Daniel Dwyer, Berkeley Lab
Amy Connolly, OSU

Dark Matter

Jodi Cooley, SMU (Lead)
Dan McKinsey, Berkeley (Lead)
Andrew Sonnenschein, Fermilab
Reyco Henning, UNC

Cosmic Acceleration

Clarence Chang, Argonne (Lead)
Brenna Flaugher, Fermilab (Lead)
Kyle Dawson, Utah
Laura Newburgh, Yale

Explore the Unknown

Sarah Demers, Yale (Lead)
Monica Pepe-Altarelli, CERN, EONR (Lead)
Matthew Reece, Harvard
Nicola Serra, Universität Zürich

Technology Panels

Calorimetry

Francesco Lanni, Brookhaven (Lead)
Roger Rusack, Minnesota (Lead)
Nural Akchurin, Texas Tech
Sarah Eno, UMD
Paolo Rumerio, Alabama
Ren-Yuan Zhu, CalTech

Noble Liquids

Roxanne Guenette, Harvard (Lead)
Jocelyn Monroe, U London (Lead)
Jennifer Raaf, Fermilab
Andrea Pocar, UMass
Jonathan Asaadi, UT, Arlington
Hugh Lippincott, UCSB

Photodetectors

Lindley Winslow, MIT (Lead)
Peter Krivzan, ULJ / JSI (Lead)
Graham Giovanetti, Williams College
Adriana Lita, NIST
Felix Sefkow, DESY

Quantum Sensors

Andrew Geraci, Northwestern (Lead)
Kent Irwin, Stanford (Lead)
Gretchen Campbell, JQI/UMD
Alexander Sushkov, BU
Ronald Walsworth, Harvard
Anna Grassellino, Fermilab

Readout & ASICs

Gabriella Carini, Brookhaven (Lead)
Mitch Newcomer, Penn (Lead)
Angelo Dragone, SLAC
Maurice Garcia-Sciveres, Berkeley Lab
Terri Shaw, Fermilab
Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Solid State & Tracking

Marina Artuso, Syracuse (Lead)
Carl Haber, Berkeley Lab (Lead)
Alessandro Tricoli, Brookhaven
Petra Merkel, Fermilab

TDAQ

Darin Acosta, Florida (Lead)
Tulika Bose, UW, Madison (Lead)
Wesley Ketchum, Fermilab
Jinlong Zhang, Argonne
Paul O'Connor, Brookhaven
Georgia Karagiorgi, Columbia

Female (44%) Male (56%)

Study Process and timeline

- Fall 2019: Regular telecons began to conduct the ground work leading up to the December BRN workshop

- Important input:

arXiv.org > physics > arXiv:1908.00194

Physics > Instrumentation and Detectors

New Technologies for Discovery

A report of the 2018 DPF Coordinating Panel for Advanced Detectors (CPAD) Community Workshop

Z. Ahmed,^a A. Apresyan,^b M. Artuso,^c P. Barry,^d E. Bielejec,^e F. Blazczyk,^{ah} T. Bose,^f
D. Braga,^b S.A. Charlebois,^{ai} A. Chatterjee,^j A. Chavarria,^g H.-M. Cho,^a S. Dalla
Torre,^h M. Demarteau,^{ar} D. Denisov,^v M. Diefenthaler,^{ag} A. Dragone,^a F. Fahim,^b
C. Gee,^{af} S. Habib,^d G. Haller,^a J. Hogan,ⁱ B.J.P. Jones,^j M. Garcia-Sciveres,^k
G. Giacomini,^v K. Gilmore,^{an,p} G.K. Giovanetti,^{al} D. Glenzinski,^b S. Gleyzer,^l
A.H. Goldan,^{ad} S. Gollapinni,^m C. Grace,^k R. Guenette,ⁿ O. Gutsche,^b U. Heintz,^o
S.A. Hertel,^{aj} N. R. Hutzler,^{ac} S. Kolkowitz,^f T. Kovachy,^{ak} F. Leonard,^{am} R. Lipton,^b
M. Liu,^b J.F. Low,^l P. Madigan,^{a,k} S. Malik,^{aa} J. Mates,^p Y. Mei,^k P. Merkel,^b
T. Mohayai,^b A. Nomerotski,^v E. Oliveri,^q K. Palladino,^f E. Pantic,^r A. Para,^b
K. Perez,^{aa} M. Pyle,^s P. Riedler,^q L. Ropelewski,^q R. Rusack,ⁱ M. Schleier-Smith,^z
I Shipsey,^{as} K. Scholberg,^u B. A. Schumm,^{af} A. Slosar,^v W. Smith,^f B. Surrow,^w
A. O. Sushkov,^{ah} A. Suzuki,^k M. Szydagis,^{ae} D. Temples,^{ak} J. Thom,^x M. Titov,^y
L. Tvrznikova,^{ao} E. Usai,^o R. Van Berg,^z V. Velan,^s D.W. Whittington,^c L. Winslow,^{aa}
T. Wongjirad,^{ab} Q. Xia^{ap} J. Xie,^d Z.F. You,^f A. Zani,^q J. Zhang,^d R.Y. Zhu,^{ac}

**co-chair CPAD and corresponding editor*

- BRN Interim report laid the foundations of the panel's work and informed interactions at CPAD

New Technologies for Discovery

A report of the 2018 DPF Coordinating Panel for Advanced Detectors (CPAD) Community Workshop



CPAD INSTRUMENTATION FRONTIER WORKSHOP 2019

University of Wisconsin-Madison



Goal: provide a community forum to communicate with the BRN panel, timed to be just before the BRN workshop

12 plenary speakers Day 1 were mostly BRN panel members. Townhalls provided further opportunities for dialog

Report of the 2018 CPAD workshop was a primary input to the 2019 DOE BRN study on HEP Detector R&D

	Monona Terrace Convention Center	08:00 - 09:00
09:00	Welcome	Kimberly PALLADINO
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	09:00 - 09:15
	The Higgs as a tool for discovery	Jim HIRSCHAUER
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	09:15 - 09:30
	Dark Matter	Jodi COOLEY
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	09:30 - 09:45
	DE and Inflation Instrumentation BRN working group	Dr. BRENNAN FLAUGHER
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	09:45 - 10:00
10:00	Exploring the Unknown	Sarah DEMERS
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	10:00 - 10:15
	Neutrinos and Neutrino Mass	Amy CONOLLY
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	10:15 - 10:30
	Photodetectors	Junqi XIE
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	11:40 - 11:52
	Quantum Sensors	Tim KOVACHY
12:00	Monona Terrace Convention Center	11:52 - 12:04
	Noble Liquid detectors	Dr. Hugh LIPPINCOTT
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	12:04 - 12:16
	Trigger and DAQ	Prof. Tulika BOSE
	Solid State and tracking	Carl HABER
	Meeting Rooms K-R, Monona Terrace Convention Center	13:30 - 13:45
	Calorimetry	Roger RUSACK
	Meeting Rooms K-R, Monona Terrace Convention Center	13:45 - 14:00
14:00	Readout and ASICs	Mitch NEWCOMER
	Meeting Rooms K-R, Monona Terrace Convention Center	14:00 - 14:15
	Plenary Townhall: BRN process	
	Monona Terrace Convention Center	14:15 - 15:00

HEP Basic Research Needs Workshop on Detector Research and Development

December 11 – 14, 2019

Hilton Washington DC/Rockville Hotel & Executive Meeting Center

1750 Rockville Pike

Rockville, MD 20852



- Attendees: Panel conveners and members, Agencies (DOE, NSF)
- Plenaries on the first day live streamed to community
- Parallel sessions and working groups to work through the substance and first draft of the report
- Post workshop: spring 2020 streamlining and refining
- July 2020 Presentation to HEPAP

08:30 → 09:10 **DOE Introduction**
Speaker: Helmut Marsiske (DOE)
DetectorBRN_11dec... DetectorBRN_11dec...

09:10 → 09:40 **Higgs and Energy Frontier** ¶
Speakers: Gabriella Sciolla (Brandeis University (US)), Jim Hirschauer (Fermi National Accelerator Lab. (US))
hirschauer_higgsAn...

09:40 → 10:10 **Neutrinos**
Speakers: Amy Connolly (The O...
BRN_neutrino_plena...

10:10 → 10:40 **Dark Matter**
Speakers: Daniel Mckinsey, Jo...
2019_1210_BRN_D...

10:40 → 11:00 **Break**




Roxanne Guenette, Harvard


The image shows a woman, Roxanne Guenette, standing in front of a large projection screen during a presentation. The screen displays a slide titled "Noble Elements" with a list of names: Rowena Curie, Joseph Moiré, Josephin-Rose, Hugh Lohmeyer, and Andrea Pocar. The woman is pointing at the screen with her right hand. The setting appears to be a conference room or lecture hall.

Physics to Technologies


PRDs (Priority Research Directions)



Physics to Technologies



Physics to Technologies



Four Grand Challenges encompass this Instrumentation revolution

- **Advancing HEP detectors to new regimes of sensitivity:** *To make the unmeasurable measurable will require the development of sensors with exquisite sensitivity with the ability to distinguish signal from noise.... Research will be needed to develop these sensors with maximal coupling to the quanta to be sensed and push their sensitivities to ultimate limits.*
- **Using Integration to enable scalability for HEP sensors:** *Future HEP detectors for certain classes of experiments will require massive increases in scalability to search for and study rare phenomena ... A key enabler of scalability is integration of many functions on, and extraction of multidimensional information from, these innovative sensors.*
- **Building next-generation HEP detectors with novel materials & advanced techniques:** *Future HEP detectors will have requirements beyond what is possible with the materials and techniques which we know. This requires identifying novel materials ... that provide new properties or capabilities and adapting them & exploiting advanced techniques for design & manufacturing.*
- **Mastering extreme environments and data rates in HEP experiments:** *Future HEP detectors will involve extreme environments and exponential increases in data rates to explore elusive phenomena. ... To do so requires the intimate integration of intelligent computing with sensor technology.*

Instrumentation Development Ecosystem

Key to the success of this tool driven revolution are **people, facilities and resources**, and **connections and collaborations**

1. **Advanced workforce**
2. Unique capabilities and facilities
3. Connections to other programs, other offices, other agencies, private foundations, commercial partners, global collaborations

A Commitment to Equality, Diversity and Inclusion in HEP Instrumentation R&D

Excellence and innovation come most effectively from diverse teams of people.



A Commitment to Equality, Diversity and Inclusion in HEP Instrumentation R&D

Excellence and innovation come most effectively from diverse teams of people.



To accomplish the best science, we must commit, as a community, to action, to overcome the social injustices in our own backyard, and realize the impact of a diverse workforce. We must find, develop, and invent new ways to attract, encourage, recruit, and support a diverse community. We must enact an inclusive environment within instrumentation and within particle physics at all levels and across the areas in which we work and that we touch including academia, in universities and national laboratories, and in industry.

Some small steps are being taken to draw young people from diverse backgrounds to instrumentation.

- Outreach programs at universities and national labs and to the public
- Undergraduate research opportunities (NSF Research Experience for Undergraduates)
- Graduate Instrumentation Research Awards (award winners and honorable mentions, 2018 GIRA)




Recipients of the DPF
Instrumentation Awards
2019. Left to Right
Hanguo Wang (UCLA),
Ettore Segreto, and Anna
Amelia Machado (both of
the University of
Campinas in Brazil)



Some small steps are being taken to draw young people from diverse backgrounds to instrumentation.

- Outreach programs at universities and national labs and to the public
- Undergraduate research opportunities (NSF Research Experience for Undergraduates)
- Graduate Instrumentation Research Awards (award winners and honorable mentions, 2018 GIRA)



The scale of these initiatives must be dramatically increased and new initiatives developed with urgency.

Recipients of Instrumentation Research Awards 2019. Left to Right Hanguo Wang (UCLA), Ettore Segreto, and Anna Amelia Machado (both of the University of Campinas in Brazil)





Workforce requirements

Many areas require expertise and cross-disciplinary work (electronics, CS, DAQ, Mechanical engineering, cryogenic systems, composites design and fabrication, microfab and assembly, analytic chemistry, materials science, ...)

To succeed in creating tools and new technologies, we need to succeed in excellence in the current and next generation of people

- diverse pipeline (in US, international)
- University/lab partnerships
- connections to other disciplines
- appropriate recognition

These experts, in turn, educate the next generation in advanced HEP instrumentation techniques and development transforming not only HEP but other fields too.

Instrumentation Development Ecosystem

Key to the success in this tool revolution are **people, facilities and resources,** and **connections and collaborations**

1. Advanced workforce
2. **Unique capabilities and facilities**
3. Connections to other programs, other offices, other agencies, private foundations, commercial partners, global collaborations

Maintain core facilities

- SiDET
- Noble Liquid Test Facility
- Micro Systems Lab
- FTBTF
- Test beams at SLAC



	Higgs and Energy Frontier	Neutrinos	Dark Matter	Cosmic Acceleration	Unknown
Irradiation, ionizing and non-ionizing	✓	✓			✓
Test Beams	✓	✓			✓
Test Stands at Ultra-low Temperature			✓	✓	✓
Calibration Facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Low Background Materials and Assay		✓	✓		✓
Ultra-light Composites	✓				✓
Novel CCD Development			✓	✓	
Superconducting Detector and Device Foundry			✓	✓	
Microelectronics Engineering and Foundry Access	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Simulation Framework	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 23: Capability needs for the five science drivers.

Develop new capabilities, collaborate where possible



Lithography

Etching

Film Deposition

CCD Wafer

Instrumentation Development Ecosystem

Key to the success in this tool revolution are **people, facilities and resources,** and **connections and collaborations**

1. Advanced workforce
2. Unique capabilities and facilities
3. Connections to other programs, other offices, other agencies, private foundations, commercial partners, global collaborations

R&D connections and collaborations

- Connections within OHEP, with the DOE Office of Science, between federal agencies, Universities & National Labs, with industry, & philanthropic foundations
- Need organizational structures to bring together technical areas
- Rotating leadership, National labs provide homes
- Models: CERN R&D collaborations,
- DOE NNSA R&D consortia



RD-53 Collaboration Home





NNSA/DNN University Consortia




Connections to other disciplines: Benefits to Society

The development of the manufacturing process of BGO crystals for the calorimeter of the L3 experiment at the LEP collider at CERN (left) has contributed significantly to the advancement of Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scanners



(photo credit: CERN and S.R. Cherry/U.C. Davis)



The development of large-area hybrid pixel detectors for high energy physics experiments led to the realization of the potential of this new technology to provide noise-hit-free single-photon counting impactful for development of sophisticated integrated circuits with timing. The circuit is being used in medical imaging, X-ray science, materials analysis, space dosimetry and climate studies among others

The instrumentation plan described in this report will lead to the development of new technologies that hold the promise to be as broadly applicable and equally transformative.

Higgs and the Energy Frontier:

Next generation energy frontier colliders & detectors are precision measurement machines & discovery machines. The transformative physics goals include 4 inspiring & distinct directions:


Higgs properties with sub-percent precision

Higgs self-coupling with 5% precision

Higgs connection to dark matter

New particles and phenomena at multi-TeV scale

Technical Requirements to enable the physics program for Higgs and the Energy Frontier and map to Priority Research Directions.




Science	Measurement	Technical Requirement	PRD
Higgs properties with sub-percent precision	TR 1.1: Tracking for e^+e^-	TR 1.1.1: p_T resolution: $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T = 0.2\%$ for tracks with $p_T < 100$ GeV, $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T^2 = 2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{GeV}$ for tracks with $p_T > 100$ GeV	18, 19, 20, 23
Higgs self-coupling with 5% precision		TR 1.1.2: Impact parameter resolution: $\sigma_{r_\phi} = 5 \oplus 15 (\rho [\text{GeV}] \sin^2 \theta)^{-1} \mu\text{m}$ TR 1.1.3: Granularity : $25 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$ pixels TR 1.1.4: $5 \mu\text{m}$ single hit resolution TR 1.1.5: Per track timing resolution of 10 ps	
Higgs connection to dark matter	TR 1.2: Tracking for 100 TeV pp	Generally same as e^+e^- (TR 1.1) except TR 1.2.1: Radiation tolerant to 300 MGy and $8 \times 10^{17} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ TR 1.2.2: $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T = 0.5\%$ for tracks with $p_T < 100$ GeV TR 1.2.3: Per track timing resolution of 5 ps rejection and particle identification	16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 26
New particles and phenomena at multi-TeV scale	TR 1.3: Calorimetry for e^+e^-	TR 1.3.1: Jet resolution: 4% particle flow jet energy resolution TR 1.3.2: High granularity: EM cells of $0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ cm}^2$, hadronic cells of $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ TR 1.3.3: EM resolution : $\sigma_E/E = 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$ TR 1.3.4: Per shower timing resolution of 10 ps	1, 3, 7, 10, 11, 23
	TR 1.4: Calorimetry for 100 TeV pp	Generally same as e^+e^- (TR 1.3) except TR 1.4.1: Radiation tolerant to 4 (5000) MGy and 3×10^{16} (5×10^{18}) $\text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ in endcap (forward) electromagnetic calorimeter TR 1.4.2: Per shower timing resolution of 5 ps	1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 23, 26
	TR 1.5: Trigger and readout	TR 1.5.1: Logic and transmitters with radiation tolerance to 300 MGy and $8 \times 10^{17} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ TR 1.5.2: Trigger and readout	16, 17, 21, 26

Jim Hirschauer Gabriella Sciolla (leads)


Michael Begel Meenakshi Narain

Timeline: Higgs → Technologies to Discovery



Neutrinos

- Push the three-flavor paradigm into the regime of high-precision measurements of all parameters.
- Explore unknown territory in neutrino energy range, types of neutrino sources, and faint source intensities.
- Hunt for evidence of new particles and phenomena in the neutrino sector, and in other sectors using neutrino detectors.



Science	Measurement	Technical Requirement	PRD
Neutrino mixing matrix unitarity	Measure tau neutrino appearance with high efficiency/purity	TR 2.1: Resolve short tracks (0.1 mm at 10 GeV) in 10 kton detectors	4,6,10, 11,16,21,22, 23,25,26
Measure neutrinos at macroscopic energies from cosmic distances for BSM searches	Sensitivity to neutrino fluxes 1/km ² /decade at low energy threshold	TR 2.2: Low power ($\ll 1$ W) digitizers sampling at >3 GHz, triggering at $\mathcal{O}(1)$ S/N	16,17
Resolve solar/reactor Δm_{12}^2 tension	Measure solar ^8B , hep and neutrino regeneration in the Earth with S/B >1 above a few MeV	TR 2.3: Radiogenic background reduction by a factor of 100-1000 in argon TR 2.4: <1 cm spatial, TR 2.5: $<10\%$ energy resolution at kton scale	4,6,21,22, 23,24,25,26
Measure all flavor components of a supernova burst in real time	Flavor tagging with $>90\%$ efficiency, 5-50 MeV; measure CEvNS glow/buzz in large LAr or scintillator	TR 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 TR 2.6: Photodetector efficiency improvement by factor of 10 TR 2.7: Photosensor dark noise reduction by factor of 100	4,6,7,9,10, 11,16,21,22 23,24,25,26
BSM physics with sub-MeV (or sub-keV) neutrinos (geoneutrinos, pp neutrinos, solar thermal neutrinos, artificial radioactive sources)	Sensitivity to very low energy nuclear or electronic recoils in real time	TR 2.8: 10 eV nuclear recoil threshold at multi-ton to kton scale TR 2.9 Few degree recoil directionality	5,6,7,9,11, 12,14,24,25,26
Cosmic relic neutrino background, test of cosmological models	Measure cosmic relic neutrino capture on nuclei	TR 2.10: 10 meV energy resolution at beta endpoint, $\mathcal{O}(1$ kg) source with TR 2.11: <10 meV energy loss distortion at endpoint	12,14,26

Table 3: Technical Requirements to enable example neutrino physics topics and map to Priority Research Directions.

Ornella Palamara Kate Scholberg (leads)
Amy Connolly Dan Dwyer

Timeline: Neutrinos to technologies




Figure II: Timeline of neutrino experiments.

Dark Matter

- Search for WIMP dark matter towards the neutrino floor
- Searching for particle dark matter with low masses
- Searching for wave-like dark matter
- Searching for the annihilation or decay products of dark matter interactions




Figure 1: Galaxy M33 illustrating how a gravitation force, in addition to that from the visible stars, is needed to explain the speed of stars at the outer edge of this galaxy. Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported. Asher Yahalom, 2019. The Effect of Retardation on Galactic Rotation Curves. Journal of Physics: Conference Series.


Jodi Cooley Dan McKinsey (leads)
Reyco Henning Andrew Sonnenshein

Science	Measurement	Technical Requirement	PRDs
Test for dark matter particles with mass $>1 \text{ GeV}$	Search for nuclear recoils arising from scattering of $>1 \text{ GeV}$ dark matter with normal matter via spin-independent and spin-dependent couplings to nucleons	Mass 1 - 10 GeV TR 3.1(SI), TR 3.7(SD): Background rate $<$ coherent scattering rate of solar neutrinos TR 3.2(SI), TR 3.8(SD): Target mass $\sim 100 \text{ kg}$ TR 3.3(SI), TR 3.9(SD): Energy Threshold: $\sim 100 \text{ eV}$ Mass $> 10 \text{ GeV}$ TR 3.4(SI), TR 3.10(SD): Background rate $<$ coherent scattering rate of atmospheric neutrinos TR 3.5(SI), TR 3.11(SD): Target mass $\sim 100 \text{ tonnes}$ TR 3.6(SI), TR 3.12(SD): Energy Threshold: $\sim 10 \text{ keV}$	5, 6, 24, 25 6, 7, 9, 11, 25, 26

Science	Measurement	Technical Requirement	PRDs
Test for $\text{peV} - \text{neV}$ QCD axion dark matter	Search for $\text{peV} - \text{neV}$ QCD axion dark matter via axion-nucleon coupling with nuclear magnetic resonance	Near Term: TR 3.21 $P \geq 0.05$ TR 3.23 $N\tau = 10^{24} \text{ sec.}$ Long Term: TR 3.22 $P \geq 0.3$ TR 3.24 $N\tau = 10^{25} \text{ sec.}$	12, 13, 15 12, 13, 15
Test for $\text{neV} - \mu\text{eV}$ QCD axion dark matter	Search for $\text{neV} - \mu\text{eV}$ QCD axion dark matter using axion-photon conversion in lumped-element electromagnetic resonators	Near Term: TR 3.25 $Q_L \geq 10^6 \text{ GeV}$ TR 3.27 $\eta \leq 20$ TR 3.29 $BV \geq 4 \text{ T} \cdot \text{m}^3$ Long Term: TR 3.26 $Q_L \geq 10^8$ TR 3.28 $\eta \leq 0.1$ TR 3.30 $BV \geq 10 \text{ T} \cdot \text{m}^3$	12, 15 12, 15
Test for $\mu\text{eV} - \text{meV}$ QCD axion dark matter	Search for $\mu\text{eV} - \text{meV}$ QCD axion dark matter using axion-photon conversion in cavity electromagnetic resonators	Near Term TR 3.31 $Q_C \geq 10^5$ TR 3.33 $\eta \leq 1$ TR 3.35 $B \geq 10 \text{ T}, V \geq 100 \text{ l}$ Long Term: TR 3.32 $Q_C \geq 10^6$ TR 3.34 $\eta \leq 10^{-6}$ TR 3.36 $B \geq 30 \text{ T}, V \geq 1 \text{ l}$	12, 15 12, 15

Cosmic Acceleration: Dark Energy & Inflation

- Drive cosmological measurements to new spatial and temporal scales
- Explore the properties of inflation, dark energy, and dark matter
- Study neutrino physics in a context complementing terrestrial techniques
- Test our concordance cosmological model in new regimes



Science Goal	Measurement	Technical Requirement	PRD
Fully sample the epoch of late-time cosmic acceleration	500M Galaxy spectra ($R \sim 3000$) to $z < 4$	For Optical/IR spectroscopy TR 4.1: Sensitivity at wavelengths beyond the 1eV Silicon cutoff. TR 4.2: Ten-fold increase in multiplexing relative to current experiments	7, 11, 26
Distinguish between single vs. multi-field inflation by measuring f_{NL} down to 1	Multiple Intensity mapping surveys to measure flux from 2.9B galaxies to $z < 6$	For 21-cm Intensity Mapping: TR 4.3: Pico-second timing synchronization across \sim km TR 4.4: Direct digitization and real-time calibration	21, 22, 23, 26
		For mm-wave Intensity Mapping: TR 4.5: On-chip mm spectrometers with $R > 200$ TR 4.6: Fabrication and readout of 1M detectors	7, 8, 26






Figure 1. Galaxy M33 illustrating how a gravitation force, in addition to that from the visible stars, is needed to explain the speed of stars at the outer edge of this galaxy. Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported, Asher Yahalom, 2015. The Effect of Retardation on Galactic Rotation Curves. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 1239: 012006. 10.1088/1742-6596/1239/1/012006.



Clarence Chang Brenna Flaugher (leads)
Kyle Dawson Laura Newburgh

Explore the Unknown

- Precision measurements in heavy flavor decays
- Searching for charged lepton flavor violation in rare decays of muons and kaons
- Tests of CP violation through electric dipole moment searches
- Probes of the dark sector and hunts for new fundamental forces



Science	Timescale	Technical Requirement	PRD
Search for new physics through rare flavor interactions	medium term	TR 5.1: Timing resolution at the level of 10 – 30 ps per hit in the silicon-pixel vertex detectors and 10 – 30 ps per track for both PID detectors (RICH, TORCH) and electromagnetic calorimeters	2, 10, 18
	medium term	TR 5.2: Development of radiation-hard, fast and cost-effective photosensors for TORCH and RICH detectors and tracking systems with optical readout	9, 11
	medium term	TR 5.3: Development of the next generation ASICs to extract the large data rate (and possibly pre-process it) out of inner pixel layer detectors in a very challenging radiation environment	16, 17
Tests of the CKM quark mixing matrix description	medium term	TR 5.4: Radiation-hard silicon pixel detectors (fluences of $5 \times 10^{16} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$)	18, 20
	medium term	TR 5.5: Cost-effective electromagnetic calorimeter with granularity of typically $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$, resolution of $\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} \sim \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1\%$ and timing resolution of a few tens of ps; total radiation dose of $\sim 200 \text{ Mrad}$	1
Studies of Lepton Flavor Universality	medium term	TR 5.6: Real-time processing of large amount of data (400-500 Tb/sec) and development of radiation-hard, high-rate optical links, with tight constraints of low-power consumption and low mass	16, 17, 21, 22
	long term	TR 5.7: Fast-timing resolution at the level of 1 ps per track for $\pi/K/p$ separation up to 50 GeV	3, 10
	long term	TR 5.8: Further ASICs development to extract and pre-process on detector the large data rate of inner layers detectors in an extreme radiation environment	16, 17
	long term	TR 5.9: Radiation-hard, ultra-fast silicon pixel detectors (fluences of $10^{18} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$)	18, 19, 20
	long term	TR 5.10: Very high granularity calorimeters preserving an energy resolution of $\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} \sim \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E}}$	1, 2, 7, 9
			TR 5.11: Real-time processing of large amount of data

Sarah Demers Monica Pepe-Altarelli (leads)
 Matthew Reece Nicola Serra

Technology Panels




Priority Research
Directions



Thrusts delineated



Actionable
Research plans




Priority Research Direction	Technical Requirements
PRD 1: Enhance calorimetry energy resolution for precision electroweak mass and missing-energy measurements	TR 1.3, TR 1.4, TR 5.1, TR 5.5, TR 5.10
PRD 2: Advance calorimetry with spatial and timing resolution and radiation hardness to master high-rate environments	TR 1.4, TR 5.7
PRD 3: Develop ultrafast media to improve background rejection in calorimeters and improve particle identification	TR 1.3, TR 1.4, TR 5.7

Connections outside of HEP:

- The detection of photons, electrons, and hadrons beyond HEP. Eg: experiments at EIC
- Development of organic scintillators for medicine and national security

Facilities and Capabilities (existing and needed)

- Detailed, reliable simulation studies (GEANT4)
- Irradiation facilities to qualify materials, test beams
- Characterizing precision timing systems.
- Studies of data rate, rad tolerance, improved or alternate power delivery systems.
- Expertise: Research scientists at universities





Priority Research Direction	Technical Requirements
PRD 4: Enhance and combine existing modalities to increase signal-to-noise and reconstruction fidelity PRD 5: Develop new modalities for signal detection	TR 1.3.3, 2.1, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, 2.9, 3.3, 3.6, 3.9, 3.12, 3.13, 3.15, 3.17, 3.19
PRD 6: Improve the understanding of detector microphysics and characterization to increase signal-to-noise and reconstruction fidelity	TR 2.8, 2.9, 3.3, 3.6, 3.9, 3.12, 3.13, 3.15, 3.17, 3.19
PRD 25: Advance material purification and assay methods to increase sensitivity	TR 2.3, 3.1, 3.4, 3.7, 3.10
PRD 26: Addressing challenges in scaling technologies	TR 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.7, 2.9, 3.2, 3.5, 3.8, 3.11, 3.14, 3.16, 3.18, 3.20, 3.45a, 3.45b

Connections outside of HEP:

- Double beta decay experiments (NP)
- Impact on Astrophysics (eg: SN and solar nus)
- Dedicated R&D for medical imaging

Facilities and Capabilities (existing and needed)


- Low background screening
- Cryogenic platforms (materials, optical properti HV....)
- Test beams
- Engineering expertise



Roxanne Guenette Jocelyn Monroe (Leads)
Jennifer Raaf Andrea Pocar Jonathan Asaadi Hugh Lippincott

Photodetectors

Priority Research Direction	Technical Requirements
PRD 7: Extend wavelength range and develop new single-photon counters to enhance photodetector sensitivity	TR 1.3, TR 1.4, TR 2.8, TR 2.9, TR 3.6, TR 4.1, TR 4.1, TR 4.2
PRD 8: Advance high-density spectroscopy and polarimetry to extract all photon properties	
PRD 9: Adapt photodetectors for extreme environments	TR 1.4, TR 2.3, TR 2.9, TR 2.10, TR 5.10, TR 5.11
PRD 10: Design new devices and architectures to enable picosecond timing and event separation	TR 1.3, TR 1.4, TR 2.7, TR 4.3, TR 4.4, TR 4.5, TR 4.6, TR 4.7, TR 4.8, TR 4.9, TR 4.10, TR 4.11, TR 4.12, TR 4.13, TR 4.14, TR 4.15, TR 4.16, TR 4.17, TR 4.18, TR 4.19, TR 4.20, TR 4.21, TR 4.22, TR 4.23, TR 4.24, TR 4.25, TR 4.26, TR 4.27, TR 4.28, TR 4.29, TR 4.30, TR 4.31, TR 4.32, TR 4.33, TR 4.34, TR 4.35, TR 4.36, TR 4.37, TR 4.38, TR 4.39, TR 4.40, TR 4.41, TR 4.42, TR 4.43, TR 4.44, TR 4.45, TR 4.46, TR 4.47, TR 4.48, TR 4.49, TR 4.50, TR 4.51, TR 4.52, TR 4.53, TR 4.54, TR 4.55, TR 4.56, TR 4.57, TR 4.58, TR 4.59, TR 4.60, TR 4.61, TR 4.62, TR 4.63, TR 4.64, TR 4.65, TR 4.66, TR 4.67, TR 4.68, TR 4.69, TR 4.70, TR 4.71, TR 4.72, TR 4.73, TR 4.74, TR 4.75, TR 4.76, TR 4.77, TR 4.78, TR 4.79, TR 4.80, TR 4.81, TR 4.82, TR 4.83, TR 4.84, TR 4.85, TR 4.86, TR 4.87, TR 4.88, TR 4.89, TR 4.90, TR 4.91, TR 4.92, TR 4.93, TR 4.94, TR 4.95, TR 4.96, TR 4.97, TR 4.98, TR 4.99, TR 4.100
PRD 11: Develop new optical coupling paradigms for enhanced or dynamic light collection	TR 1.3, TR 1.4, TR 2.7, TR 2.8, TR 3.5, TR 3.6, TR 3.7, TR 3.8, TR 3.9, TR 3.10, TR 3.11, TR 3.12, TR 3.13, TR 3.14, TR 3.15, TR 3.16, TR 3.17, TR 3.18, TR 3.19, TR 3.20, TR 3.21, TR 3.22, TR 3.23, TR 3.24, TR 3.25, TR 3.26, TR 3.27, TR 3.28, TR 3.29, TR 3.30, TR 3.31, TR 3.32, TR 3.33, TR 3.34, TR 3.35, TR 3.36, TR 3.37, TR 3.38, TR 3.39, TR 3.40, TR 3.41, TR 3.42, TR 3.43, TR 3.44, TR 3.45, TR 3.46, TR 3.47, TR 3.48, TR 3.49, TR 3.50, TR 3.51, TR 3.52, TR 3.53, TR 3.54, TR 3.55, TR 3.56, TR 3.57, TR 3.58, TR 3.59, TR 3.60, TR 3.61, TR 3.62, TR 3.63, TR 3.64, TR 3.65, TR 3.66, TR 3.67, TR 3.68, TR 3.69, TR 3.70, TR 3.71, TR 3.72, TR 3.73, TR 3.74, TR 3.75, TR 3.76, TR 3.77, TR 3.78, TR 3.79, TR 3.80, TR 3.81, TR 3.82, TR 3.83, TR 3.84, TR 3.85, TR 3.86, TR 3.87, TR 3.88, TR 3.89, TR 3.90, TR 3.91, TR 3.92, TR 3.93, TR 3.94, TR 3.95, TR 3.96, TR 3.97, TR 3.98, TR 3.99, TR 3.100



Connections outside of HEP


- physics experiments and detectors at the light sources and in Astronomy
- Time-Of-Flight (TOF) PET medical imaging, biology, quantum computers, national security

Facilities and Capabilities

- close connections to industry for fabrication of devices and the procurement of materials.
- new infrastructure through upgrades at existing DOE facilities or partnerships with other federal facilities and industry. (eg: Ge CCD R&D, development of readout and ASICs)

Quantum Sensors

Priority Research Direction	Technical Requirements
PRD 12: Advance quantum devices to meet and surpass the Standard Quantum Limit	TR
PRD 13: Enable the use of quantum ensembles and sensor networks for fundamental physics	TR
PRD 14: Advance the state of the art in low-threshold quantum calorimeters	TR
PRD 15: Advance enabling technologies for quantum sensing	TR
	TR
	TR
	TR
	TR




Connections outside of HEP:

- quantum information science, quantum computing, materials science, and biology

Facilities and Capabilities (existing and needed)

- Large volume high field magnets in solenoidal and toroidal geometries
- Faster turnaround, cheaper, larger mK dilution refrigerators



Andrew Geraci Kent Irwin (Leads) Gretchen Campbell
 Alexander Sushkov Ronald Walsworth Anna Grassellino

Solid State and Tracking

PRD

PRD 18: Develop high spatial resolution pixel detectors with high per-pixel time resolution to resolve individual interactions in high-collision-density environments


PRD 19: Adapt new materials and fabrication/integration techniques for particle tracking

PRD 20: Realize scalable, irreducible-mass trackers

Thrust

Thrust 1: Lepton colliders, re-

Technical Requirements



Industrial partnerships

Thrust 2: Development of re-usable electronics matched to new sensor characteristics, including new processing such as 3D integration

Thrust 1: Highly integrated monolithic, active sensors

Thrust 2: Scaling of low-mass detector system

Thrust 3: Systems for specialized applications: space-based tracking detectors and dedicated



Connections outside of HEP:

- Nuclear (eg: EIC), astroparticle, medical, materials, homeland security science and engineering.

Facilities and Capabilities


(existing and needed)

- specialized infrastructure: test beam and **irradiation facilities**, silicon processing labs, electronic packaging and assembly, metrology, and composites fabrication facilities
- engineering expertise in ASIC design and test, simulation, verification, and low power systems, and mechanical design and composite fabrication.

Marina Artuso Carl Haber (Leads)
Alessandro Tricoli Petra Merkel

Trigger and DAQ

Priority Research Directions	Thrusts	Technical Requirements
PRD 21: Achieve on-detector real-time, continuous data processing and transmission to reach the exascale	High-bandwidth, real-time, low-latency data links Real-time processing Online data analysis Fast and efficient computing Advanced computing architectures	
PRD 22: Develop technologies for autonomous detector systems	Autonomous operation Self-calibration	
PRD 23: Develop timing distribution with picosecond synchronization	Develop timing distribution with picosecond synchronization	



Connections outside of HEP:

- DOE Nuclear Physics and DOE Basic Energy Sciences.
- Machine-learning and implementation overlap with technology industry: Aeronautics, smart power grids, autonomous vehicles...

Facilities and Capabilities (existing and needed)

- partnerships between U.S. national laboratories and universities for tool, ASIC, and TDAQ development
- irradiation facilities, integration test facilities

Darin Acosta Tulika Bose (Leads)

Wesley Ketchum Jinlong Zhang Paul O'Connor Georgia Karagiorgi

Grand Challenges

1. Advancing HEP detectors to new regimes of sensitivity

2. Using integration to enable scalability for HEP sensors

Calorimetry	PRD 1: Enhance calorimetry energy resolution for precision electroweak mass and missing-energy measurements
	PRD 2: Advance calorimetry with spatial and timing resolution and radiation hardness to master high-rate environments
	PRD 3: Develop ultrafast media to improve background rejection in calorimeters and particle identification detectors
Nobles	PRD 4: Enhance and combine existing modalities to increase signal-to-noise and reconstruction fidelity
	PRD 5: Develop new modalities for signal detection
Photodetectors	PRD 6: Improve the understanding of detector microphysics and characterization
	PRD 7: Extend wavelength range and develop new single-photon counters to enhance photodetector sensitivity
	PRD 8: Advance high-density spectroscopy and polarimetry to extract all photon properties
	PRD 9: Adapt photosensors for extreme environments
	PRD 10: Design new devices and architectures to enable picosecond timing and event separation
Quantum	PRD 11: Develop new optical coupling paradigms for enhanced or dynamic light collection
	PRD 12: Advance quantum devices to meet and surpass the Standard Quantum Limit
	PRD 13: Enable the use of quantum ensembles and sensor networks for fundamental physics
	PRD 14: Advance the state of the art in low-threshold quantum calorimeters
ASIC	PRD 15: Advance enabling technologies for quantum sensing
	PRD 16: Develop process evaluation and modeling for ASICs in extreme environments
SolidState	PRD 17: Create building blocks for Systems-on-Chip for extreme environments
	PRD 18: Develop high spatial resolution pixel detectors with precise high per-pixel time resolution to resolve individual interactions in high-collision-density environments
	PRD 19: Adapt new materials and fabrication/integration techniques for particle tracking
TDAQ	PRD 20: Realize scalable, irreducible-mass trackers
	PRD 21: Achieve on-detector, real-time, continuous data processing and transmission to reach the exascale
	PRD 22: Develop technologies for autonomous detector systems
Xcut	PRD 23: Develop timing distribution with picosecond synchronization
	PRD 24: Manipulate detector media to enhance physics reach
	PRD 25: Advance material purification and assay methods to increase sensitivity
	PRD 26: Addressing challenges in scaling technologies


3. Building next-generation HEP detectors with novel materials and advanced techniques

4. Mastering extreme environments and data rates in HEP experiments

What to do with this report and why now #1

During the course of this BRN study the Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society announced the U.S. Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise Snowmass 2021. This will be followed by a new meeting of the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5).

We encourage the particle physics community to build on the research plans presented in this BRN study by developing and refining them further and introduce and develop new instrumentation ideas during Snowmass 2021.



Welcome to Snowmass 2021

The Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise (a.k.a. "Snowmass")

What to do with this report and why now #2

The ESU states: *The community should define a global detector R&D roadmap that should be used to support proposals at the European and national levels.*"

We support the stance the ESU articulates towards instrumentation.

We encourage the U.S. particle physics community through the Snowmass process to play a role in the ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap which is set in a global context.

After Snowmass CPAD should continue to play a role in developing this international roadmap and can be the vehicle for the realization of the program outlined in this report.



Impact of BRNs & You

Following the recent microelectronics BRN multiple SC program offices are considering a joint FOA focused on multi-disciplinary co-design approaches to basic research that could enable transformative innovation in microelectronic technologies for sensing, communication, and computing.

Following the recent HEP Instrumentation BRN HEP is considering an Instrumentation Traineeship FOA to support graduate student training in the areas of sensors, front-end electronics and data acquisition, and systems design and engineering; the FOA would be modeled closely after the Accelerator Traineeship FOA.

The report has already been influential inside the Office of Science. To further increase the impact of the report we are preparing a BRN brochure, will organize a community letter writing campaign (with APS), and work with the FNAL-UEC to carry the report message to Washington.

Stay tuned we need you!

Acknowledgments

Many contributed at various stages of the Basics Research Needs study that led to this report. We are grateful to those who played roles beyond the report authors. We acknowledge with gratitude:

The [142 other members of the particle physics community](#) who contributed their time and ideas to the BRN study in the months leading up to the workshop. (See back-up for individual names.)

The Report's "readers" gave us critical feedback and provided fact checking during the final stages of preparation. [Dan Akerib](#) (SLAC National Laboratory), [Myron Campbell](#) (University of Michigan), [Andy Lankford](#) (University of California Irvine), [Ritchie Patterson](#) (Cornell University), [Steve Ritz](#) (University of California Santa Cruz) and [Heidi Schellman](#) (University of Oregon).

Our report benefited enormously from professional editing assistance by [Tiffani Conner](#), (Oak Ridge Associated Universities). DOE staff and contractors were always responsive to logistical requests. We especially thank [Donna Nevels](#) and [Christie Ashton](#) who provided outstandingly professional support at the workshop and contributed importantly to the immensely positive and constructive atmosphere that was highly conducive to productivity.

A photograph of three young people (two boys and one girl) looking upwards with interest. They are positioned in front of a large, intricate structure that appears to be a particle detector, possibly the ATLAS detector at CERN. The structure is composed of many rectangular panels, some of which are illuminated with blue light. The background shows a complex network of pipes and structural elements. The overall scene is dimly lit, with the primary light source being the blue glow from the detector panels.

"The greater danger for most of us lies not in setting our aim too high and falling short; but in setting our aim too low, and achieving our mark" *(Michelangelo)*

Aim high or we will not realize the potential of our field, discovery will be stalled and we betray ourselves and the next generation.

Additional Contributors

In addition to the members of the BRN panel many other members of the particle physics community contributed their time and ideas to the BRN study in the months leading up to the workshop. We acknowledge with gratitude:

Energy Frontier: Artur Apresyan (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), James Brau (University of Oregon), Martin Breidenbach (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory), Sarah Eno (University of Maryland), Zhen Liu (University of Maryland), Alessandro Tricoli (Brookhaven National Laboratory);

Neutrinos: Phil Barbeau (Duke University), Flavio Cavanna (Fermilab), André de Gouvêa (Northwestern University), Roni Harnik (Fermilab), Bryce Littlejohn (Illinois Institute of Technology), Georgia Karagiorgi (Columbia University), Josh Klein (University of Pennsylvania), Pedro Machado (Fermilab), Stephen Magill (Argonne National Laboratory), Pedro Ochoa-Ricoux (University of California, Irvine). Gabriel Orebi Gann (University of California, Berkeley, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), Roberto Petti (University of South Carolina), Grayson Rich (University of Chicago), Andres Romero-Wolf (Jet Propulsion Laboratory,

Caltech), Federico Sanchez (University of Geneva), Peter Shanahan (Fermilab), Nick Solomey (Wichita State University), Gensheng Wang (Argonne National Laboratory), Elizabeth Worcester (Brookhaven National Laboratory), Katsuya Yonehara (Fermilab)

Dark Matter: A. Albert (Los Alamos National Laboratory), J. Buckley (University of Washington), G.P. Carosi (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), A. Chou (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), P. Chu (Los Alamos National Laboratory), E. Dahl (Northwestern University), B. Dingus (Los Alamos National Laboratory), J. Estrada (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), C. Galbiati (Princeton University), D. Grant (Michigan State University), C. Hall (University of Maryland), N. Kurinsky (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), K. Lesko (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), J. Orrell (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory), M. Pyle (University of California, Berkeley), J. Tieffenberg (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), C. Tunnell (Rice University), T.T. Yu (University of Oregon);

Cosmic Acceleration: Elisabeth Krause (University of Arizona), Erik Shirokoff (University of Chicago), Anze Slosar (Brookhaven National Lab)

Explore the Unknown: John M. Doyle (Harvard University), Nicholas R. Hutzler (California Institute of Technology and Harvard University), Takeyasu M. Ito (Los Alamos National Laboratory), Andrew Jayich (University of California Santa Barbara), Edward J. Stephenson (Indiana University), Paula Collins (CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research), Francesco Forti (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Pisa and Università di Pisa), Andrey Golutvin (Imperial College London and National University of Science and Technology “MISIS”), Mike Williams (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Augusto Ceccucci (European Organization for Nuclear Research), Pavel A. Murat (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), Gianantonio Pezzullo (Yale University)

Additional Contributors

In addition to the members of the BRN panel many other members of the particle physics community contributed their time and ideas to the BRN study in the months leading up to the workshop. We acknowledge with gratitude:

Calorimetry: M. Aleska (CERN, The European Organization for Nuclear Research), A. Apresyan (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), F. Bedeschi (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa), J. Brau (The University of Oregon), M. Breidenbach (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory), S. Derenzo (University of California, Berkeley), V. Fadeyev (University of California, Santa Cruz), R. Ferari (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia and Università di Pavia), G. Gaudio (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna), D. Hitlin (Caltech), P. Lecoq (CERN, The European Organization for Nuclear Research), H. Ma (Brookhaven National Laboratory), M. Mannelli (CERN, The European Organization for Nuclear Research), C. Melcher (The University of Tennessee, Knoxville), A. Para (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), L. Pezotti (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia and Università di Pavia), A. Tricoli (Brookhaven National Laboratory), C. Woody (Brookhaven National Laboratory).

Noble Liquids: R. Acciarri (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), N. Bostan (University of Iowa), Flavio Cavanna (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), Serge Charlebois (University of Sherbrooke), Eric Dahl (Northwestern University), C. O. Escobar (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), A. Fava (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), C. Galbiati (Princeton University), C. Hall (University of Maryland), B.J.P. Jones (University of Texas at Arlington), S. Kravitz (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), R. Lang (Purdue University), K. Lesko (Lawrence Berkeley National Lab), B. Littlejohn (Illinois Institute of Technology), D. McKinsey (University of California, Berkeley / Lawrence Berkeley National Lab), C. S. Montanari (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), H. Nelson (University California, Santa Barbara), K. Ni (University of California, San Diego), X. Qian (Brookhaven National Laboratory), P. C. Rowson (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory), M. Szydagis (University at Albany – State University of New York), C. Tunnell (Rice University), G. Visser (Indiana University), C. Zhang (Brookhaven National Laboratory)

Photodetectors: S. Cho (Stanford University), A. Elagin (University of Chicago), R. Hirosky (University of Virginia), D. Hitlin (Caltech), S. Korpar (University of Maribor and JSI, Ljubljana), Sae Woo Nam (NIST), C. Rockosi (University of California, Santa Cruz), J. Xie (Argonne National Lab)

Quantum Sensors: Derek Jackson-Kimball (California State University - East Bay), Cindy Regal (JILA, University of Colorado - Boulder), Kater Murch (Washington University - Saint Louis), Swati Singh (University of Delaware), David DeMille (Yale University), Yoni Kahn (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign), Tim Kovachy (Northwestern University), Nick Hutlzer (Caltech), Hartmut Haffner (University of California-Berkeley).

Additional Contributors

In addition to the members of the BRN panel many other members of the particle physics community contributed their time and ideas to the BRN study in the months leading up to the workshop. We acknowledge with gratitude:

Readout & ASICs: T. Affolder (University of California, Santa Cruz), G. Deptuch (Brookhaven National Lab), G. Drake (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), C. Grace (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), W. Hansford (IMEC USA), J. Cressler (Georgia Institute of Technology), P. Merkel (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), P. O’connor (Brookhaven National Laboratory), A. Suzuki (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory)

Solid State: R. Brenner (University of Uppsala), V.Fadeyev (University of California, Santa Cruz), T.Heim (Lawrence Berkeley National Lab), S.C. Hsu (University of Washington), K. Krizka (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), J. Metcalfe (Argonne National Laboratory), S. Seidel (University of New Mexico), D.Stuart (University of California, Santa Barbara), C. da Via (University of Manchester, SBU)

TDAQ: K. Chen (Brookhaven National Laboratory), K. Ecklund (Rice University), J. Eisch (Iowa State University), P. Harris (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), M. Liu (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), I. Ojalvo (Princeton University), A. Slosar (Brookhaven National Laboratory), N. Tran (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory), M. Wetstein (Iowa State University), M. Williams (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), P. Wittich (Cornell University).