Mostly About Geometry

Tom LeCompte, Steve Kuhlmann Argonne National Laboratory











Steel and Panel Dimensions



LBNF/DUNE

What Changed?

- A mistake was corrected in the panel rotation
 - Root cause: parts of the calculation done in different times and places
 - Proximate case: not sure however it is numerically equal to rotating the panels about a corner instead of the center
 - Who made the mistake? I don't know it may even be two people each making a halfmistake. But I am the person responsible for it.
- Magnet coil dimensions were changed from 175x175mm to 200mm x 200mm
 - This was triggered by the selection of 40mm bus bars 160x160 is too small
- Steel dimensions are rounded up to the nearest mm
 - Note that in real life we are unlikely to get metric steel



Magnetic Implications

- The maximum field in the relevant region is $\frac{h_{top}}{w}B_{top}$
- The pre-factor has improved by about 7%.
 - In principle that lets us reduce the height of the plates by 4³/₄"
 - Saves about \$25,000 in steel
 - I'd like to hold off on this decision until later.
 - We have zero stay-clear on the magnet coils, so we know things will change
 - We don't know if we are better off saving money on the steel or the magnet power supplies
 - We don't know how much margin we have on the sign-identification requirements

More Magnet Good News

- We're still converging on the final design, but...
 - (Still some design work needs to be done on selecting power supplies)
- We have a cooling solution the coils are thermally but not electrically coupled to the steel
 - Transfers enough heat to raise the temperature only 0.3K/day.
 - Convective cooling can handle this
- $L = 1.8 H, R = 5 m\Omega$.
 - Time constant is 6 minutes. Good enough for PRISM?

The corner pieces are bolted on – no brazing or welding required.

They are \$92/each – one hour of tech time and << 1 hour of welding time.





mu2e di-counter

LBNF/Di

SiPM-Counter Dimensions

- Our counters are thin compared to the mu2e CRV
- It is likely our board will need to extend above and below the scintillator
 - We have 1cm space assigned for this
 - Has some implications on exactly what screws in/is glued to what
 - It is possible (but far from certain) that we have space for a thicker counter – 12mm?
 - 10% thicker means ~5% more cost. Worth it?





03.16.21 LeCompte | Geometry

- It's very small.

Handling a di-counter looks hard

Dead Space

• The detectors are 1700 x 3000 mm, in a box 1710 x 3010 mm.

- This provides 98% coverage of the canonical 3m x 7m with 50% instrumentation (i.e. hits a corner) and 96% with 100% instrumentation

- There are dead regions within the 1700 mm
 - The TiO₂ layer is 0.5 mm thick out of 35.4 mm overall (98.6% coverage)
 - If we wrap the counters in plastic, this adds ~0.25 mm per wrapping
 - The exact thickness is not known this is an average of a 4 mil single-wrap and a 3-mil double wrap
 - A di-counter design adds 6mm per panel, or 99.6%
 - A quad-counter design adds 3mm per panel, or 99.8%
 - Glue takes up space too!
 - As much as possible, glue the counters top and bottom, not side to side



Scintillator Hole Dimensions

- We have scintillator slats with holes and with grooves
- The hole is really, really big.
 - Picture to the right shows four 1mm fibers fitting into the hole.
 - Hard to imagine a hole this big has good optical coupling between counter and fiber.



LBNF/DUNE

Summary

- The geometry has been updated and errors fixed
- Magnet design is progressing
 - Changes are all in how it is constructed. The field map is unchanged
- Wrapping the counters adds insignificant dead areas
 - Within the margin of error on the TiO_2 co-extrusion thickness
- The single channel SiPM design requires some thinking on exactly how we attach the electronics. This may set a minimum N for an N-counter.

