



Fermilab's Process for Allocating Computing Resources

James Amundson SBN Oversight Board Meeting June 11, 2021

Funding sources





Allocation Process

- Resource allocation provided by Fermilab Computing Resource Scrutiny Group (FCRSG)
 - Committee membership comes from both within Fermilab and outside institutions
- Annual review in spring
 - March 29-30, 2021: https://indico.fnal.gov/event/47845/
 - Experiments present computing models
 - New this year
 - Large experiments with future runs
 - Experiments present resource requests
 - Greatest scrutiny given to incremental costs
 - Scientific Computing Division presents facility status and resource history
 - Committee writes report

3

- SCD sets Intensity Frontier allocations for the year
 - Other frontiers do not require subdivision



Compute Resources



Resources – Institutional Cluster

- 210M core hours available in Fermigrid, (another 28M for Rubin) 18M in Wilson
- Recently added older nodes to FermiGrid. They are DNR.
- Wilson has high speed interconnects for parallel processing and is a steppingstone to large HPC resources
- 4 x 2 NVidia Tesla V100 GPUs
- 27 x 4 NVidia Tesla K40m GPUs
- One power9 + 4 volta GPUs (Oakridge Summit)
- One KNL (NERSC Cori/ALCF Theta)
- To maintain 24000 cores, we'd need about 300k per year to buy 5000 cores







Resources – Outside our walls

- HPC sites (allocations)
- OSG (opportunistic)
- GCE, AWS (paid)
- If experiments have special agreements with collaborating sites, we can enable access to their individual allocations
- Containers should limit issues at remote sites
- Not everyone submitting everywhere



Resources – Outside our walls

- HPC Center allocations
 - NERSC CMS: 59.5M of 105M (57%) used since 20/1/2021
 - NERSC FIFE: 8.8M of 75M (12%) used since 20/1/2021
 - TACC Frontera CMS: 273/500K (54%) used
 - TACC Stampede2: 65/100K(65%) used
 - SDSC Expanse: 4.2M / 4.4 M (95%) used
 - PSC Bridges: 1.1E7 hours before decommission
 - PSC Bridges2: 1.3M of 5.6M used (25%)
 - ANL Theta being tested by CMS and mu2e now
- Last year we ran 236.5M hours of compute at NERSC via HEPCloud



Summary of requests from experiments

• Requests continue to climb. There may be contention for onsite resources this year. Experiments should be encouraged to submit everywhere.



8

Summary of requests from experiments

- Comparing 2020 request made in 2019 to actual 2020 usage shows reasonable predictions
- Some experiments were above request and some below, so it averages out overall.





Storage Resources



Resources - disk

- FNAL dCache (disk) and Enstore (tape) systems are split into two pieces CMS and "Public" (everything else)
 - I will not be discussing CMS in this presentation.



Requests from experiments – persistent dCache

Experiment	2021 request	2022 request	2023 request				
DES	538	538	538				
DUNE	600	800	800				
MicroBooNE	151	151	151				
Mu2e	100	100	150				
g-2	150	200	400				
NOvA	320	345	375				
SBN	250	250	300				
MINERVA	250	250	250				
Other	174	175	175				
Total	2533	2809	3139				

Note – "other" only includes FCRSG requests. There are other users beyond these.

Current persistent dCache usage (TB)



Requests from experiments – dedicated dCache

Experiment	2021 request	2022 request	2023 request			
DUNE	5300	9800	9200			
SBN	1000	1000	1000			
g-2	54+1000	60+1000	60+1000			
NOvA	610	610	610			
MINERVA	200	200	200			
MicroBooNE	100	0	0			
Mu2e	0	50	100			
Other	24	24	24			
Total	8288	12744	12194			

- Current total 3600 TB
- DUNE request is for ProtoDUNE II
- SBN is requesting a large increase for data taking

Current dedicated dCache allocation (TB)





Total dCache requests



- · Assumes no increase in scratch or shared space
- Dashed lines show capacity (usable, no replication).
 - Assumes no additional purchases before 2023
- Red line retire/repurpose 2013 disks only
- Blue line retire/repurpose oldest each year

Requests from experiments - tape

- Last year's requests were not a very good guide to actual usage
 - SBN used much less and have significantly reduced projected future usage
- Most experiments are not considering significant deletion of data on tape
 - Exceptions are SBN and Mu2e

Integral Tape Volume



2020 Tape Actual Use Difference from Request



2020 Tape Usage Actual vs Request



Tape Storage Costs

- Tape storage has many components
 - Tape media
 - Libraries
 - Drives
 - Data rates are limited by the number of drives
 - Data rates are becoming more of a problem than data volume
 - Drives are expensive
 - Maintenance
 - Effort
- Tape costs are ongoing
 - Media continually needs to be migrated to the current tape storage technology



Tape Cost Model

Results	20	21	2022	2023		2	024	2025
TOTAL VOLUME		166.55	210.01	2	53.43		299.81	346.15
T10 VOLUME		21.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00
LTO VOLUME		145.55	210.01	2	53.43		299.81	346.15
TOTAL TAPE COUNT		24,362	25,865	24	1,795		26,754	28,649
T10 TAPE COUNT		8,714	4,357		-		-	-
LTO TAPE COUNT		15,648	21,508	24	1,795		26,754	28,649
ACTIVE TAPE COUNT		20,005	21,508	2	3,331		25,290	27,185
TOTAL DRIVE COSTS (Direct, 2021 \$)	\$	344,184	\$ 344,184	\$ 57	0,741	\$	472,794	\$ 488,856
TOTAL MEDIA COSTS (Direct, 2021 \$)	\$	382,585	\$ 498,100	\$ 65	7,400	\$	410,760	\$ 335,900
LIBRARY COST (Direct, 2021 \$)	\$	156,356	\$ 218,054	\$ 14),338	\$	140,338	\$ 140,338
TOTAL COSTS	\$	883,125	\$ 1,060,338	\$ 1,36	3,479	\$:	1,023,892	\$ 965,094
COST PER PB	\$	5,302	\$ 5,049	\$.	5,400	\$	3,415	\$ 2,788

