



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of
Science

DUNE FD-2 PDS Baseline WBS Sketch

12 April 2021

Ryan Rivera

DUNE-US FD-2 PDS Level 2 Manager

Outline

- Approach to M&S estimates
- Approach to labor estimates
- Baseline proposal
- Risk assessment
- 2021 R&D strategy

Approach to M&S Estimates

- Steps:
 1. Considered detector tile types
 2. Considered cold electronics + power supply topologies
 3. Tallied up warm electronics implications
- Note: no overhead and no spares considered on M&S
- We think component prices are +/- 20%

Approach to M&S - Detector

- **Five** Detector tiles considered:
 1. **“high-efficiency Cathode”** tile
 - Qty. 320, 360 SiPMs, 3 x 20cm x 60cm, $\$10.8\text{K} * 320 = \3.5M
 - 14.8% coverage, 0.1 SiPMs/cm², relative efficiency-factor=1
 2. **“economic Cathode”** tile
 - Qty. 320, 160 SiPMs, 60cm x 60cm, $\$6.2\text{K} * 320 = \2.0M
 - 14.8% coverage, 0.044 SiPMs/cm², relative efficiency-factor=0.9
 3. **“economic Field Cage”** tile
 - Qty. 768, 90 SiPMs, 60cm x 60cm, $\$4\text{K} * 768 = \3.1M
 - 14.6% detector coverage, 0.025 SiPMs/cm²
 4. **“horizontal-drift Membrane”** tile
 - Qty. 6000, 48 SiPMs, 40cm x 10cm, $\$1.2\text{K} * 6000 = \7.1M
 - 15.4% detector coverage, 0.12 SiPMs/cm²
 5. **“economic Membrane”** tile
 - Qty. 800, 160 SiPMs, 60cm x 60cm, $\$6.2\text{K} * 800 = \5.0M
 - 18.5% detector coverage, 0.044 SiPMs/cm²

Approach to M&S – Cold Electronics + POF

- **Four** parameterized approaches considered:
 1. **“redundant digital”** approach
 - 1 CE box per tile, \$3.0M C. + \$7.2M FC. = **\$10.2M**
 - 1.9 kW C. + 4.5 kW FC. = **6.4 kW**
 2. **“economic digital”** approach
 - 1 CE box per 4 tiles, \$1.1M C. + \$2.6M FC. = **\$3.7M**
 - 1.1 kW C. + 2.6 kW FC. = **3.7 kW**
 3. **“economic analog”** approach
 - 1 CE box per 4 tiles, \$0.9M C. + \$2.1M FC. = **\$2.9M**
 - 0.2 kW C. + 0.4 kW FC. = **0.6 kW**
 4. **“horizontal-drift-style Membrane”** approach
 - 1 CE box per 4 tiles = **\$1.4M**
 - **0.2 kW**

Note – Reduced Field Cage

- **Note:** Field Cage M&S can be reduced by eliminating tiles (1/2, 1/4 considered). We have assumed 768 tiles over 8 rows (4 above & below cathode).
 - If we assume 2 rows above & below...
 - 384 tiles
 - Cold electronics + POF M&S = \$1.0M FC.
 - 0.22 kW FC.
 - If we assume 1 row above & below...
 - 192 tiles
 - Cold electronics + POF M&S = \$0.52M FC.
 - 0.11 kW FC.

Note – Cold Electronics + POF

- **Note:** digital cold electronics cost (and thus power) can always be reduced in exchange for lower sampling/bit rate and longer analog signal runs. We have assumed 14-bits @ 80Msps and 1m runs.
 - If we assume <4m analog runs & $\frac{1}{2}$ digitizer data-rate...
 - 1 CE box per 20 tiles, 16 FPGAs
 - \$0.5M C. + \$1.2M FC. = **\$1.7M**
 - 0.3 kW C. + 0.6 kW FC. = **0.9 kW**
 - If we assume <6m analog runs & $\frac{1}{4}$ digitizer data-rate...
 - 1 CE box per 36 tiles, 9 FPGAs
 - \$0.4M C. + \$0.9M FC. = **\$1.3M**
 - 0.15 kW C. + 0.36 kW FC. = **0.5 kW**



Approach to M&S – Warm Electronics

- Assume \$5K board for each 12 CE boxes and \$25K crate for each 12 boards:
 1. **“redundant digital”** approach
 - \$210K C. + \$470K FC. = **\$700K**
 2. **“economic digital”** approach
 - \$60K C. + \$130K FC. = **\$200K**
 3. **“economic analog”** approach
 - \$60K C. + \$130K FC. = **\$200K**
 4. **“horizontal-drift-style Membrane”** approach
 - \$135K digitizer + \$285 power supplies = **\$420K**

Approach to M&S – Totals

- Added horizontal-drift style calibration/monitoring system:
 1. **“redundant digital”** approach
 - \$7.0M C. + \$11.0M FC. = **\$18.0M**
 2. **“economic digital”** approach
 - \$3.5M C. + \$6.0M FC. = **\$9.5M**
 3. **“economic analog”** approach
 - \$3.3M C. + \$5.6M FC. = **\$9.0M**
 4. **“horizontal-drift-style Membrane”** approach
 - \$5.0M detector + \$2.0M electronics = **\$7.0M**
- **Note: numbers are no overhead and no spares**

Approach to Labor Estimates

- Phases from FY22 to FY28
 - Based on fully-loaded FY21 labor rates, no escalation
 - FY22/23: “**prototype**” long-term cold validation and QA/QC
 - FY23: 1/20th “**pilot**” module-0 @ ProtoDUNE 2
 - FY24-28: “**production**”
 - Production hours scaled by number of tiles
 - 2:1 labor hour ratio for University:Lab
 - No assumed international collaborators (rather in threat/opportunities)
- 1. “**redundant digital**” approach
 - 55k + 30K hours → \$3.2M Pr&Pi&C. + \$2.1M FC. = **\$5.3M**
- 2. “**economic digital**” approach
 - 55k + 30K hours → \$3.2M Pr&Pi&C. + \$2.1M FC. = **\$5.3M**
- 3. “**economic analog**” approach
 - 55k + 30K hours → \$3.2M Pr&Pi&C. + \$2.1M FC. = **\$5.3M**
- 4. “**horizontal-drift-style Membrane**” approach
 - 80K hours = **\$5.0M**

FY22-28 WBS Estimates

1. **“redundant digital”** approach
 - \$3.2M C. labor + \$9.1M C. non-labor = **\$12.3M**
 - \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$20.4M C.&FC. non-labor = **\$25.7M**
2. **“economic digital”** approach
 - \$3.2M C. labor + \$5.6M C. non-labor = **\$8.9M**
 - \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$11.9M C.&FC. non-labor = **\$17.2M**
3. **“economic analog”** approach
 - \$3.2M C. labor + \$5.4M C. non-labor = **\$8.7M**
 - \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$11.4M C.&FC. non-labor = **\$16.7M**
4. **“horizontal-drift-style Membrane”** approach
 - \$4.9M labor + \$8.2M non-labor = **\$13.1M**

FY22-28 WBS Baseline Proposal

1. “redundant digital” approach

- \$3.2M C. labor + \$9.1M C. non-labor = \$12.3M
- \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$20.4M C.&FC. non-labor = \$25.7M

2. “economic digital” approach

- \$3.2M C. labor + \$5.6M C. non-labor = \$8.9M
- \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$11.9M C.&FC. non-labor = \$17.2M

3. “economic analog” approach

- \$3.2M C. labor + \$5.4M C. non-labor = \$8.7M
- \$5.3M C.&FC. labor + \$11.4M C.&FC. non-labor = \$16.7M

4. “horizontal-drift-style Membrane” approach

- \$4.9M labor + \$8.2M non-labor = \$13.1M

FY22-28 WBS Risks

Type	Title	Cathode Point Estimate	FC Point Estimate	Probability
Threat	Insufficient Power-over-Fiber efficiency	\$413,404	\$992,171	35%
Threat	Insufficient Data Compression achieved before cold waveform SERDES	\$1,309,404	\$3,142,571	35%
Threat	Physics simulation shows additional detector coverage required	\$1,506,033	\$3,614,480	35%
Opportunity	Commodity prices decrease	\$85,851	\$206,042	20%
Threat	Commodity prices escalate faster than inflation	\$85,851	\$206,042	20%
Opportunity	Insulation solution allows for warm electronics in cryostat	\$1,309,404	\$3,142,571	20%
Threat	Components fail 30-year cold validation testing	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	20%
Threat	Production mechanical packaging costs exceed estimated cost	\$80,000	\$192,000	50%
Threat	Production assembly support M&S costs exceed estimated cost	\$80,000	\$192,000	35%
Threat	Production installation costs require additional costed technician labor	\$565,611	\$1,357,466	35%
Threat	Photon detector electronics generates noise on the TPC wire readout	\$500,000	\$500,000	20%
Opportunity	Additional collaborating funding agencies identified	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	35%

FY22-28 WBS Risk Assessment

1. **“redundant digital”** approach relative risk 100%
 - Cathode-only risk expected value = **\$2.4M**
 - Cathode & Field Cage risk expected value = **\$7.5M**
2. **“economic digital”** approach relative risk 100%
 - Cathode-only risk expected value = **\$1.3M**
 - Cathode & Field Cage risk expected value = **\$4.3M**
3. **“economic analog”** approach relative risk 80%
 - Cathode-only risk expected value = **\$1.2M**
 - Cathode & Field Cage risk expected value = **\$3.0M**
4. **“horizontal-drift-style Membrane”** approach relative risk 70%
 - Membrane-only risk expected value = **\$3.0M**

2021 R&D

2021 R&D Strategy

- Target **two** prototype detector tiles for CERN cold box test:
 - Each 160 SiPMs 60x60cm²; one SiPM vendor for each
- Target **three** prototype cold-electronics approaches:
 1. “**Cold analog**” approach
 - 80 SiPMs passive-ganging => 1 and/or 2 active-ganged analog waveforms
 2. “**Cold digital**” approach
 - 80 SiPMs passive-ganging => 2 active-ganged digitized waveforms
 - 14-bits @ 80Msps
 3. “**Insulated digital**” approach
 - 80 SiPMs passive-ganging => 2 active-ganged digitized waveforms
 - 280K thermostat. 14-bits @ 80Msps

2021 R&D Component Strategy

- Team of experts launched on each component:
 - xARAPUCA
 - Passive Gang
 - Active Gang
 - Digital Tx
 - Power Solutions
 - Analog Tx
 - SERDES / FPGA
 - ADC
 - Control Rx
 - Sync Distribution
- Short-term cold tests and prototype integration steps planned
- Leaving for FY22...
 - Packaging optimization
 - Power consumption optimization
 - Long-term (30-year) cold studies

2021 R&D Milestone Timeline

Activity			FY21							FY22				
Subsystem or Task	Activity	Notes	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Project Management														
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Control Rx Phase 1 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.			X									
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Control Rx Phase 2 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.				X								
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Control Rx Phase 3 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.					X							
====>	Milestone:	Select Control Rx Prototype final candidate.					X							
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Sync Distribution Phase 1 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.				X								
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Sync Distribution Phase 2 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.					X							
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Sync Distribution Phase 3 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.						X						
====>	Milestone:	Select Sync Distribution Prototype final candidate.						X						
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Analog/Digital Waveform Optical Tx Phase 1 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.		X										
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Analog/Digital Waveform Optical Tx Phase 2 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.			X									
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select Analog/Digital Waveform Optical Tx Phase 3 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.				X								
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select SERDES Phase 1 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.		X										
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select SERDES Phase 2 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.			X									
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select SERDES Phase 3 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.				X								
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select ADC Phase 1 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.		X										
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select ADC Phase 2 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.			X									
====>	Minor Milestone:	Select ADC Phase 3 candidate(s). Target 1 candidate.				X								
====>	Major Milestone:	Pair-wise integration of most promising phase 1 candidate components and Power-over-fiber.				X								
====>	Milestone:	Analog Front-end integration Prototype in cold validated.					X							
====>	Milestone:	SERDES Tx integration Prototype in cold validated.					X							
====>	Milestone:	SERDES Rx integration Prototype in cold validated.					X							
====>	Major Milestone:	Downselect ADC/SERDES/digital Tx or analog Tx Prototype final candidate.					X							
====>	Major Milestone:	ADC+SERDES+Optical Rx/Tx integration Prototype OR Analog Optical Tx integration Prototype in cold validated.						X						
====>	Milestone:	1-channel waveform readout integration Prototype in cold validated.						X						
====>	Major Milestone:	Full modules waveform readout integration Prototype in cold validated.							X					
====>	Major Milestone:	Two synchronized integration Prototype modules in cold validated.							X					
====>	Milestone:	Two synchronized integration Prototype modules in cold <10KV plane validated. Or documented as not needed.							X					
====>	Major Milestone:	Two Prototype v1 modules installed at CERN Cold Box Test Part-A.								X				
====>	Major Milestone:	Two Prototype v2 modules installed at CERN Cold Box Test Part-B.										X		
====>	Major Milestone:	Synchronized waveform readout of two Prototype modes in CERN Cold Box Test.										X		

2021 R&D WBS

- Estimate through CERN Cold Box Test (i.e. FY21-22)
 - Labor = \$850K
 - Non-labor = \$190K
 - Total = \$1M
- 1:1 labor hour ratio for University:Lab
- Starting BCR process this week with Janet