C^3 : An Advanced Concept for a e^+e^- Linear Collider

Emilio Nanni, Caterina Vernieri

Thanks to Many for Contributions / Discussions

Sept. 1, 2021

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Science

SLAC NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

Acknowledgements

Snowmass LOI

C^3: An Advanced Concept for a High Energy e^+e^- Linear Collider

T. L. Barklow, M. Breidenbach, C. Burkhart, N. Graf, Z. Li, M. Kemp, T. Markiewicz, E. A. Nanni,† M. H. Nasr, M. Oriunno, E. Paterson, M. Peskin, N. Phinney, T. O. Raubenheimer, S. G. Tantawi,+ C. Vernieri, B. Weatherford
SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025

J. B. Rosenzweig
University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

B. E. Carlsten, F. Krawczyk, J. Lewellan, E. I. Simakov
Los Alamos National Laboratory, PO Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87545

B. Spataro
INFN-LNF, Frascati, Rome 00044, Italy

T. Abe
KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, 305-0801, Japan

V. Shiltsev, N. A. Solyak
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia IL 60510-5011

A. White
University of Texas, Arlington

Additional Contributors:

Dennis Palmer
Emma Snively
Cici Hanna
Charlotte Whener
Annika Gabriel
Gordon Bowden
Andy Haase
Julian Merrick
Bob Conely

C³ - Cool Copper Collider

More Details See: Bane et al., *ArXiv* 1807.10195 (2018)
C³ Colloquium: https://sites.slac.stanford.edu/colloquium/node/159
C3 LOI Link UW Seminar Aug. 2021

- SLAC technology for normal conducting accelerator at cryogenic temperature
- Aim to achieve high gradient (110 MeV/m real footprint) on short timescale
- Potential for high brightness polarized sources to eliminate damping rings
- Scalable technology optimizing for multi-TeV operation

First C³ structure at SLAC



High Gradient Operation at 150 MV/m



Timeline:

- 2 years - meter scale, wakefield
- damping, cryogenics
- 4 years - modular GeV units
- Target operation in parallel w/ HL-LHC at 250 GeV CoM

X-band Prototype



In the study of the Higgs boson properties and in the quest of new physics signs there is a complementarity between hadronic/leptonic colliders (depending on the centre-of-mass of energy) to exploit

- Direct production of new - heavy ~ O(2 TeV) - particles
- If new particles are too heavy to be produced at the HL-LHC, the resulting modifications to the Higgs couplings could be sizable enough to be detected by precision Higgs coupling measurements.

Wish list beyond HL-LHC:

1. Establish Yukawa couplings to light flavor —> needs precision

2. Establish self-coupling —> needs high energy

FCC-ee scenario:



H couplings to few %

H couplings to %

H couplings to ‰

H self-coupling to %

C^3 evolution: best timeline for the physics



How to get C^3 underway as a project?

- Timeline that is compatible and competitive on the global scale
- The accelerator is built to deliver the Higgs (250 GeV) and provide an upgrade path
  - Explore a commissioning run when the main linac 1/2 complete
    - Commission injector complex / BDS
    - Optional comissioning run at m_Z to commission the detector
    - Technology demo for XCC gamma-gamma collider - 30 GeV FEL
    - Deliver physics (accelerator/particle/FEL) early and often – understanding that the #1 goals is to study the Higgs
- Build a foundation for upgrades – main linac is fixed length upgrade gradient later
- Ultimately a structure operating at 120 MeV/m would be used to reach high energy -> lower energy physics targets could be reached with 70-85 MeV/m
  - Reduced peak power (less $), lower risk (power margin, gradient margin, length margin)
- Utilize commercial options at 65 MW/m to launch program
  - R&D on rf sources would have huge cost reduction impact at higher energy
  - CLIC-k study places rf source cost at 7.4 $/kW

NCRF Accelerator Concept Starting Point for a High Energy e+e- Linear Collider

- Using established collider designs to inform initial parameters
- Quantifying impact of wakes requires detailed studies
- Most important terms – aperture, bunch charge (and their scaling with frequency)
- Target design at 2 TeV CoM with 9 MW single beam power (~2 MW at 250 GeV CoM)

Machine	CLIC	NLC	C^3
Freq (GHz)	12.0	11.4	5.7
a (mm)	2.75	3.9	2.6
Charge (nC)	0.6	1.4	1
Spacing	6	16	19
# of bunches	312	90	75

2 TeV CoM

https://clic-meeting.web.cern.ch/clic-meeting/clictable2010.html

NLC, ZDR Tbl. 1.3,8.3





Detector Design Requirements

ILC timing structure: Fraction of a percent duty cycle

- **Power pulsing possible**, significantly reduce heat load
  - Factor of 50-100 power saving for FE analog power
- Si vertexing & tracking detectors **don't need active cooling**
  - Significantly lowers mass budget
- Triggerless readout is the baseline

C³ time structure is compatible with SiD detector overall design and ongoing optimizations.

With these parameters we can get the same physics output as ILC

ILC timing structure



1 ms long bunch trains at 5 Hz
2820 bunches per train
308ns spacing

Collider	ILC	CCC
σ_z	300 μm	100 μm
β_x	8.0 mm	13 mm
β_y	0.41 mm	0.1 mm
ϵ_x	500 nm/rad	900 nm/rad
ϵ_y	35 nm/rad	20 nm/rad
N bunches	1312	133
Repetition rate	5 Hz	120 Hz
Crossing angle	0.014	0.020
Crab angle	0.014/2	0.020/2

Leverage the Development of Beam Generation and Delivery Systems for C³

- Large portions of accelerator complex are compatible between LC technologies
  - Beam delivery and IP identical with ILC
  - Damping rings with CLIC
  - Injectors to be optimized with CLIC as baseline
- R&D – Development of high brightness polarized e- sources

Growing an International Collaboration for C³:

- Help Wanted: International community can make deep technical contributions to C³
  - CERN/CLIC - damping rings, alignment
  - Japan - rf systems
  - …..





Development of C³ Accelerating Structure

- Two Key Technical Advances: Distributed Coupling and Cryo-Copper RF
- Envision meter-scale accelerating structures, technology demonstration underway
- Implement most high-gradient advances

One meter (40-cell) C-band design with reduce peak E and H-field



Z. Li, S. Tantawi

Scaling fabrication techniques in length and including controlled gap





Tuned, vacuum tight, performance at 77K confirmed



Performance of Single-Cavity Structure Prototypes

- First high gradient test at C-band: Side coupled, split-cell reduced peak field, reduced phase adv.
- High power in up to 1 microsecond - Exceeds ultimate C^3 field strengths
- Radiated fields from bunches in structure degrade emittance -> reducing luminosity
  - Testing slot damping with loss coatings, modeling and prototypes underway

LANL Test of single cell SLAC C-band structure



Schneider, Simakov LANL

Structure Exceeds 120 MeV/m for 500 ns @ Room Temp BDR Data Collected



Slot Damping Prototype Working on NiCr Coating





Very promising for polarized cryo-gun (Rosenzweig, et al. NIM 909 (2018): 224-228)

RF Source R&D Remains a Major Focus Over the Timescale of the Next P5

- Optimizing the cost of NCRF technology a fundamental requirement for its implementation for future facilities
- RF source cost is the key driver for gradient and cost – need to focus R&D on reducing source cost

Gradient/Cost Scaling vs RF Source Cost for 2 TeV CoM





Near Term Industry



Understand the Impact on Advanced Collider Concept Enabled by the Goals Defined in the DOE GARD RF Decadal Roadmap

https://science.energy.gov/~/media/hep/pdf/Reports/DOE_HEP_GARD_RF_Research_Roadmap_Report.pdf

Tunnel Layout for 250 GeV CoM

- Cryomodule unit - 9 m (630 MeV)



Usable Tunnel Width - 9.5 m (Same as ILC)



Cryomodule Design Scalable from 250 GeV to multi-TeV

- X-band structure test demonstrated full average power over short length (0.25 m)
- Cryomodule design developed for cryoplant layout to cool 1.2 MW/km thermal load at 77K



Shared nitrogen supply and return





~9m Cryomodule (90% fill factor)

Summary of Parameters for 250 GeV Conceptual Design

Luminosity - 1x10^34

Temperature (K)	77
Beam Loading (%)	45
Gradient (MeV/m)	70
Flat Top Pulse Length (μs)	0.7
Cryogenic Load @ 77K (MW)	9
Electrical Load (MW)	100

Parameter (250 GeV CoM)	Units	Value
Reliquification Plant Cost	M$/MW	18
Single Beam Power (125 GeV linac)	MW	2
Total Beam Power	MW	4
Total RF Power	MW	18
Heat Load at Cryogenic Temperature	MW	9
Electrical Power for RF	MW	40
Electrical Power for Cryo-Cooler	MW	60

Trains repeat at 120 Hz



Pulse Format

133 1 nC bunches spaced by 30 RF periods (5.25 ns)



RF envelope 700 ns

Costing Studies for C^3 ($=CHF=ILCU)

- Ongoing development of a cost model for C3 -> following other LC formats
  - Capital Costs - M&S/Construction - External vendors $
  - FTE - Lab Labor
- Using CLIC-k vs ILC Inputs for C^3 250 CoM 60 MeV/m gradient - cost difference for M&S vs. Construction was **1.3% (ILC Inputs Cheaper)**
  - Main difference - ILC itemizes conventional facilities - CLIC-k lumps them together
- Use a hybrid-model built from ILC, CLIC-k and vendor estimates
    - Use itemized ILC conventional facilities for scaling of cost per meter for the main linac
- C^3 costs are ~35% sources, ~35% main linac, ~15% IP, ~15% supporting infrastructure
  - **Unique position for LC - cost not dominated by the main linac - improvements to the full complex can have a significant effect**
- Working estimate for Capital Costs 3.5-4B$ (10% RF margin, 10 GeV energy margin, 250 GeV CoM)
- Labor - CLIC-k and ILC quote similar #s 1.8-1.9FTE/M$
  - Need to assess the validity of this for C^3
- **Reached the limit of cost scaling - need to evaluate C^3 specific subsystems of accelerator complex**

Demonstrator R&D Plan

- Facilities that are auxiliary to the main linac at an advanced TRL level
- Minimum requirement for Demo Facility:
  - Demonstrate operation of fully engineered and operational cryomodule
    - Will iterate on cryomodule design (min. 3 cryomodules)
  - Demonstrate operation during cryogenic flow equivalent to main linac at full liquid/gas flow rate
  - Operation with a multi-bunch photo injector - high charges bunches to induce wakes, tunable delay witness bunch to measure wakes
  - Demonstrate full operational gradient 120 MeV/m (and higher) in single bunch mode (1GeV)
  - **Fully damped-detuned accelerating structure**
  - Work with industry to develop C-band source unit (3 vendors for klystron / 3 vendors for modulator and integration)
- **O($110 M) / 5 yr Demo Facility that we can propose for Snowmass/P5**
- **Continues with CCC R&D (rf sources, pulse compressors), XCC R&D and other relevant R&D (FEL, Cryo-gun, etc.) including possible energy upgrade for Demo Facility**

CCC to (Not Quite) Scale

250 GeV CoM - Main Linac 4 km
3 km for BDS

- CCC is opening a new compact pathway to exploring the Higgs with long-term energy reach on the multi-TeV scale
- We are preparing a contribution for Snowmass that details the CCC proposal
  - We will circulate this for community feedback to make the most compelling case
  - We are happy to share it in draft form now for feedback







Extra

Breakthrough in the Performance of RF Accelerators

- RF power coupled to each cell – no on-axis coupling
- Full system design requires modern virtual prototyping



Electric field magnitude produced when RF manifold feeds alternating cells equally

- Optimization of cell for efficiency (shunt impedance)

  $R_s = G^2/P$ [MΩ /m]
- Control peak surface electric and magnetic fields
  - Key to high gradient operation

Tantawi, Sami, et al. "Design and demonstration of a distributed-coupling linear accelerator structure." *Physical Review Accelerators and Beams* 23.9 (2020): 092001.

RF Power Requirements

- 70 MeV/m 250 ns Flattop (extendible to 700 ns)
- ~1 microsecond rf pulse, ~30 MW/m
  - Conservative 2.3X enhancement from cryo
- No pulse compression
- Ramp power to reduce reflected power
- Flip phase at output to reduce thermals

- One 65 MW klystron every two meters -> Matches CLIC-k rf module power







HOM Damping with Tapered Lossy Slot - Preliminary - Z. Li

- Slot surface conductivity: 1e6
- Tapered slot height: from 300 micron to 100 micron









Need to extend to 40 GHz / Optimize coupling / Modes below 10^4 V/pC/mm/m

Cryogenic Operation for High Accelerating Gradient

- Cryogenic temperature elevates performance in accelerating gradient
- Material strength is key factor
- Operation at 77 K with liquid nitrogen is simple and practical
- Large-scale production, large heat capacity, simple handling
- Small impact on electrical efficiency

$$\eta_{cp} = LN\ Cryoplant$$
$$\eta_{cs} = Cryogenic\ Structure$$
$$\eta_k = RF\ Source$$

$$\frac{\eta_{cs}}{\eta_k}\eta_{cp} \approx \frac{2.5}{0.5}[0.15] \approx 0.75$$



Cahill, A. D., et al. *PRAB* 21.10 (2018): 102002.