

Higgs and Flavour

Admir Greljo

18.08.2021, Higgs@Snowmass - EF01 Working Group Meeting

Outline

- Yukawa puzzle
- Flavored Higgs interactions: Dim-4
- Higher-dimensional interactions
- Backup: Higgs physics and Flavor anomalies

Flavor symmetries

3

• \mathscr{L}^{SM} sans Yukawa

Three identical copies of five gauge representations: q, U, D, l, E

 $U(3)_q \times U(3)_U \times U(3)_D \times U(3)_l \times U(3)_E$

Z= - LEMENV + ご ダダ + h.c. + 4: 4: 4: 4: 4. c. + $D_{\mu}\phi l^2 - V(\phi)$

 $\begin{cases} g_S \sim 1, g_W \sim 0.6, g_Y \sim 0.3, \lambda_H \sim 0.2 \\ v_{EW} \ll M_P \text{ - The EW hierarchy problem} \\ \theta \lesssim 10^{-10} \text{ - The strong CP problem} \end{cases}$

Flavor symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \overline{\psi} \mathcal{V} \psi + h.c. \\ &+ \overline{\psi} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \psi + h.c. \\ &+ \overline{\psi} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \psi + h.c. \\ &+ \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V} \psi + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

Flavor symmetries

$$\mathscr{L}_4^{SM}$$
 sans Yukawa: $U(3)_q \times U(3)_U \times U(3)_D \times U(3)_l \times U(3)_E$

$$-\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{q} \mathbf{Y}^{u} \tilde{H} U + \bar{q} \mathbf{Y}^{d} H D + \bar{l} \mathbf{Y}^{e} H E$$

Flavour breaking + EWSB \implies Fermion masses and mixings

$$Y^{u} = (3,\bar{3},1,1,1)$$
 $Y^{d} = (3,1,\bar{3},1,1)$ $Y^{e} = (1,1,1,3,\bar{3})$

$$\mathscr{L}_4^{SM}$$
: $U(1)_B \times U(1)_e \times U(1)_\mu \times U(1)_\tau$

No proton decay nor cLFV

The Yukawa puzzle

• Use $U(3)^5$ transformation and a singular value decomposition to start in a basis

$$-\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{q} V^{\dagger} \hat{Y}^{u} \tilde{H} U + \bar{q} \hat{Y}^{d} H D + \bar{l} \hat{Y}^{e} H E$$



Peculiar $Y^{u,d,e} \implies$ Approximate accidental symmetries

• CP is an *approximate* accidental symmetry

$$\mathfrak{T}(\det([Y^d Y^{d\dagger}, Y^u Y^{u\dagger}])) =$$

$$\mathfrak{T}\det[\hat{Y}_d^2, V^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_u^2 V] \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-22})$$

Hierarchy+Alignment

In the SM

$$\begin{split} H_{0} &\rightarrow \nu + h \\ \mathcal{L}_{Yuk} &= - \frac{h}{v} \left(m_{e} \,\overline{e_{L}} \, e_{R} + m_{\mu} \,\overline{\mu_{L}} \, \mu_{R} + m_{\tau} \,\overline{\tau_{L}} \, \tau_{R} \right. \\ &+ m_{u} \,\overline{u_{L}} \, u_{R} + m_{c} \,\overline{c_{L}} \, c_{R} + m_{t} \,\overline{t_{L}} \, t_{R} + m_{d} \,\overline{d_{L}} \, d_{R} + m_{s} \,\overline{s_{L}} \, s_{R} + m_{b} \,\overline{b_{L}} \, b_{R} + \text{h.c.} \end{split}$$



Diagonal

Non-universal

Proportional to the fermion masses

• Real in the mass basis

Beyond the SM

New sources of flavour and (or) EWS breaking would **change** these predictions!

Beyond the SM

New sources of flavour and (or) EWS breaking would *change* these predictions!

In general, the Higgs boson can have couplings that are neither proportional to the mass matrix nor diagonal, nor CP conserving.

Beyond the SM

New sources of flavour and (or) EWS breaking would *change* these predictions!

• 2HDM example

Add another Higgs doublet H_i where i = 1,2

 $-\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{f} Y_i^f H_i F$

 $M^{f} = Y_{1}^{f}v_{1} + Y_{2}^{f}v_{2}$ $h = h_{1}\cos\alpha + h_{2}\sin\alpha$

In general, the Higgs boson can have couplings that are neither proportional to the mass matrix nor diagonal, nor CP conserving.

Beyond the SM

New sources of flavour and (or) EWS breaking would *change* these predictions!

 2HDM example 	• SM EFT example
Add another Higgs doublet H_i where $i = 1,2$	Add a dim-6 SM EFT correction
$-\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{f} \frac{Y_i^f H_i}{F}$	$-\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{f} \frac{Y^{f}}{1} HF + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \bar{f} \frac{Y^{f}}{2} HF H^{\dagger} H$
$M^{f} = Y_{1}^{f}v_{1} + Y_{2}^{f}v_{2}$ $h = h_{1}\cos\alpha + h_{2}\sin\alpha$	$M^f \propto Y_1^f + Y_2^f \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}$ $h: Y_1^f + 3 Y_2^f \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}$

In general, the Higgs boson can have couplings that are neither proportional to the mass matrix nor diagonal, nor CP conserving.



- Diagonal couplings?
- Off-diagonal couplings?
- CP violation?

Flavor physics of the Higgs Boson

Diagonal couplings

 $\kappa_t = 1.43 \pm 0.23,$ $\kappa_s < 65,$ $\kappa_\tau = 0.88 \pm 0.13,$

$$\kappa_b = 0.60 \pm 0.18,$$

 $\kappa_d < 1.4 \cdot 10^3,$
 $\kappa_\mu = 0.2^{+1.2}_{-0.2},$

 $\kappa_c \lesssim 6.2,$ $\kappa_u < 3.0 \cdot 10^3,$ $\kappa_e \lesssim 630.$ I610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c,

LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

 κ_t

 κ_s

 $\kappa_{ au}$

$= 1.43 \pm 0.23,$	$\kappa_b = 0.60 \pm 0.18,$
< 65,	$\kappa_d < 1.4 \cdot 10^3,$
$= 0.88 \pm 0.13,$	$\kappa_{\mu} = 0.2^{+1.2}_{-0.2},$

- Only third family Yukawas are observed.

$$\begin{split} \kappa_c \lesssim 6.2, \\ \kappa_u < 3.0 \cdot 10^3, \\ \kappa_e \lesssim 630. \\ \end{split}$$

 κ_t

 κ_s

 $\kappa_{ au}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1.43 \pm 0.23, \\ &< 65, \\ &= 0.88 \pm 0.13, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \kappa_b &= 0.60 \pm 0.18, \\ \kappa_d &< 1.4 \cdot 10^3, \\ \kappa_\mu &= 0.2^{+1.2}_{-0.2}, \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \kappa_e &\lesssim 6.2, \\ \kappa_u &< 3.0 \cdot 10^3, \\ \kappa_e &\lesssim 630. \\ \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

1610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c, LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

- Only third family Yukawas are observed.
- Light Yukawa is a pressing issue! Q: Is the same mechanism at work?

 $\kappa_t = 1.43$

 $\kappa_s < 65,$

 $\kappa_{\tau} = 0.88$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \ 0.23, \\ \kappa_b &= 0.60 \pm 0.18, \\ \kappa_d &< 1.4 \cdot 10^3, \\ \pm \ 0.13, \\ \kappa_\mu &= 0.2^{+1.2}_{-0.2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\kappa_c \lesssim 6.2,$$

$$\kappa_u < 3.0 \cdot 10^3,$$

$$\kappa_e \lesssim 630.$$

1610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c, LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

- Only third family Yukawas are observed.
- Light Yukawa is a pressing issue! Q: Is the same mechanism at work?

Charm Yukawa

- Exclusive Higgs decays to mesons: 1407.6695, 1406.1722, 1505.03870
- Vh associated production: 1503.00290,1505.06689,1505.06689
- Higgs differential distributions: 1606.09253, 1606.09621

HL-LHC sensitivity $\mathcal{O}(y_c)$

 $\kappa_s < 65,$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &= 1.43 \pm 0.23, \\ \kappa_s &< 65, \\ \kappa_\tau &= 0.88 \pm 0.13, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \kappa_b &= 0.60 \pm 0.18, \\ \kappa_d &< 1.4 \cdot 10^3, \\ \kappa_\mu &= 0.2^{+1.2}_{-0.2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\kappa_c \lesssim 6.2,$$

 $\kappa_u < 3.0 \cdot 10^3,$
 $\kappa_e \lesssim 630.$
I610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c,

LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

- Only third family Yukawas are observed.
- Light Yukawa is a pressing issue! Q: Is the same mechanism at work?
- Charm Yukawa
 - Exclusive Higgs decays to mesons: 1407.6695, 1406.1722, 1505.03870
 - Vh associated production: 1503.00290,1505.06689;1505.06689
 - Higgs differential distributions: 1606.09253, 1606.09621

HL-LHC sensitivity $\mathcal{O}(y_c)$

- Muon Yukawa
- 1.2 ± 0.6, ATLAS 2007.07830.
- 1.2 ± 0.4 , CMS CMS-PAS-HIG-19-006.

The observation at the end of Run 3?

18

Quarks

- Neutral meson mixing provide stringent constraints

$$\begin{split} K - \bar{K} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to s\bar{d} + d\bar{s}) < 4.2 \times 10^{-7} \\ D - \bar{D} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to c\bar{u} + u\bar{c}) < 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \\ B - \bar{B} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to b\bar{d} + d\bar{b}) < 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \\ B_s - \bar{B}_s & \operatorname{Br}(h \to b\bar{s} + s\bar{b}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \end{split}$$

1610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c, LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

Quarks

- Neutral meson mixing provide stringent constraints

$$\begin{split} K - \bar{K} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to s\bar{d} + d\bar{s}) < 4.2 \times 10^{-7} \\ D - \bar{D} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to c\bar{u} + u\bar{c}) < 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \\ B - \bar{B} & \operatorname{Br}(h \to b\bar{d} + d\bar{b}) < 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \\ B_s - \bar{B}_s & \operatorname{Br}(h \to b\bar{s} + s\bar{b}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \end{split}$$

1610.07922, Section IV.6.2.c, LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group

- Top decays and tH production



 $Br(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.11\%$ ATLAS, 1812.11568 $Br(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.47\%$ CMS, 1712.02399

Leptons

 $\mu
ightarrow e \gamma$ implies stringent constraints on $h
ightarrow \mu e$

CMS 1712.07173

Leptons

 $\mu
ightarrow e \gamma$ implies stringent constraints on $h
ightarrow \mu e$

 \bullet For $h \to \tau \mu$ and $h \to \tau e$ the best constraints are from Higgs decays

 $Br(h \to \tau \mu) < 0.25 \% \qquad Br(h \to \tau \mu) < 0.28 \%$ $Br(h \to \tau e) < 0.61 \% \qquad Br(h \to \tau e) < 0.47 \%$

ATLAS 1907.06131

[For New Physics Models Facing Lepton Flavor Violating Higgs Decays at the Percent Level see 1502.07784]

CP violation



1310.1385, 1503.04830, 1510.00725

CP violation



1310.1385, 1503.04830, 1510.00725

• EDMs versus LHC interplay



1310.1385

Higher-dimensional interactions

 J_e

h

Example: $h \rightarrow 2e2\mu$

Decomposition of the (helicity-conserving) amplitude:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L, e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L, \mu_R} (\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e) (\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta} \mu) \times \\ & \left[F_1^{e\mu} (q_1^2, q_2^2) g^{\alpha\beta} + F_3^{e\mu} (q_1^2, q_2^2) \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{m_Z^2} \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{m_Z^2} g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + F_4^{e\mu} (q_1^2, q_2^2) \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} q_{2\rho} q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2} \right] \end{split}$$

Momentum expansion of the form factors around the physical poles: - Smooth kinematical distortions from the SM (heavy NP)



Higher-dimensional interactions

Example: $h \rightarrow 2e2\mu$

$$\begin{array}{c} & \overset{e^{*}}{\overset{f}}}{\overset{f}}{\overset{f}}{\overset{f}}{\overset{f}}{\overset{f}$$

In the SM: $\kappa_X \to 1$, $\epsilon_X \to 0$ $P_Z(q^2) = q^2 - m_Z^2 + im_Z \Gamma_Z$

Higher-dimensional interactions

Example: $h \rightarrow 2e2\mu$

$$\mathcal{A} = i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} (\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e)(\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{e\mu}(q_1^2, q_2^2)g^{\alpha\beta} + F_3^{e\mu}(q_1^2, q_2^2)\frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{m_Z^2}g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha}q_1^{\beta} + F_4^{e\mu}(q_1^2, q_2^2)\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}q_{2\rho}q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M} omentum expansion$$

$$\mathcal{A} = i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} (\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e)(\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times Flavor \\ \left[\left(\kappa_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Ze}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Z\mu}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^e}{P_Z(q_1^2)} \right)g^{\alpha\beta} + \\ + \left(\epsilon_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{SM-1L} \left(\frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^\mu}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{SM-1L} \frac{\epsilon^2 Q_e Q_\mu}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2 g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha}q_1^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + \\ + \left(\epsilon_{ZZ}^{ep} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{ep} \left(\frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^\mu}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{ep} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_\mu}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}q_{2\rho}q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2} \right]$$

In the SM: $\kappa_X \to 1$, $\epsilon_X \to 0$ $P_Z(q^2) = q^2 - m_Z^2 + im_Z \Gamma_Z$

M. González-Alonso





Backup

Hot topic in flavour physics: Muon Anomalies

 $\frac{b \to s\mu\mu}{b \to see}$



$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$



The Muon g-2, Fermilab, 2104.03281

• $SM \times U(1)_{B-3L_{\mu}}$ gauge symmetry

AG, Stangl, Thomsen, 2103.13991



32

• $SM \times U(1)_{B-3L_u}$ gauge symmetry

SM

AG, Stangl, Thomsen, 2103.13991 $SU(3)_{c}$ $SU(2)_{L}$ $|U(1)_{Y}$ 1/6 Q_{L} 2 3 -1/2 2 LL Muon force 2/3 3 UR 3 -1/3 dR 0 VR -1 $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ 2 +1/2 Muoquark

• $SM \times U(1)_{B-3L_{\mu}}$ gauge symmetry

AG, Stangl, Thomsen, 2103.13991

	SU(3)c	SU(2)L	$\bigcup (1)_{Y}$	$U(1)_{B-3L_{M}}$	
Q_{L}	3	2	1/6	1/3	
LL	I	2	-1/2,	20,-3,03	
UR	3	I	2/3	1/3	Muon force
 dr	3	l	-1/3	1/3	•
VR	I	I	0	20,-3,03	* Minimal type-I seesaw for the neutrino masses
$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$	I	1	-1	20,-3,03	
11	1	2	1/2	0	
Ð	I	l	0	3	
					Muoquark

SM

• $SM \times U(1)_{B-3L_{\mu}}$ gauge symmetry

SM

AG, Stangl, Thomsen, 2103.13991

	SU(3)c	SU(2)L	$\bigcup (1)_{Y}$	$U(1)_{B-3L_{M}}$	
QL	3	2	1/6	1/3	
LL	I	2	-1/2,	20,-3,03	
UR	3	I	2/3	1/3	
cl _R	3	l	-1/3	1/3	
VR	1	I	0	20,-3,03	
$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$	l	l	-1	20,-3,03	
+1	1	2	1/2	0	
Ð	1	l	0	3	
S3	3	3	1/3	8/3	

Muon force

Muoquark $\mathscr{L} \supset Q_L L_L^{(2)} S_3$

Muon force



A model of Muon Anomalies Muon force Muoquark b μ μ S $(g-2)_{\mu}$ LHCb • What $U(1)_{X_u}$ does to a leptoquark? BaBar Borexino CCFR NA62 NA64 Interacts only with muons $\mathscr{L} \supset Q_L L_I^{(2)} S_3$ $\varepsilon = g_X$ • No proton decay up to dim-6 $\varepsilon = g_X/10$

 10^{-4}

 10^{-4}

 10^{-3}

 10^{-2}

 $m_X \, [\text{GeV}]$

 10^{-1}

 g_X

μ

 10^{0}

Measurement Reference Juoquarks gs and masses: A parusse $an \mathfrak{F}_{B1} \neq (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, 1/3)$ represented by the second sec $are.0$he0.05_1 = (\rho(3, 10.12))$ the higgs portal <u>nbhenology</u>. The S_1 represents the 2/c4/2) r 95% EU lingits after HA-LHC verv good candidate t < 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 (CMS)ic observables for the LQ potential couplings. the combination of bo of charged and neutra $h \rightarrow gg$ pletions have been pro plings, which arise at 2008.09548anomalies with a solu $\frac{1}{f_3^2}$ and $\frac{1}{\kappa_g}$ are left free, asymptotically safe qu t[°] precisely measured, our model are given More recently, one $M_1 = M_3 = m$ TeV. The published [54-57]. T $^{-6}$ level and thus completely model the dominant o

traints on S and T from [117]

Measurement Reference Juoquarks 95 and masses. Toparussit $an \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{B}} \neq (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, 1/3)$ represented by the second s $are.0$he0.05_1 = (\rho(3, -10.02))$ the hyggs portal <u>nbhenology</u>. The S_1 Cpoint_represents 1742 $[S_3^2]$ [S_3^2] 41], with vary r 95% EU lingits after HO-LHC pyseoodroandidate t < 3.9Contraction bo ic observables for the LQ potential for the LQ potential for the LQ potential for the LQ potential for the transmission of transmission of the transmission of f charged and be utra $h \rightarrow gg$ dide pletions have been pro plings, which arise at 2008.09548 and $3 \log M_1^2$ M_2^2 consider (3.12)anomalies with a solu $\frac{1}{f_3^2}$ and $\frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_g}$ are left free, t precisely measured. to ur model are given even by the scale $\mathbb{E}^{n_{1}}$ our model are given the scale $\mathbb{E}^{n_{1}}$ or the scale $\mathbb{E}^$ Higgasymptotically safe qu onstrand line and ban appro T $^{-6}$ level and thus completely model the dominant o 39 traints on S and T from [117]

Implications for Higgs physics: Muon force $V_{H\Phi} = -\mu_H^2 |H|^2 - \mu_{\Phi}^2 |\Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_H |H|^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\Phi} |\Phi|^4 + \lambda_{\Phi H} |\Phi|^2 |H|^2$

• From $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ we have $g_X \sim 10^{-4}$ and $m_X \in [10, 200]$ MeV.

 $v_{\Phi} = \sqrt{2}m_X/|q_{\Phi}|g_X \sim 60 \,\mathrm{GeV}/|q_{\Phi}|$

Implications for **Higgs physics: Muon force**
$$V_{H\Phi} = -\mu_H^2 |H|^2 - \mu_{\Phi}^2 |\Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_H |H|^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\Phi} |\Phi|^4 + \lambda_{\Phi H} |\Phi|^2 |H|^2$$

• From $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ we have $g_X \sim 10^{-4}$ and $m_X \in [10, 200]$ MeV.

 $v_{\Phi} = \sqrt{2}m_X/|q_{\Phi}|g_X \sim 60 \,\mathrm{GeV}/|q_{\Phi}|$

• Mixing between real scalars h and ϕ .

$$g_X \colon X \to \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
 $\stackrel{\lambda_{\Phi H}, \lambda_{\Phi}}{\longrightarrow} h \to inv$
 $\lambda_{\Phi} \colon \phi \to XX$

• This scenario has a chance to leave observable imprints in the overall Higgs couplings or in the invisible Higgs decays.