

Precision Measurements with (Anti)Neutrinos at LBNF



R. Petti

University of South Carolina, USA

LoI: SNOWMASS21-NF5-NF6-EF6-EF4-RF1-RF6-122

*EF04 Topical Group Community Meeting
October 8, 2021*

LBNF SPECTRA & STATISTICS




Interactions (ND 5 tons)		CH ₂
<i>Standard CP optimized (1.2 MW):</i>		
ν_μ CC (ν beam, 5 y)		33×10^6
$\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC ($\bar{\nu}$ beam, 5 y)		12×10^6
<i>Optimized ν_τ appearance (2.4 MW):</i>		
ν_μ CC (ν beam, 2 y)		62×10^6
$\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC ($\bar{\nu}$ beam, 2 y)		22×10^6

⇒ Can collect $\sim 10^8$ CC events with compact high-resolution detector ($\Delta E_\mu \leq 0.2\%$)


NEAR DETECTOR COMPLEX IN DUNE

SAND: System for on-Axis Neutrino Detection



CONTROL OF TARGETS

- ◆ *Straw Tube Tracker designed for a control of ν -target(s) similar to e^\pm DIS experiments:*
 - *Thin (1-2% X_0) passive target(s) separated from active detector (straw layers);*
 - *Target layers spread out uniformly within tracker by keeping low density $0.005 \leq \rho \leq 0.18 \text{ g/cm}^3$.*
- ⇒ *STT can be considered a precision instrument fully tunable/configurable*



- ◆ *Targets of high chemical purity give $\sim 97\%$ of STT mass (straws 3%)*
- ◆ *“Solid” hydrogen target from a model-independent subtraction of CH_2 & C* after kinematic selection
⇒ *Equivalent to $\sim 10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ LH}_2$*
- ◆ *Thin targets can be replaced during data taking: C, Ca, Ar, Fe, Pb, etc.*

RECENT PROGRESS

5

- ◆ *Over the last year focus on demonstrating the detector performance and integrating within the DUNE long-baseline physics program.*
- ◆ *In September 2021 STT was chosen by the DUNE collaboration as the inner tracker of SAND (System for on-Axis Neutrino Detection) within the near detector complex.*
- ◆ *The STT will be built over the next several years and it is expected to start to take data within the DUNE near detector complex after 2030.*
⇒ *Physics program proposed in the Lol becomes a real opportunity*

- ◆ *Possible to constrain systematics reducing the precision gap with electron experiments*
 - *Relative ν_μ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ flux vs. E_ν to $< 1\%$ from exclusive processes on H at small energy transfer;*
 - *Calibration of neutrino energy scale and nuclear smearing with H control sample.*


- ◆ *Turn the LBNF ND site into a general purpose ν & $\bar{\nu}$ physics facility with broad program complementary to ongoing fixed-target, collider and nuclear physics efforts:*
 - *Measurement of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ and electroweak physics;*
 - *Precision tests of isospin physics & sum rules (Adler, GLS);*
 - *Measurements of strangeness content of the nucleon ($s(x)$, $\bar{s}(x)$, Δs , etc.);*
 - *Studies of QCD and structure of nucleons and nuclei;*
 - *Precision tests of the structure of the weak current: PCAC, CVC;*
 - *Measurement of nuclear physics and (anti)-neutrino-nucleus interactions; etc.*
 - *Precision measurements as probes of New Physics (BSM);*
 - *Searches for New Physics (BSM): sterile neutrinos, NSI, NHL, etc.....*

⇒ *Hundreds of diverse physics topics offering insights on various fields*

- ◆ *No additional requirements: same control of targets & fluxes to study LBL systematics*

◆ *Complementarity with colliders & low-energy measurements:*

- *Different scale* of momentum transfer with respect to LEP/SLD (off Z^0 pole);
- *Direct measurement of neutrino couplings to Z^0*
 \implies *Only other measurement LEP $\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$*
- *Single experiment to directly check the running of $\sin^2 \theta_W$;*
- *Independent cross-check of the NuTeV $\sin^2 \theta_W$ anomaly ($\sim 3\sigma$ in ν data) in a similar Q^2 range.*



◆ *Different independent channels:*

- $\mathcal{R}^\nu = \frac{\sigma_{\text{NC}}^\nu}{\sigma_{\text{CC}}^\nu}$ in ν -N DIS ($\sim 0.35\%$)
- $\mathcal{R}_{\nu e} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{NC}}^{\bar{\nu}}}{\sigma_{\text{NC}}^\nu}$ in ν - e^- NC elastic ($\sim 1\%$)
- NC/CC ratio ($\nu p \rightarrow \nu p$)/($\nu n \rightarrow \mu^- p$) in (quasi)-elastic interactions
- NC/CC ratio ρ^0/ρ^+ in coherent processes

\implies *Combined EW fits*

◆ *Achievable sensitivity depending upon HE beam exposure*

Precision Measurements with (Anti)Neutrinos at LBNF

G. Adamov^{1,10}, L. Alvarez Ruso², I. Bagaturia¹, B. A. Bambah³⁰, P. Bernardini^{3,4},
 S. Bertolucci^{5,6}, M. Bhattacharjee⁷, B. Bhuyan⁷, S. Biagi⁸, B. Brahma³¹,
 A. Caminata⁹, A. Cervelli⁵, A. Chatla³⁰, D. Chokheli^{1,10}, A. Chukanov¹⁰, S. Davini⁹,
 S. Di Domizio^{9,11}, C. Distefano⁸, L. Di Noto^{9,11}, M.V. Diwan¹³, H. Duyang¹²,
 P. Evtoukhovitch¹⁰, A. Falcone^{14,15}, O. Fedin¹⁶, A. Ferrari¹⁷, F. Ferraro^{9,11}, A. Gabrielli⁵,
 Z. Ghorbani Moghaddam⁹, A. Giri³¹, M. Guerzoni⁵, B. Guo¹², M.A. Iliescu^{18,19}, A. Jha³⁰,
 I. Kakorin¹⁰, A.L. Kataev²⁰, A. Khvedelidze^{1,10}, B. Kirby¹³, U. Kose^{5,19}, S.A. Kulagin²⁰,
 C. Kullenberg¹⁰, C. Kuruppu¹², R. Lalnuathunga³¹, I. Lomidze¹, G. Laurenti⁵, R. Majhi³⁰,
 V. Maleev¹⁶, S. Malhotra²⁴, G. Mandrioli⁵, N. Mauri^{5,6}, P. Mehta²¹, S.R. Mishra¹²,
 N. Moggi^{5,6}, R. Mohanta³⁰, A. Montanari⁵, S. Movchan¹⁰, S. Nagu²², A. Nandi⁷, A. Nath⁷,
 F. Olness²³, M. Pallavicini^{9,11}, R. Papaleo⁸, L. Pasqualini^{5,6}, L. Patrizii⁵, R. Petti¹²,
 V. Pia^{5,6}, F. Poppi^{5,6}, M. Pozzato^{5,6}, G. Riccobene⁸, P.R. Sala²⁵, O. Samoylov¹⁰,
 P. Sapienza⁸, F. H. Sawy^{26,28}, Ja. Singh²², Jy. Singh²², K. Singh²⁴, L. Singh²⁷, V. Singh²⁷,
 G. Sirri⁵, L. Stanco²⁶, S. Sundar Rajan²⁴, A. Surdo⁴, N. Talukdar⁷, M. Tenti⁵, V. Teotia²⁴,
 F. Terranova^{14,15}, G. Testera⁹, M. Torti^{14,15}, N. Tosi⁵, R. Travaglini²⁶, Z. Tsamalaidze^{1,10},
 N. Tsverava^{1,10}, S. Vasina¹⁰, M. Vicenzi^{9,11}, S. Zucchelli^{5,6}, and B. Wonsak²⁹

Backup slides