Ivan Vitev

# (T)EEC Correlators at the EIC and thoughts on EEEC

Credit for this work goes to other presenters here and to my collaborators H.Li, Y.J. Zh, Y. Makris

*Eo5 Snowmass Working Group meeting Sept. 27, 2021, Online* 



## **Outline of the talk**

- A word about the EIC
- TEEC and BEEC at the EIC
- Speculation on EEEC



This work is supported by the TMD topical collaboration and the LANL LDRD program

#### References

ArXiv: 2006.02437, 2102.05669, 2011.02492, 2103.16526

#### A word about the EIC

#### Long Range Plan recommendations

 We recommend a high-energy high-luminosity polarized Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) as the highest priority for new facility construction following the completion of Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB)

#### **National Academy of Sciences**

- EIC essential for US leadership in nuclear physics and accelerator design
- Physics at EIC has very close connections to solid state and atomic physics, high energy physics, astrophysics and computing





- D. Geesaman et al, 2015
- G. Baym *et al*, 2018



CD-o and site selection announced Jan. 9, 2020 CD-1 now achieved





Dan Brouilette, Secretary of DOE Tim Hallman, Director or Nuclear Physics at DOE's Office of Science



Chris Fall – Director of DOE's Office of Science

- High energy (nucleon/nucleus energy 30-250 GeV), High intensity (10<sup>33</sup> – 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), High collision frequency (~100 MHz) – mandated by NAS

## I. (Transverse) Energy-Energy Correlations - as first defined



#### **TMD physics**

#### Introduction to TEEC



 Event shape variables can be used to determine the strong coupling αs and test asymptotic freedom, to tune the nonperturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) power corrections, and to search for new physics phenomena

### **TEEC** in the dijet limit and in DIS



Collinear and soft singularity  $\cos \phi_{ab} \rightarrow -1$   $B \bigotimes H \bigotimes J \bigotimes S$   $\phi \sim \pi$ Gao et al. (2018)

#### Defined as the correlations between the lepton and hadrons in the final state



### Simulation of TEEC in EIC



The precision with  $10fb^{-1}$  and  $100fb^{-1}$  will be unprecedented It does not depend on uncertainties related to the jet radius and jet finding algorithm

It is possible to study this observable in percent level

## Factorization in the back-to-back limit



Full control of the distributions in the back-back limit

## Resummation in the back-to-back limit



#### This is the highest resummed accuracy achieved in DIS

- Convergence in back-to-back limit after resummation
- Resummation works better for higher energy collider due to larger scale hierarchy
- Huge difference from NLL to NNLL and good perturbative convergence from NNLL to NNNLL
- Reduction of scale uncertainties order by order from NLL to NNNLL

#### **Final results and NP corrections**



## II. Energy Energy Correlations in the Breit frame



#### **TMD physics**

### **The Breit frame**

Traditionally used in DIS



 $\sum z_a = 1$ 

$$q^{\mu} = \frac{Q}{2}(\bar{n}^{\mu} - n^{\mu}) = Q(0, 0, 0, -1)$$
$$p_{q}^{\mu} = xP^{\mu} + q^{\mu} \simeq (Q/2)\bar{n}^{\mu}$$
$$P^{\mu} \simeq Q/(2x_{B})n^{\mu} = Q/(2x_{B})(1, 0, 0, +1)$$

Invariant energy fraction taken by the particle

 Sum over all particles form the struck quark, no scattered lepton included

Goal: ``Backward-EEC" (or BEEC) to emphasize that this is a new definition which focuses to the contribution of the back-scattered struck quark direction Definition spherically invariant

## Numerical results – nonperturbative effects, comparison



Resummed ln(tau) distributions without (solid) and with non-perturbative factor.

$$f_{
m NP} = \exp\left(-0.106 \ b^2 - 0.84 \ln Q/Q_0 \ln b/b^*
ight)$$

Non-perturbative factor

Comparison of cos(theta) distributions between the SCET Predictions and PYTHIA simulations. The dark red and dark green bands are the NLL+NLO and N3LL+NLO. The gray band are from PYTHIA 8 simulations with the default settings for uncertainty bands.

## II. Energy Energy Energy correlators inside jets



#### spin correlations?

# I was asked can those effects be observable

Your reference is the recently completed Yellow Report

- Has physics but also CM energies and kinematics, detector coverage, luminosities

Note that the energies are very different from what you are used to at the LHC. The absolute kinematic cutoff for jets is pT = 70 GeV at the highest CM energy, probably 40 is the largest usable.

Without simulations the discussion will remain academic



•e-Print: <u>2103.05419</u> [physics.ins-det]

# Sensitivity to relatively weak signals

Charge current DIS with 10 years of EIC running uncertainties from a few % to 40%



Production of hadrons in jets and their asymmetry from sub 1% to a few % uncertainty for 10 y of running the EIC



#### Sensitivity to relatively weak signals e + p, 18 x 275 GeV Projected Luminosity 100 fb<sup>-1</sup>

charm-jet/light-jet t<sub>a</sub> shape ratio

Back to back D – Dbar correlations 10 years of EIC running uncertainties 2 % to 3%

Non spin dependent pbservables are easier to measure 1 year of EIC running uncertainties few % to 10 %

$$\tau_a = \frac{1}{p_T} \sum_{i \in J} p_T^i (\Delta R_{iJ})^{2-a}$$



#### **EEEC correlations**

If I understand correctly come at an order higher (relative to more traditional intrajet observables that we have creatively renamed substrcture)



Do I read this correctly – the color legend to be O(0.1%) effect?

Thanks to the first speakers that clarified in their talk the magnitude of the modulation O(1%) but strategies can be implemented to enhance it to ~ 10% at the partonic level

#### **EEEC correlations**

If I understand correctly come at an order higher (relative to more traditional intrajet observables that we have creatively renamed substrcture)



The authors comment that the correlation is small It is 0.1%

Thanks to the first speakers that clarified in their talk the magnitude of the modulation O(1%)

#### **EEEC correlations summary**

Theoretically very interesting

I think I. Moult, D. Neill, ... are looking at such observable at the EIC

It seems to me that the *effect it will be very difficult to measure at the EIC even with 10 years of running. If strategies are applied to enhance it – could be doabe* 

Of course the answer can only be gotten with simulation ...

Hadronization effects should be considered and will likely reduce the asymmetries

Perhaps focus on the simpler energy-energy correlator inside the jet first

### Conclusions

- Transverse energy-energy correlators TEEC to DIS promising way to study TMD physics and non-perturbative effects
- Backward energy-energy correlators in the Breit frame BEEC – to further elucidate the connection to TMD physics. Less sensitive to rapidity cuts
- EEEC are theoretically very interesting. No work yet at the EIC. The effects are very small – 1%. Likely difficult to measure but simulations needed
- Pay attention to non-perturbative effects

# Kinematics and connection to hadron production



#### For Hadron production

TMD PDF



#### For TEEC

☑ Transverse energy weighted
 ☑ Measuring vector sum in 1-dimensional
 ☑ sum over all hadrons in the final state

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_h}{d\tau} &= \sum_f \int \frac{d\xi dQ^2}{\xi Q^2} Q_f^2 H(Q,\mu) \int dk_y \int \frac{db}{2\pi} e^{-ib_y \cdot k_y} f_{flN}\left(b,\xi,\mu,\nu\right) \\ & S\left(b,\frac{n_2 \cdot n_4}{2},\mu,\nu\right) \sum_h \int z dz \ F_{hlf}\left(z,b/z,E_4,\mu,\nu\right) \delta(\tau-\tau(k_y)) \end{aligned}$$

 $\tau = \frac{\left| k_{2,y} - k_{s,y} + \frac{k_{4,y}}{\xi_4} \right|^2}{4p_T^2}$ 

Y component generated by soft and collinear radiation

### **Factorization ingredients**

Effectively  $(b_x, b_y) \rightarrow (0, b_y)$  TMD PDFs can be used

$$S_{\text{DIS}}(b) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle 0 \left| \bar{T} \left[ Y_{n_2}(0) Y_{n_4}^{\dagger}(0) \right]^{\dagger} T \left[ Y_{n_2}(0) Y_{n_4}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
  
**TEEC soft function**  $S \left( b, \frac{n_2 \cdot n_4}{2}, \mu, \nu \right) = S_{\text{TMD}} \left( L_b, L_\nu + \ln \frac{n_2 \cdot n_4}{2} \right)$  **TMD soft function**

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Jet function} \\ \sum_{N} \int_{0}^{1} dz z F_{N/q}\left(z, b_{\perp}/z, \nu\right) = \sum_{i,N} \int_{0}^{1} dz z \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \quad \hline d_{N/i}(z/\xi) \quad \hline \mathcal{C}_{iq}\left(\xi, b_{\perp}/\xi, \nu\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(b_{T}^{2}\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i,N} \int_{0}^{1} dx x \mathcal{C}_{iq}\left(x, b_{\perp}/\xi, \nu\right) \int_{0}^{1} d\xi \xi d_{N/i}(\xi) \quad \hline \mathcal{O}\left(b_{T}^{2}\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{2}\right) \\ \text{Momentum conversvation} \end{array}$$

The jet function is the second Mellin-moment of the matching coefficients  $J^{q}(b_{\perp},\mu,\nu) = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{1} dx x \mathscr{C}_{iq}(x,b_{\perp}/x,\mu,\nu)$ 

#### **NNLO** event shapes





with non-perturbative corrections

- It is not always to be true that NLO will improve the theoretical uncertainties
- NNLO corrections reduce the uncertainties
- Large nonperturbative corrections for most of event shape variables

Gehrmann, Huss, Mo, Niehues, 2019

## BEEC Definition, EFT modes, factorization



## Numerical results – FO calculations and resummation



#### Fixed order full QCD cone with NLOJET++

Fixed-order ln(tau) distributions in z -> 1 limit. The solid lines represent the singular distribution prediction by SCET. The dashed lines are the fixed order QCD results. The dash-dotted lines are power corrections.



Resummed In(tau) \$ distributions for EEC. The dark red, dark blue, and dark green bands correspond NLL, NNLL, and NNNLL distribution. The dot-dashed lines are the LO non-singular distributions (dark red) and the absolute value of NLO nonsingular ones (dark green)