Snowmass 2021 White Paper: Charged lepton flavor violation in the tau sector

Swagato Banerjee[1†], Vincenzo Cirigliano[2,3‡], Mogens Dam[4], Abhay Deshpande[5,6,7], Luca Fiorini[8], Kaori Fuyuto[2], Ciprian Gal[5,9], Tomáš Husek[10], Emanuele Mereghetti[2], Kevin Monsálvez-Pozo[8], Haiping Peng[11], Francesco Polci[12], Jorge Portolés[8], Armine Rostomyan[13], Michel Hernández Villanueva[13], Bin Yan[2], Jinlong Zhang[14], Xiaorong Zhou[11]

[1] University of Louisville, Louisville KY 40292
[2] Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545
[3] Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195-1550
[4] Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark
[5] Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science, Stony Brook University, NY 11764
[6] Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800
[7] Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000
[8] Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Universidad de Valencia – CSIC, Valencia, Spain
[9] Mississippi State University, MS 39762
[10] Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
[11] University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
[12] LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
[13] Deutsches Elektronen–Synchrotron, Hamburg 22607, Germany
[14] Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong, China

Snowmass Rare Processes and Precision Measurements Frontier Spring Meeting

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA (May 16 - May 19, 2022)

Search for lepton number/flavor violation in τ decays

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\gamma)_{\text{[Lee-Shrock, Phys. Rev. D \textbf{16}, 1444 (1977)]}}$$

$$= \frac{3\alpha}{128\pi}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{23}^2}{M_W^2}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta_{\text{mix}}\,\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\tau)$$

With $\Delta \sim 10^{-3}\,\text{eV}^2$, $M_W \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{11})\,\text{eV}$

$\approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-54})\,(\theta_{\text{mix}} : \text{max})$

many orders below experimental sensitivity!

LNV/LFV is NOT forbidden by any continuous symmetry
⇒ most New Physics (NP) models naturally include such processes

Any observation of LNV/LFV
⇒ unambiguous signature of NP

- Some models predict LFV up to existing experimental bounds
- eg. SUSY models: non-diagonal slepton mass matrix ⇒ LFV
- Normal (Inverted) hierarchy for slepton ⇒ $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ ($\tau \to e\gamma$)

New Physics expectations

● Mass dependent couplings enhance tau LFV w.r.t. lighter leptons

A. Crivellin et. al.
Phys. Rev. D 99, 035004 (2019)

Tau sector complementary to muons in certain parameter spaces of New Physics
Current limit on $\mathscr{B}(\mu \to e\gamma)$ does not forbid $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to \ell\gamma)$ above 10^{-9}

B. Grinstein, et. al. Nucl.Phys.B763, 35 (2007)

● Neutrinoless 2 and 3 body τ decays have different sensitivity

	$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \ell\gamma)$	$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \ell\ell\ell)$
mSUGRA+seesaw (EPJC14(2000)319, PRD66(2002)115013)	10^{-8}	10^{-9}
SUSY SO(10) (NPB649(2003)189, PRD68(2003)033012)	10^{-8}	10^{-10}
SUSY Higgs (PLB549(2002)159, PLB566(2003)217)	10^{-10}	10^{-8}
Non-Universal Z′ (PLB547(2002)252)	10^{-9}	10^{-8}
Heavy Majorana ν_{R} (PRD66(2002)034008)	10^{-9}	10^{-10}

S. Banerjee
University of Victoria
British Columbia · Canada

New Physics illustrations

Tree level :

Compositeness

Leptoquarks

Heavy gauge bosons

## Loop induced :

**Supersymmetry**

Heavy neutrinos

Extended Higgs models

Current and future experiments

Belle II at SuperKEKB


ATLAS, CMS, LHCb at LHC

STCF proposal at China/Novosibirsk


EIC at Brookhaven

FCC-ee proposal – CERN

Tentative timeline

About fifty τ decay modes and decays with τ in the final state

- **Lepton flavor violation (charge conjugate modes implied)**
  - τ → e/μ γ (**Belle II**, **STCF, FCC-ee**)
  - τ → e/μ (scalar/pseudoscalar/vector mesons) (**Belle II**)
  - τ → e e e (**Belle II**)
  - τ → μ μ μ (**Belle II, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, STCF, FCC-ee**)
  - τ → e μ μ, μ e e (**Belle II**)
  - τ → e/μ h h (non-resonant final states with h=π/K) (**Belle II, STCF**)
  - H → e τ, μ τ (**ATLAS, CMS**)
  - Z(Z') → e τ, μ τ (**ATLAS, CMS**)
  - e → τ transitions (**EIC**)

- **Lepton number violation**
  - τ⁻ → e⁺ h⁻ h⁻ (non-resonant final states with h=π/K) (**Belle II**)
  - τ⁻ → μ⁺ h⁻ h⁻ (non-resonant final states with h=π/K) (**Belle II**)

- **Baryon number violation**
  - τ⁻ → Λ π⁻, Λ̄ π⁻ (**Belle II**)
  - τ⁻ → p̄ μ⁺ μ⁻, p μ⁻ μ⁻ (**Belle II, LHCb**)

Sensitivity estimates

$$B_{\mathrm{UL}}^{90} = N_{\mathrm{UL}}^{90}/(N_\tau \times \varepsilon)$$

- ε: *high statistics signal MC simulated for different Data-taking periods*

ε = **Trigger . Reco . Topology . PID . Cuts . Signal–Box**

90%	**70%**	**70%**	**50%**	**50%**	**50%**

Cumulative:

90%	**63%**	**44%**	**22%**	**11%**	**~5%**

	$\sqrt{s}$	Luminosity (L)	$N_\tau = 2L\sigma$	
Belle II	10.58 GeV	50 ab⁻¹	9.2×10^{10}	
HL-LHC	14 TeV	3 ab⁻¹	$\mathcal{O}(10^{15})$	(Efficiency much lower)
STCF	2-7 GeV	1 ab⁻¹	7.0×10^{9}	
FCC-ee	91.2 GeV	150 ab⁻¹	3.4×10^{11}	

S. Banerjee
University of Victoria
British Columbia · Canada
Swagato Banerjee
UofL

Current status of LFV limits

$\tau \to \mu\mu\mu$ at electron-positron annihilations

- Known initial conditions (beam energy constraint)
- Clean environment (less backgrounds)

Two independent variables:

$$M_\tau = \sqrt{E_{\mu\mu\mu}^2 - P_{\mu\mu\mu}^2}$$

$$\Delta E = E_{\mu\mu\mu}^{CMS} - E_{\text{beam}}^{CMS}$$

➡ ΔE close to 0 for signal

➡ Mass of tau daughters close to τ mass

Belle II 2020 (preliminary)
Simulation: 10000 events

Higher signal efficiency is foreseen at Belle II than at Belle or BaBar

- **higher trigger efficiencies**
- **improved vertexing detectors**
- **upgraded tracking /calorimetry**
- **momentum dependent particle identification optimizations**

Expected Belle II sensitivity: $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to \mu\mu\mu) < 3.6 \times 10^{-10}$ **with 50ab^{-1}**

Projected limits at Belle II

	Background limited search	Background free search
N_{UL}^{90}	$\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$	2.44 [Feldman $-$ Cousins for $N_{obs} = 0$]
B_{UL}^{90}	$\propto 1/\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$	$\propto 1/\mathcal{L}$

Belle II to probe LFV in several channels $\simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ with 50 ab^{-1}

- Further improvements are expected with polarized beams
- With beam polarization, helicity distributions can suppress backgrounds
- Optimization study shows at least 10% improvement in $\tau \to \ell\gamma$ sensitivity

https://arxiv.org/pdf/0810.1312.pdf

**Intruiging aspect of having the polarization is the possibility to determine the helicity structure of the LFV coupling in $\tau \to \mu\mu\mu$ from Dalitz plots.**

Super Tau-Charm Facility

"Physics Potential of a Super tau-Charm Facility" (RF/SNOWMASS21-RF7_RF1_STCF-013.pdf)

- Peaking luminosity $>0.5\times10^{35}$ cm^{-2}s^{-1} at 4 GeV
- Energy range E_{cm} = 2-7 GeV
- Potential to increase luminosity and realize beam polarization
- A nature extension and a viable option for China accelerator project in the post BEPCII/BESIII era

X. Zhou

E_{cm}(GeV)	σ (nb)
3.500	0
3.680	2.444
3.690	2.516
4.174	3.565
4.199	3.566
4.250	3.561
11.000	0.891

At 4.26 GeV, number of tau pairs per year: $N_{\tau\tau}$~ 1.0 ab^{-1} ×3.5 nb = 3.5×10^9

$\tau \to \gamma\mu$

Background

1.$\pi+\mu-\mu-\mu-$

Background

➤ STCF with 1ab^{-1}:

$$\mathscr{B}^{90}_{UL}(\tau\to\gamma\mu) < \frac{N^{90}_{UL}}{2\varepsilon N_{\tau\tau}} \sim 1.2\times10^{-8}$$

➤ STCF with 1ab^{-1}:

$$\mathscr{B}^{90}_{UL}(\tau\to\mu\mu\mu) < \frac{N^{90}_{UL}}{2\varepsilon N_{\tau\tau}} \sim 1.4\times10^{-9}$$

FCC-ee

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$$

2σ contours

Signal events

$E_{\mu\gamma} - E_{beam}$ [GeV]

$m_{\mu\gamma}$ [GeV]

◆ **Main background:** Radiative events (IRS+FSR), $e^+e^- \to \tau^+\tau^-\gamma$

❑ $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ decay faked by combination of γ from ISR/FSR and μ from $\tau \to \mu\nu\bar{\nu}$

Smear with assumed FCC-ee detector resolutions (ILC-like detector):
- Muon momentum [GeV]
  $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 2\times10^{-5}\times p_T \oplus 1\times10^{-3}$
- Photon ECAL energy [GeV]
  $\sigma(E)/E = 0.165/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.010/E \oplus 0.011$
- Photon ECAL spatial [mm]
  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = (6/E \oplus 2)$ mm

$\sigma(m_{\gamma\mu}) = 26$ MeV; $\sigma(E_{\gamma\mu}) = 850$ MeV

❑ From study (assuming 25% signal & background efficiency), projected BR sensitivity   **2 x 10⁻⁹**

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\mu\mu)$$

❑ Expect this search to have *very low* background, even with FCC-ee like statistics

❑ Should be able to have sensitivity down to BRs of $\lesssim 10^{-10}$

$\tau \to \mu\mu\mu$ at LHC

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-032

CMS-TDR-016

Scenario	$\mathcal{A} \times \epsilon$ [%]	N_{bkg}^{exp}	90% CL UL on BR($\tau \to 3\mu$) [10^{-9}]
High background	0.88	507.05	6.40
Medium background	0.88	152.12	2.31
Low background	0.88	50.71	1.03

	Category 1	Category 2
Number of background events	2.4×10^6	2.6×10^6
Number of signal events	4580	3640
Trimuon mass resolution	18 MeV	31 MeV
$B(\tau \to 3\mu)$ limit per event category	4.3×10^{-9}	7.0×10^{-9}
$B(\tau \to 3\mu)$ 90%C.L. limit	3.7×10^{-9}	

LHCb-PUB-2018-009

The cross-section is five orders of magnitude larger than at Belle II. This compensates for the higher background levels and lower integrated luminosity. As pointed out in [76], during the HL-LHC era, the LHCb Upgrade II detector will allow to collect 300 fb^{-1}. With this large data sample, LHCb will be able to probe the branching ratio down to $O(10^{-9})$, and either independently confirm any Belle II discovery or significantly improve the limit.

$\sqrt{s}$ between 20 GeV (5 GeV electron on 41 GeV protons) and 140 GeV (18 GeV electron on 275 GeV protons)

Deshpande, Huang, Kumar Zhang, Zhao

Potential to improve current sensitivity by two orders of magnitude

Model-independent probes of new physics at scale (Λ) encoded as Wilson coefficients (C$_n$) via EFT approach


e-Print: 2203.14919 [hep-ph]

Model-independent probes of new physics at scale (Λ) encoded as Wilson coefficients (C_n) via EFT approach

WC	Operator	WC	Operator
$C_{LQ}^{(1)}$	$\left(\bar{L}_p \gamma_\mu L_r\right)\left(\bar{Q}_s \gamma^\mu Q_t\right)$	$C_{e\varphi}$	$\left(\varphi^\dagger \varphi\right)\left(\bar{L}_p e_r \varphi\right)$
$C_{LQ}^{(3)}$	$\left(\bar{L}_p \gamma_\mu \sigma^I L_r\right)\left(\bar{Q}_s \gamma^\mu \sigma^I Q_t\right)$	$C_{\varphi e}$	$\left(\varphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \varphi\right)\left(e_p \gamma^\mu e_r\right)$
C_{eu}	$\left(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r\right)\left(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t\right)$	$C_{\varphi L}^{(1)}$	$\left(\varphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \varphi\right)\left(\bar{L}_p \gamma^\mu L_r\right)$
C_{ed}	$\left(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r\right)\left(\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t\right)$	$C_{\varphi L}^{(3)}$	$\left(\varphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{I\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\bar{L}_p \sigma_I \gamma^\mu L_r\right)$
C_{Lu}	$\left(\bar{L}_p \gamma_\mu L_r\right)\left(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t\right)$	C_{eW}	$\left(\bar{L}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r\right) \sigma_I \varphi W_{\mu\nu}^I$
C_{Ld}	$\left(\bar{L}_p \gamma_\mu L_r\right)\left(\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t\right)$	C_{eB}	$\left(\bar{L}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r\right) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$
C_{Qe}	$\left(\bar{Q}_p \gamma_\mu Q_r\right)\left(\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t\right)$		
C_{LedQ}	$\left(\bar{L}_p^j e_r\right)\left(\bar{d}_s Q_t^j\right)$		
$C_{LeQu}^{(1)}$	$\left(\bar{L}_p^j e_r\right) \varepsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}_s^k u_t\right)$		
$C_{LeQu}^{(3)}$	$\left(\bar{L}_p^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_r\right) \varepsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}_s^k \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_t\right)$		

e-Print: 2203.14919 [hep-ph]

Summary and outlook

$\tau^- \to$	Observed Limits			Expected Limits		
	Experiment	Luminosity	UL (obs)	Experiment	Luminosity	UL (exp)
$\mu^- \gamma$	Belle [93]	988 fb^{-1}	4.2×10^{-8}	Belle II [54]	50 ab^{-1}	6.9×10^{-9}
	BaBar [83]	516 fb^{-1}	4.4×10^{-8}			
				STCF [74]	1 ab^{-1}	1.8×10^{-8}
				FCC-ee [87, 91]	150 ab^{-1}	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$
$\mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	Belle [102]	782 fb^{-1}	2.1×10^{-8}	Belle II [54]	50 ab^{-1}	3.6×10^{-10}
	BaBar [103]	468 fb^{-1}	3.3×10^{-8}			
	LHCb [61]	3 fb^{-1}	4.6×10^{-8}	LHCb [76]	300 fb^{-1}	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$
	CMS [67]	33 fb^{-1}	8.0×10^{-8}	CMS [77]	3 ab^{-1}	3.7×10^{-9}
	ATLAS [68]	20 fb^{-1}	3.8×10^{-7}	ATLAS [78]	3 ab^{-1}	1.0×10^{-9}
				STCF [74]	1 ab^{-1}	1.4×10^{-9}
				FCC-ee [87, 91]	150 ab^{-1}	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$

- **Observation of LFV in the charged lepton sector would completely change our understanding of physics and herald a new period of discoveries in particle physics. Synergies between different experiments compliment discovery potential/confirmation.**

- **Now is a very interesting era in the searches for LFV in decays of the tau lepton, as the current limits will improve by an order of magnitude down to a few parts in 10^{-10} to 10^{-9} at the Belle II experiment. Polarized beams can further improve the sensitivity.**

- **Similar sensitivities will be probed at ATLAS, CMS & LHCb with high luminosity upgrade.**

- **Proposed experiments at STCF, EIC & FCC-ee will continue searches for LFV in the tau sector, also with the possibility of beam polarization.**