



Neutrino interactions in FLUKA: NUNDIS

M. Antonello, G. Battistoni, A. Ferrari, M. Lantz, P. Sala, G. Smirnov

Neutrinos in FLUKA

- Generators of neutrino-nucleon interactions:
 - QuasiElastic

Acta Phys.Polon. B40 (2009) 2491-2505 CERN-Proceedings-2010-001 pp.387-394.

- Resonance
- DIS
- Embedded in FLUKA nuclear models for Initial State and Final State effects
- Only for Argon: absorption of few-MeV (solar) neutrinos on whole nucleus
- Elastic scattering on electrons to be refreshed
- Products of the neutrino interactions can be directly transported in the detector (or other) materials
- Used for all ICARUS simulations/publications

Web Site: <u>http://www.fluka.org</u>

Quasi Elastic and Resonant

QE

- Following Llewellyn Smith formulation
- $M_A = 1.03$, $M_V = 0.84$
- Lepton masses accounted for

Resonance production

- From Rein-Sehgal formulation
- Keep only Δ production
- No non-resonant background term, assuming that the non-resonant contribution comes from NunDIS
- TRANSITION from RES to DIS: linear decrease of both σ as a function of W

DIS (NUNDIS)

FLUKA hadronization and nuclear interactions work well independently of primary interaction vertex





12/6/2021



www.fluka.org

Quark dependence q:(Q²,x) determined from Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)



NUNDIS WORKS WITH THESE PDFs

DEFAULT OPTION

In the NLO (DIS) version M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Eur. Phys. J. C5 (1998) 461 With extrapolation to $Q^2 = 0$ as in M. Bertini et al. 1996

Comparison with data on total cross section



7

E_v (GeV)

At higher energies

IceCube cross section data, Muon neutrino and antineutrino, "weighted combination"? <u>arXiv:1711.08119</u>, Nature 51,596 (2017) FLUKA Blue and green: "standard model predictions"





Hadronization

Implementation FLUKA-native (evolution of old BAMJET)

- Assumes chain universality
- Fragmentation functions from hard processes and e+e- scattering
- Transverse momentum from uncertainty considerations
- Mass effects at low energies (change fragmentation function to account for the need to create real hadrons)
- Chains generated at very low energy → create single resonances
- Chains generated at low energy \rightarrow "phase space explosion" constrained in p_T , including baryons, mesons, resonances.

The same functions and parameters for all reactions and energies

- Chains from v DIS :
 - One quark-diquark chain if interaction on valence quark
 - One guark-diguark plus one g-gbar chain if int on sea guark

Effect of low \sqrt{s} chain treatment (see later for v)



Single pion production

NDS 120, 211 (2014)

New low-mass chain treatment of fragmentation -> improvements in the RES-DIS transition



Charm production in neutrino interactions

- Ratio of the charm to total cross sections
- Results of NUNDIS simulation with M_c = 1.35 GeV (curves) and experimental data: E531 (open circles) and CHORUS-2011 (filled squares).



Nuclear interactions in FLUKA: the PEANUT model



13

Nucleon Fermi Motion in FLUKA

• Fermi gas model: Nucleons = Non-interacting Constrained Fermions Momentum distribution $\propto \frac{dN}{dk} = \frac{|k|^2}{2\pi^2}$ r

for k up to a (local) Fermi momentum $k_F(r)$ given by $k_F(r) = \left[3\pi^2 \rho_N(r)\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$

- Momentum smearing according to uncertainty principle assuming a position uncertainty = $\sqrt{2}$ fm
- Nuclear density given by symmetrized Woods-Saxon for A>16 and by a harmonic oscillator shell model for light isotopes
- Proton and neutron densities are different
- Nucleons are bound in the nuclear well

Nucleon levels inside the nuclear potential: schematic drawing



> Blue: neutron> Red: proton

p/n are grouped on energy levels spaced according to the shell model (blue lines, shown for neutrons)
 Depending on the level, the maximum radius for the corresponding nucleon is less or equal to the nuclear radius
 The potential well depth depends on the nucleon energy (not yet implemented for neutrino and electron interactions)
 Hit nucleon must go above Fermi level (Pauli blocking), can stay below separation energy.

- While exiting from the nucleus, the nucleon moves in the nuclear potential, changing kinetic energy and direction
- □ The residual nucleus is often left in an excited state → particle emission
- $\Box Changing A or Z \rightarrow Q value$

Pauli + Q value example



- v_{μ} CC interactions on Ar : ratio of neutrino/antineutrino cross section vs neutrino energy
- Antineutrino: lower q² → more sensitivity to Pauli blocking and reaction Q value (few-MeV more unfavorable in case of anti-nu)

Momentum smearing on Fermi: example



- v_{μ} CC interactions on Ar. Neutrino energy spectrum as in DUNE (~3GeV)
- Plot: total transverse momentum (proton + muon) from QE interactions at the primary interaction, before ANY final state effect.
- With (black) and without fermi momentum smearing

Fermi momentum: smeared by FSI



- v_{μ} CC interactions on Ar. Neutrino energy spectrum as in DUNE (~3GeV)
- Plot: total transverse momentum (proton + muon)
- QE interactions reconstructed as QE interactions (1p, 1µ, no thresholds) outside the nucleus.
- With and without smearing, with and without reinteractions (effects of the nuclear binding/potential are always in)





a special FSI : Formation zone



Decrease of the reinteraction probability, ~proportional to particle momentum

Applied also to DIS neutrino interactions and, in an analogue way, to QE neutrino interactions

Effect of Formation zone etc.

- DUNE spectrum, numu-CC on Ar. Pion (left) and proton (right) momentum spectra in various conditions, cut at 2 GeV/c.
- Note the effect of DIS treatment on pions, of reinteractions on both..in opposite way
- Formation zone has a small effect at these energies



Effect of Formation zone etc., 1TeV

- 1TeV neutrinos, numu-CC on Ar, spectra cut at 20 GeV/c
- Here the formation zone has a huge effect







Distribution of total deposited energy in the T600 detector CNGS numuCC events (~20 GeV Ev peak)

Same reconstruction in MC and Data Neutrino fluxes from FLUKA cngs simulations

Absolute agreement on neutrino rate within 6%

Eur. Phys. J. C (2013) 73:2345 Phys. Lett. B (2014)



The 501 LAr TPC in the WANF neutrino beam(1997)



Trigger and μ reconstruction: NOMAD Event selection: "GOLDEN sample" = 1 μ and 1 proton >40MeV fully contained Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 112001





Collection wires. (128 wires: 32 cm.)

Induction wires. (128 wires: 32 cm.)

CNGS data



Distribution of total deposited energy in the T600 detector CNGS nuNC

Same reconstruction in MC and Data Neutrino fluxes from FLUKA cngs simulations

Only events with Edep > 500 Mev

Nuclear cascade in lowenergy nuclear breakup

Alexei Larionov

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Gießen, D-35392 Gießen, Germany

In collaboration with

Mark Strikman

Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

CFNS Adhoc Workshop: Target Fragmentation Physics with EIC, 28-30.09.2020

The neutron spectrum contains both the preequibrium part (cascade particles) and the equilibrium part from the decay of the excited residual nucleus.



- almost all neutrons below 1 MeV are statistically evaporated;

- sensitivity to the model of hadron formation for $E_{p} > 5$ MeV;

 E665 data for lead target can be only described with very strong restriction on the FSI of hadrons (p_{cut}=1 GeV/c) in agreement with earlier calculations
 M. Strikman, M.G. Tverskoy, M.B. Zhalov, PLB 459, 37 (1999)

AL, M. Strikman, PRC 101, 014617 (2020), arXiv:1812.08231

13/21

NUNDIS 2015: kinematics

• Considered kinematical limits for the *PDF* available from GRV94, GRV98, and BBS analyses.

	Required	GRV94		GRV98		BBS	
Variable		Default	Tested	Default	Tested	Default	Tested
$E_{min}~{ m (GeV)}$		0.050			2		
$E_{max}~{ m (GeV)}$	$\geq \! 10^4$	$70 \cdot 10^{3}$			10^5		
$oldsymbol{Q}^2_{min}~({ m GeV^2})$	$\leq \! 5.5 \cdot 10^{-12}$	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	2	0.8
$oldsymbol{Q}^2_{max}~({ m GeV^2})$	$\geq 1.9 \cdot 10^4$	10^6	10^9	10^{6}	10^9	10^4	$2\cdot 10^4$
x_{min}	$\leq 1.4 \cdot 10^{-11}$	10^{-5}	10^{-30}	10^{-9}	10^{-30}	10^{-4}	10^{-30}
x_{max}	1	0.99999	0.99999	1	1	1	1

More on pdfs

Three versions of pdf from the GRV98 analysis are included as options for evaluating nucleon structure functions

- 1. Leading order analyses (LO)
- 2. Next to leading order analyses (NLO MS-bar)
- 3. Next to leading order analyses (NLO DIS)

An interesting feature of the GRV98 analysis is a low threshold for the transferred , 4-momentum, $Q^2 = 0.8$ GeV²

NLO (DIS) is chosen as a default option



M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Eur. Phys. J. C5 (1998) 461

Nucleon correlation function:

Correlation function: it can be computed within the Fermi-gas model

Due to the anti-symmetrization of the fermion's wave function, given a nucleon in a position \vec{r} in a nucleus with density ρ_0 , the probability of finding another like nucleon in a position \vec{r} ' is decreased for small values of the distance $d = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$ by a factor

$$g(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{3}{x^2} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} - \cos x \right) \right]^2$$

where $x = K_F d$, and the factor 1/2 in front of the parenthesis accounts for the two possible spin orientations.

Nucleon hard core effects are also taken into account, forbidding to "find" a nucleon of the same or different type at less than 1-1.5 fm distance. This check is applied at every possible re-interaction, checking against all nucleons already involved in previous interactions

First Demonstration of LArTPC MeV-Scale Physics in ArgoNeuT

Ivan Lepetic APS_April2018

For the ArgoNeuT Collaboration



Low-energy gammas produced by neutrino-nucleus interactions in ArgoNeuT Photons from neutrino-produced nuclear de-excitation and inelastic neutron scattering e.g. ν_{μ} + 40Ar $\rightarrow \mu$ - + p + 39Ar* \rightarrow 39Ar + γ

Data and FLUKA

Number of Clusters in an Event





Agreement is far worse if either de-excitation or neutron produced gammas are removed.

12/6/2021

Effect of formation zone on residuals

Experimental and computed residual nuclei mass distribution Ag(p,x)X at 300 GeV (top) Au(p,x)X at 800 GeV (bottom) Data from:

Phys. Rev. C19 2388 (1979) and Nucl. Phys. A543, 703 (1992)

(The heavy fragment evaporation model is key for FLUKA predictions for A< 30)

Ag with and without form.zone: $\langle \pi \rangle = 21.1, \langle E_{\pi} \rangle = 7.3 \text{ GeV}$ $\langle \pi \rangle = 49.7, \langle E_{\pi} \rangle = 3.4 \text{ GeV}$ Au with and without form.zone: $\langle \pi \rangle = 30.1, \langle E_{\pi} \rangle = 12.5 \text{ GeV}$ $\langle \pi \rangle = 96.0, \langle E_{\pi} \rangle = 4.6 \text{ GeV}$



Example of Fermi distribution



Fermi momentum distribution as "seen" by interacting neutrinos on lead.

Vertical lines: maximum Fermi momentum according to un-smeared distribution

Positive kaons as a probe of Fermi motion



K^+ and K^0

- No low mass S=1 baryons
 - > weak K⁺N interaction
 - > Only elastic and charge exchange up to ≈ 800 MeV/c
 K⁺ Pb → K⁺ Pb 705 MeV/c
 Residual excitation spectrum
 With K⁺' at 24⁰ (green) at 43⁰ (blue)
 Histogram : FLUKA
 Dots : data (Phys. Rev. C51,669 (1995))

On free nucleon: recoil at 43 MeV or 117 MeV

O-deg tail is elastic on nucleus, not included in sim

Electron scattering

- Quasi-Elastic on nucleon (+ all nuclear)
- Inelastic via virtual photon exchange, recently improved (E1+E2)



First checks with electrons

- Quasi-Elastic scattering of electrons on Lead, outgoing electron spectrum at 60°
- Inelastic tail not included in simulation
- To be improved wit the inclusion of energydependent nuclear well, as already there for nucleon-induced reactions
- Much more tests needed





Web Site: <u>http://www.fluka.org</u>

Extrapolation from
$$Q^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$$
 x=
to $Q^2 = 0$
Solid lines: M. Bertini et al. 1996 (Default in NUNDIS)
 $F_2(x, Q^2) = A[1 + \epsilon \ln(Q^2(1/x - 1) + M^2)] \ln(1 + Q^2/(Q^2 + a^2))$.
Dashed lines: Donnachie-Landshoff 1994
 $F_2(x, Q^2) \sim Ax^{-0.0808} \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + a}\right)^{1.0808} + Bx^{0.4525} \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + b}\right)^{0.5475}$
data points from NMC Collab., M. Arneodo et al., Nucl. Phys. B 483 (1997) 3-43
Data/cuves scaled for clarity, factors from 1 to 128
12/6/2021

12/6/2021



Effect of formation zone, neutrino int.

Total hadron multiplicity

Charged hadron spectra

12/6/2021