Fermilab



Horn B&C alignment and beam on target monitoring

Žarko Pavlović

12/15/2021

Serantiab () Energy sig

Requirements

- Need to keep beam systematics constrained to achieve DUNE physics goals
- Well controlled and stable beam
 - Align beamline elements within tolerances
 - Steer beam on target
- Both systems discussed here heavily rely on abundant experience with NuMI

Quantity	1-sigma Shift	Notes	In TDR
Horn A Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	Y
_		added in quadrature	
Horn A Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Horn B Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	Y
		added in quadrature	
Horn B Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Horn C Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature	
Horn C Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Target Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature	
Target Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Horn A Longitudinal Displacement	$2 \mathrm{mm}$		N
Horn B Longitudinal Displacement	3 mm		N
Horn C Longitudinal Displacement	3 mm		N
Proton Beam Transverse Position	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately;	Y
		added in quadrature	
Proton Beam Radius	10%	Updated from 0.1 mm for NuMI	Y
Proton angle on target	70μ rad	X and Y shifted separately;	Y
		added in quadrature	
Decay Pipe Radius	0.1 m		Y
Horn Currents	1%	Changed in all three horns	Y
		simultaneously	
Baffle Scraping	0.25%	To Be Updated	N
Bafflet Scraping	0.25%	To Be Updated	N
Target Density	2%		Y
Horn Water Layer Thickness	0.5 mm	Changed in all three horns simultaneously	Y
Upstream Target Degradation		-	N
# Protons on Target	2%		Y
Near Detector Position			N
Far Detector Position			N
Field in Horn Necks			N
Decay Pipe Position	20 mm		N

Table 1: Sources of alignment and focusing uncertainties in the neutrino fluxes at DUNE. Sources that were considered in physics studies in the TDR are marked with a 'Y' in the 'In TDR' column.

DUNE-DocDB-19942



74 Fermilab (6) ENERGY stie

Requirements

- Need to keep beam systematics constrained to achieve DUNE physics goals
- Well controlled and stable beam
 - Align beamline elements
 within tolerances
 - Steer beam on target
- Both systems discussed here heavily rely on abundant experience with NuMI

Quantity	1-sigma Shift	Notes	In TDR
Horn A Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	Y
		added in quadrature	
Horn A Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
Horn B Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately	Y
Hom B Handverse Displacement	0.0	added in quadrature	1
Horn B Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately.	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Horn C Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
_		added in quadrature	
Horn C Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Target Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	A and Y shifted separately,	IN
Townet There are an Tilt	0.5	added in quadrature	N
larget Iransverse 111t	0.5 mm	A and Y shifted separately,	IN
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		different directions	
Horn A Longitudinal Displacement	2 mm	different directions	N
Horn B Longitudinal Displacement	3 mm		N
Horn C Longitudinal Displacement	3 mm		N
Proton Beam Transverse Position	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately;	Y
		added in quadrature	
Froton Beam Radius	10%	Opdated from 0.1 mm for NuMI	I
Proton angle on target	70μ rad	X and Y shifted separately;	Y
		added in quadrature	
Decay Pipe Radius	0.1 m		Y
Horn Currents	1%	Changed in all three horns	Y
Doffe Comping	0.95%	To Do Undeted	N
Bafflet Seraping	0.25%	To Be Updated	
Target Density	0.25%	To be Opdated	
Horn Water Laver Thickness	0.5 mm	Changed in all three horns	
Hom water Layer Thickness	0.5 mm	simultaneously	
Upstream Target Degradation	~~~		N
# Protons on Target	2%		
Near Detector Position			
Far Detector Position			
Field in Horn Necks	20		
Decay Pipe Position	20 mm		

Table 1: Sources of alignment and focusing uncertainties in the neutrino fluxes at DUNE. Sources that were considered in physics studies in the TDR are marked with a 'Y' in the 'In TDR' column.

DUNE-DocDB-19942



Beam based alignment

- Horn B & C aligned as part of the beam based alignment
- Scan beam across the known physical features to locate each element
- Use cross hairs at upstream and downstream ends of horns B & C
- Beam loss monitor to detect beam scatter from cross hairs

NuMI Horn



BLM

Cross Hair



\$Fermilab 🔇

NuMI experience

- Cross hair downstream end of Horn 1, and both upstream and downstream end of Horn 2
- Aluminum bars used as cross hairs 1mm wide, and 6mm or 18mm deep along the beam axis
- Low intensity beam <1e12PPP, with $\sigma_{x,y}$ ~1mm
- Alignment within 0.5mm
- Some lessons learned
 - Horn 2 upstream cross hair (18mm) giving much bigger signal then downstream (6mm), making it hard to see signal from downstream cross hair
 - Hard to find short horizontal nubs
 - Overlapping cross hairs (due to limited space) harder to locate





LBNF

- Engineering document describing Horn B&C cross hairs, stresses, deformations, and Finite Element Analysis
 C. Crowley DUNE-DocDB-23108
- All cross hairs 1mm wide, 18mm along beam

	Horizontal offset (mm)	Vertical offset (mm)	Horizontal nub length (mm)
Horn B upstream	-18	18	13.5
Horn B downstream	18	-18	13.5
Horn C upstream	-9	9	4.5
Horn C downstream	9	9	4.5







Beam Loss Monitors - NuMI

- Fermilab BLMs adapted for NuMI alignment use
- Support structure
 - 2.7m long, 7cm diameter aluminum cylinder
 - Carries radiation-hard signal, HV, and ground wiring
 - Lower end has a cup to hold BLM
 - Upper end has support structure
- Can be inserted or pulled out of the beam
- Electronics setup to give 1V per 10⁷ particles (expectation from MC was few 10⁷ per 10¹² protons (90% of signal current shunted to ground)





🖩 Fermilab

Beam Loss Monitor placement

- Preliminary discussions where to install the BLMs
- Ideally have BLM following each cross hair
- Limited space mid horn, and needs to be outside horn envelope
- More space downstream
- Note that it is not necessary to know precise location of BLMs, just looking for relative change in signal



🖙 Fermiab 🛽

Simulation studies

- Using g4lbnf with added cross hair geometry and particle tracing mid-horn, and planes downstream of the horns B&C
- Scan beam from -20 to 20mm along x(y) axis
- Simulation predicts of the order of 10⁷ particles/10¹² protons per pulse (lower for mid horn positions)
- Need to optimize location, xhair geometry to get adequate signal





Simulation studies - radial position

- Radially more signal closer to axis, but preferably avoid bulk of the beam during normal running (if monitors stay inserted)
- 95% of pions contained within the r<33cm after horn B, and r<43cm after horn C





Simulation studies - radial position

- Radially more signal closer to axis, but preferably avoid bulk of the beam during normal running (if monitors stay inserted)
- 95% of pions contained within the r<33cm after horn B, and r<43cm after horn C
- Signal falling off roughly as 1/r²
- Signal over background remains fairly flat





Simulation studies - longitudinal position

- Peak signal vs the downstream plane position relatively flat
- Only concern far off-axis if BLM less than 1m downstream of the cross hair





Simulation studies

- Current design using Beryllium for horn B upstream cross hair
- From simulation expect ~20% lower signal



- Signal proportional to cross hair thickness (along beamline)
 - 27 vs 18mm cross hairs -15-20% increase in signal



74 Fermilab (6) Energy sie

Requirements

- Need to keep beam systematics constrained to achieve DUNE physics goals
- Well controlled and stable beam
 - Align beamline elements within tolerances
 - Steer beam on target

Quantity	1-sigma Shift	Notes	In TDR
Horn A Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	Y
		added in quadrature	
Horn A Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
Horn B Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately.	Y
		added in quadrature	_
Horn B Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
		different directions	
Horn C Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
	0.5	added in quadrature	N
Horn C Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	A and Y shifted separately,	IN
		added in quadrature, upstream	
		different directions	
Target Transverse Displacement	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	IN
с		added in quadrature	
Target Transverse Tilt	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately,	N
		added in quadrature; upstream	
		and downstream ends shifted in	
	0	different directions	N. 1
Horn A Longitudinal Displacement	2 mm		
Horn C Longitudinal Displacement	3 mm		N
Proton Beam Transverse Position	0.5 mm	X and Y shifted separately:	Y
	010 1111	added in quadrature	-
Proton Beam Radius	10%	Opdated from 0.1 mm for NulVII	1
Proton angle on target	70μ rad	X and Y shifted separately;	Y
		added in quadrature	
Decay Pipe Radius	0.1 m		Y
Horn Currents	1%	Changed in all three horns	Y
Baffle Scraping	0.25%	To Be Undeted	N
Bafflet Scraping	0.25%	To Be Updated	N
Target Density	2%	To De optition	Y
Horn Water Layer Thickness	0.5 mm	Changed in all three horns	Y
		simultaneously	
Upstream Target Degradation		-	N
# Protons on Target	2%		Y
Near Detector Position			N
Far Detector Position			N
Field in Horn Necks			
Decay Pipe Position	20 mm		N

Table 1: Sources of alignment and focusing uncertainties in the neutrino fluxes at DUNE. Sources that were considered in physics studies in the TDR are marked with a 'Y' in the 'In TDR' column.

DUNE-DocDB-19942



Beam position on target

- Use beam position monitors to steer beam on target
- Beam based alignment finds the target and all other elements within BPM coordinates
 - Dedicated study time (occasional beginning/end of run)
 - Low intensity/single batch, 1mm RMS beam size
- Need to control for:
 - BPM intensity dependence
 - Calibration drift (geometric vs electrical center)



Target Position Thermometer (Hylen device)

- Simple and robust device to measure beam on target
 - Measurements with full intensity
 - NuMI experience resolution and stability below 0.1mm
- Complementary to BPMs
 - Slow device, not pulse by pulse measurement







rmilab (@) Ener

LBNF TPT

- Reoptimize system for LBNF operating parameters
 - 1.2MW beam 7.5x10¹³PPP
 - 2.7mm RMS beam size
 - Use 5 bars to accommodate wider beam
- Studies under way
- RAL provides engineering and integration with target



