CEF06 Topical Group Report Outline, Status, and Plans

Snowmass CEF Workshop
24 May 2022

Group Activity Overview

- 3 white papers were drafted by the group, *as the group*
  - Congressional advocacy for HEP funding (The “DC Trip”)
  - Congressional advocacy for areas beyond HEP funding
  - Non-Congressional government engagement
- Our group report was drafted starting from chunks of the white papers grafted together and pared down. Goals:
  - Single narrative throughout report touching on all aspects of present and (hypothetical) future HEP advocacy
  - Minimal background information which is thoroughly documented in white papers

CEF06 White Paper 1: Congressional advocacy for HEP funding (The “DC Trip”)

List of authors: Mateus Carneiro, Richie Diurba, Rob Fine, Ketino Kaadze, Kevin Pedro, Alex Perloff, Louise Suter, Shawn Westerdale

Contents

CEF06 White Paper 2: Congressional advocacy for areas beyond HEP funding

List of authors: Richie Diurba, Rob Fine, Kevin Pedro, Alexx Perloff, Breese Quinn, Louise Suter, Shawn Westerdale

Contents

CEF06 White Paper 3: Non-Congressional government engagement

List of authors: Ashley Back, Richard Diurba, Rob Fine, Mandeep Gill, Harvey Newman, Kevin Pedro, Alexx Perloff, Louise Suter

Contents

Report Outline I

1. **Executive Summary**
    - **Key Questions**
    - **Findings**
    - **Recommendations**
    - To-do: Identify “Key Questions” and “Findings”
    - Q: Are we taking a standardized approach to this across CEF?
2. **Introduction**
    - Establish context for HEP advocacy, introduce important concepts such as P5, HEPAP
    - **Recommendation 1**
3. **Summary of how US HEP is funded**
    - Self-explanatory
    - Q: Should be subsection of intro?

Report Outline II

4. Congressional Advocacy for HEP funding

- **Recommendation 2**
- Overview of annual advocacy effort (the “DC Trip”)
- To-do: Standardize formatting of this section w/r/t others; recommendations always at end of section or spread throughout?

5. HEP communication and outreach materials

- **Recommendation 3**
- Overview of standing effort to maintain up-to-date outreach materials for community; connection to advocacy effort/budget cycle

Report Outline III

6. Utilizing the Advocacy Resources available to HEP community

- **Recommendation 4**
- Discussion of non-funding topics that affect the HEP community; summary of discussions that took place about how we can act in these areas; list of resources maintained outside of the community that can be utilized
- **Basic research and grant reform**
- **Social issues reform**
- **Summary of Resources**
- To-do: This section needs some work

7. Community engagement with the Funding Agencies

- **Recommendation 5**
- Summary of existing channels of communication b/w community and funding agencies; summary of discussions about how to improve/expand communication
- **Grant Reform**
    i. **Project Reform**

Report Outline IV

8. Expanding government engagement

- **Recommendation 6**
- This is where the rest of white paper 3 (that doesn't cover community interactions with the funding agencies) is summarized
- **Executive Branch engagement**
- **Local/State government advocacy**

9. Conclusions

- To-do: write this

Draft Recommendations 1,2

Recommendation 1- Policy advocacy and support for HEP are firmly dependent on a unified voice and community support for the P5.

a) P5 must develop a plan which enables community support.

b) The community must unite behind the P5 report and present a unified front in all aspects.

Recommendation 2 - Support and grow the annual HEP Congressional advocacy effort.

The annual HEP advocacy effort is essential to increasing knowledge and interest of HEP in Congress. Participation in these efforts should be encouraged. The HEP community should support efforts for continued development and growth.

Draft Recommendations 3,4

Recommendation 3 - Continue support for the HEP Communication materials

High quality and well-developed communication and outreach materials are essential for effective government outreach, and their quality reflects directly on how our field is perceived.

Recommendation 4 - Strengthen connections to APS, AIP, AAAS to advocate for D\&I, immigration, R\&D, basic science reform, and other areas that impact HEP

Draft Recommendations 5,6

Recommendation 5 - Enable improved communication between funding agencies and community

Recommendation 6 - Work to improve community engagement with other areas of the government, especially with OMB/OSTP and with local government in areas with HEP facilities.