Study of $\nu_\mu + e$ elastic scattering in MINERνA experiment and Perspective in Project X

Jaewon Park

University of Rochester

2012 Project X Physic Study June 14-23, 2012

Overview

- Motivation
  - Flux constraining using $\nu_\mu + e$ scattering
- Signal/Background separation
- MINERvA detector
- Single EM shower reconstruction
- e/γ Separation using dE/dx
- Small sample MC/data comparison
- Estimated statistics in full data
- Estimated event rate in Medium energy

NuMI Beamline



figure courtesy Ž. Pavlović



- Movable targets to configure beam energy (low energy, medium energy etc)
- Horn current to select sign of neutrino
  - Forward horn current: neutrino dominant beam
  - Reverse horn current: anti-neutrino dominant beam
- My study is based on
  - Low energy beam, forward horn current
  - Contamination of $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, ν_e, and $\bar{\nu}_e$

Goal: Flux Measurement From Event Counting

$$\nu_\mu + e \rightarrow \nu_\mu + e$$

$$\bar{\nu}_\mu + e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu + e$$



Very clean physics channel but it has tiny cross section. (~1/2000 to neutrin nucleon scattering)



- Well known pure leptonic process is used to get ν_μ flux information
- ν_μ scattering off on light electron has small center of mass energy, so it can have only small momentum transfer, Q^2 , which produces very forward electron final state

$$\nu_e + n \rightarrow e^- + p$$

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$$



Electron neutrino fraction in flux is small ~ 1%.



- Electron neutrino flux will be measured using charged current quasi-elastic (CCQE) process
- If recoiled nucleon is not observed, two processes look similar

Signal and Background Processes

All can look like single electron final state

$$\nu_\mu + e \rightarrow \nu_\mu + e$$
$$\bar{\nu}_\mu + e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu + e$$

It produces a very forward electron
It can be separated from background using $E\theta^2$

$$\nu_e n \rightarrow e^- p$$
$$\bar{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$$

Check vertex activity to reject

$$\nu_\mu A \rightarrow \nu_\mu A \pi^0$$

1. Only one of two gammas is detected
– dE/dx at the beginning is different from electron
2. High energy π^0 decays into two gammas with very small opening angle
– Check transverse energy distribution



E: Energy of electron candidate
θ: Theta of electron candidates w.r.t. beam direction

Total Cross Section



$$\sigma(\nu_\mu e^-) = \frac{G_F{}^2 s}{\pi}\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\sin^2\theta_W\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\sin^4\theta_W\right] \quad \propto E_\nu$$

$$s = 2m_e E_\nu$$

- Total cross section is proportional to beam energy
- High energy tail contribution gets bigger

Electron Energy Spectrum





$$\frac{d\sigma(\nu_\mu e^- \to \nu_\mu e^-)}{dy} = \frac{G_F{}^2 m_e E_\nu}{2\pi}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sin^2\theta_W\right)^2 + \sin^4\theta_W (1-y)^2\right] \qquad y = \frac{(\text{electron KE})}{(\text{neutrino energy})}$$

- High energy electron from high energy neutrino
- Low energy electron from both low and high energy neutrino
- Note also anti muon neutrino and electron neutrino contribution

MINERvA Detector

- MINERvA detector is made of a stack of "MODULES" (See next slide)



Calorimeter



- Tracker: 1.7 cm scintillator plane
- Ecal: 2mm lead + 1.7 cm scintillator plane
- Hcal: 2.54 cm steel + 1.7cm scintillator plane

- X_0 (Tracker) ~ 42cm
- X_0 (Ecal) ~ 5cm
- Tracker ~ 6 X_0
- Entire Ecal ~ 8 X_0

Detector Module

Scintillator plane consists of Extruded scintillator strips and Wavelength shifting fibers





- X, U, V coordinates are combined to make 3D tracking

Event Display (Data)

$\nu_e n \rightarrow e^- p$ candidate event

Hit Energy (MeV)



$\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu e^-$ candidate event



Single EM Shower Reconstruction

Track-like shower
(4.5 GeV electron)



Fuzzy shower
2.9 GeV electron



- Once vertex and direction is known, shower cone can be applied
- When (thin) track finder fails on fuzzy shower, isolated blob finder is used and then track fitter can handle fuzzy shower

MC Reconstruction Efficiency





Low energy electron sometimes produces splash shower



Big theta angle electron tends to exit to sides, which leaves less hits in tracking volume

- Electron particle gun is used to calculate efficiency
  - Energy: 0.2 ~ 5 GeV, Theta: 0~45 degree
- **Reconstruction efficiency is 0.96 for small angle** (angle <10 degree, energy>400MeV)

MC Angular and Energy Resolution





- Precise angular reconstruction is critical to separate ν_μ e elastic scattering from ν_e CCQE
- Energy resolution: 6~ 7%

dE/dx for Electron and Gamma Discrimination









- Neutral current π^0 is decayed into energetic gamma + tiny energy gamma
- dE/dx at the beginning of shower is different for electron and gamma
  - Electron loses energy like MIP (Minimum Ionization Particle)
  - Gamma loses energy like twice MIP

e/γ Separation for Future Experiments









- MINERvA shows excellent dE/dx separation close to liquid argon dE/dx
- Efficient π^0 rejection is very important in ν_e appearance experiment

Data Validation using Michel electron

Module to module variation (data)

Energy scale stability (data)







- Michel electron is nice to tool to check calibration
  - Michel energy MC/data comparison
  - Module to module variation is consistent with muon dE/dx
  - Energy scale is stable over time

Small Sample Result





- Beam configuration: Low energy neutrino beam
- **~4%** of accumulated data is used for comparison
- MC sample size: ~30% of collected data
- ν_e CCQE (Charged Current Quasielastic) process is suppressed because single electron-like events are selected

Signal Events

First two bins are signal rich



	All bins	1st two bins
ν_μ e	52	48
ν_e e	4	4
ν_e CCQE	68	11
ν_e others	5	0
ν_e COH π^0	1	0
ν_μ COH π^0	13	0
ν_μ nc-others	6	3
ν_μ cc	1	0
Total	150	66

52 signal

14 background

- Number of ν e scattering (ν_μ e and ν_e e) events in this 30% MC: 52 ± 9
  - 17% statistical error
- The projected sample will have ~3 times signal/background (173/47).
- That measurement would produce a statistical uncertainty of 8.6%

Estimated Event Rate in Medium Energy

- Only signal size is estimated using flux and cross section
- Truth electron energy (No energy smearing)
- Medium energy beam show ~10 time statistics compared to low energy beam
  - Assuming low energy: 4E20 POT, medium energy: 12E20 POT

Flux


Low energy forward horn current flux
Low energy reverse horn current flux
Medium energy forward horn current flux
Medium energy backward horn current flux
Neutrinos/GeV/m²/4×10²⁰ POT
Neutrinos/GeV/m²/12×10²⁰ POT
Neutrino energy (GeV)
ν_μ
$\bar{\nu}_\mu$
ν_e
$\bar{\nu}_e$

Neutrino Electron Scattering Event rate



Electron Spectrum









Summary

- Good single electron reconstruction is achieved.
- Efficient background rejection is made to isolated νe scattering events
- Preliminary data and MC comparison looks promising
- Projected measurement of νe scattering events using 30% MC shows constraint on flux with 8.6% statistical error
- This method of constraining beam flux will be more powerful with higher event rate in medium energy beam in the future