z-expansion parametrization of nucleon vector form factors and GENIE Joint Meeting, Fermilab

Kaushik Borah

with R. J. Hill, G. Lee, O. Tomalak Phys. Rev. D 102, 074012 (2020)

University of Kentucky

March 24, 2022





Goal of the talk

- ▶ We present the proton and neutron vector form factors, uncertainties and correlations in a convenient parametric form that is model independent and optimized for Q² ≤ few GeV².
- The form factors are determined from a global fit to electron scattering data and precise charge radii measurements.
- Including high-precision data of A1@MAMI, charged current quasielastic cross sections change by 3-5 %
- Motivation for new measurement of the proton magnetic form factor



Outline

Theory

Review of Form Factors The *z* Expansion Method

Application

Neutrino-nucleon scattering Influence on Cross Sections Atomic Spectroscopy

Conclusion



Nucleon structure and its contribution to neutrino and other precision experiments



- Form factors provides the measure of charge and magnetic-moment distributions inside the nucleons.
- Nucleon form factors are important input for neutrino experiments (DUNE and Hyper-K) as well as atomic spectroscopy.
- Discrepancy in the measurement of form factors and charge radii impacts the measurements in neutrino experiments and atomic spectroscopy.
- ▶ We present the proton and neutron vector form factors, uncertainties and correlations in a convenient parametric form that is model independent and optimized for Q² ≤ few GeV².



Nucleon Form Factors in Scattering - I

The nucleon electromagnetic current is expressed in terms of Dirac (F1) and Pauli (F2) form factors,

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2}) = F_{1}(q^{2})\gamma^{\mu} + \frac{i}{2M}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}F_{2}(q^{2})$$

 \blacktriangleright F_1 and F_2 can be written in terms of Sachs electric and magnetic form factors G_E and G_M ,

$$F_1 = \frac{G_E + \tau G_M}{1 + \tau}, \quad F_2 = \frac{G_E - G_M}{1 + \tau}, \quad \tau = -\frac{q^2}{4M^2}$$

The scattering cross section of a relativistic electron off a recoiling point-like nucleus is given by the *Mott* formula.

Structure-dependent part is expressed in terms of Sachs electric and magnetic form factors. Cross section is given by the *Rosenbluth* formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\rm Mott} \frac{1}{1+\tau} \bigg\{ G_E^2 + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} G_M^2 \bigg\}, \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon} = 1 + 2(2+\tau) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

▶ NOTE We can also fit our curve to the isospin rotated basis components isoscalar $(F_{1,2}^S = F_{1,2}^P + F_{1,2}^n)$ and isovector $(F_{1,2}^V = F_{1,2}^P - F_{1,2}^n)$ form factors. This is important for the application of nucleon form factors in neutrino experiment.



Nucleon Form Factors in Scattering - II

The form factors are defined from the matrix element of one-photon exchange.

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2}) = F_{1}(q^{2})\gamma^{\mu} + \frac{i}{2M}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}F_{2}(q^{2})$$

To extract them with a percent precision or better, standard QED radiative corrections and modern calculations of structure-dependent two-photon exchange are included.

$$d\sigma_{
m expt} = d\sigma_{
m Born}(1 + \delta_{
m RC})$$





Functional Forms for Form Factors

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\rm Mott} \frac{1}{1+\tau} \left\{ G_E^2 + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} G_M^2 \right\}$$

In the past, a few simple functional forms for G_E and G_M were used by truncating the expansion at some finite k_{max},

$$\begin{split} G_{pol}(q^2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} a_k(q^2)^k, \quad \text{polynomials [Simonetal.(1980), Rosenfelder(2000)]} \\ G_{invpol}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} a_k(q^2)^k}, \quad \text{inverse polynomials [Arrington(2003)]} \\ G_{cf}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{a_0 + a_1 \frac{q^2}{1 + a_2 \frac{q^2}{q^2}}}, \quad \text{continued fractions [Sick(2003)]} \end{split}$$

In 2010, Hill & Paz showed that the above functional forms exhibit pathological behavior with increasing k_{max}



The Bounded *z* Expansion

According to QCD constraint, nucleon form factors must be analytic in $t \equiv q^2 \equiv -Q^2$ outside of a time-like cut starting at $t_{cut} = 4m_{\pi}^2$, the two-pion production threshold $(t_{cut} = 9m_{\pi}^2$ for isoscalar combinations). [Hill & Paz (2010)]



A conformal map gives a small expansion variable t₀ in kinematic region of scattering experiments that lies on the negative real axis. It is represented by the blue line for a set of data with maximum momentum transfer Q²_{max}.

$$z(q^2) = rac{\sqrt{t_{ ext{cut}}-q^2}-\sqrt{t_{ ext{cut}}-t_0}}{\sqrt{t_{ ext{cut}}-q^2}+\sqrt{t_{ ext{cut}}-t_0}}$$

$$G_{E} = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} a_{k} [z(q^{2})]^{k}, \quad G_{M} = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} b_{k} [z(q^{2})]^{k}$$



The Sum Rule

Perturbative QCD requires that the form factors must fall off faster than $1/Q^3$ in the large Q^2 limit

$$Q^n G(-Q^2)\Big|_{Q^2 \to \infty} \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore,

$$\left. \frac{d^n G}{dz^n} \right|_{z \to 1} \longrightarrow 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

In order to implement the above constraints on a form factor we can enforce the following four sum rules [Lee, Arrington, Hill (2015)]

$$\sum_{k=n}^{k_{\max}} k(k-1)\cdots(k-n+1)a_k = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

We choose k_{max} = 8 and estimate fitting uncertainty as a difference to k_{max} → k_{max} + 1. Four parameters (e.g., a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄) are determined by fitting to data.



νN CCQE Cross Section

 Neutrino-nucleon charged-current quasielastic cross section is expressed in terms of form factors as [Llewellyn-Smith (1972)]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}(Q^2, E_{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{8\pi} \frac{M^2}{E_{\nu}^2} \left[A(q^2) \frac{m_l^2 - q^2}{M^2} - B(q^2) \frac{s - u}{M^2} + C(q^2) \left(\frac{s - u}{M^2}\right)^2 \right]$$

▶ The functions A, B and C depend on the nucleon isovector form factors $F_{1,2}^V = F_{1,2}^\rho - F_{1,2}^n$ axial form factor F_A and pseudoscalar form factor F_P

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(q^2) &= 2\tau (F_1^V + F_2^V)^2 - (1+\tau) \left\{ (F_1^V)^2 + \tau (F_2^V)^2 - (F_A)^2 \right\} \\ &- r_l^2 \left\{ (F_1^V + F_2^V)^2 + (F_A + 2F_P)^2 - 4(1+\tau)F_P^2 \right\} \\ &\mathcal{B}(q^2) = 4\tau F_A(F_1^V + F_2^V) \end{aligned}$$

$$C(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (F_{1}^{V})^{2} + \tau (F_{2}^{V})^{2} + (F_{A})^{2} \right\}$$

Hence the nucleon electric and magnetic form factors are important input for the neutrino cross section.



Relevant kinematics for DUNE and HYPER-K

- ▶ Electron and muon neutrino cross sections are sensitive to $Q^2 \lesssim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ while tau neutrino requires larger Q^2 .
- \blacktriangleright Two isospin-decomposed fits with data below $Q^2 < 1~{\rm GeV}^2$ and $Q^2 < 3~{\rm GeV}^2$ are performed.





νN CCQE Cross Section Results



Dark band : uncertainty of vector form factor.

- Light band : uncertainty of axial form factor.
- Blue line : BBBA2005 fit (currently-used fit) of electromagnetic form factors.
- CCQE cross section differs by 3–5% compared to currently-used form factor models (BBBA2005) when the vector form factors are constrained by recent high-statistics electron-proton scattering data from A1@MAMI.



《□▶ 《□》 《 클 ▶ 《 클 ▶ 클 · · · ○ ♀ ○ Kaushik Borah March 24, 2022 12/16

Proton Magnetic Form Factors including A1@MAMI data



• G_M^p from A1@MAMI is significantly different to previous result.



Hyperfine Splitting and Zemach Radius

- Two-photon exchange provides the leading finite-size correction to hyperfine splitting.
- The dominant piece of two-photon exchange is given by $\Delta E_Z = -2\alpha m_r E_F r_Z$
- The Zemach radius rz is calculated as

$$r_{Z} = -\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dQ}{Q^{2}} \left[\frac{G_{M}(Q^{2})G_{E}(Q^{2}) - G_{M}(0)G_{E}(0)}{G_{M}(0)} \right]^{2}$$

Proton Zemach radii are compared below,



The Zemach radius is sensitive to charge and magnetic radii, and both electric and magnetic form factors.

KENTUCKY

1S-2S transition in hydrogen

Two-photon exchange provides a sizeable theoretical uncertainty to S energy levels.

The bulk of correction is given by the Friar radius $r_{F}^{(3)}$ which is calculated as,

$$r_{F}^{(3)} = \frac{48}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dQ}{Q^{2}} \left[\frac{G_{E}^{2}(Q^{2}) - G_{E}^{2}(0) - 2Q^{2}G_{E}^{\prime}(0)}{Q^{2}} \right]$$

Proton Friar radii are compared below,



The Friar radius is sensitive to charge form factor and radius.



Conclusion and GENIE

Including data of A1@MAMI Collaboration, CCQE cross sections shift by 3-5 % triggered by proton magnetic form factor.

- Form factor fit from relevant kinematical region is presented in a convenient form for applications in neutrino event generators, GENIE.
- Results of axial form factor from Phys. Rev. D 93, 113015 (2016) [A. S. Meyer, et al.] are in GENIE.
- Future goal is to implement similar systematic analysis of our updated vector form factors in GENIE.

