### **Proton Inelastic Cross-section Analysis: Energy Reconstruction**

Heng-Ye Liao Hadron Analysis Meeting April 21, 2022





# Outline

Proton kinetic energy calculation



# **Energy Reconstruction**

KE at TPC front-face is critical when using Beth-Bloch-based energy reconstruction (<u>link</u>)

- → We are able to measure  $KE_{ff}$  precisely for elastic-scattering protons\*, but NOT for inelastic-scattering protons.
- Decide to use calorimetric-based energy calculation with constant Eloss assumption:

$$KE_{reco} = KE_{beam} - \langle \Delta E \rangle - \sum_{\substack{j \\ \text{Upstream} \\ \text{E-loss}}} \frac{dE_j}{dx_j} dx_j$$

Calorimetric reco (Not using the Bethe-Bloch formula)

- Upstream E-loss determination:
  - $\rightarrow$  Tune < $\Delta$ E> s.t. low energy elastic-scattering peak at 0 MeV











μ: Mean of Gaussian extracted from the fit



# **Data/MC Comparison**



Good to see low energy peak at zero MeV (as expected)
 Data/MC discrepancies observed

# Data/MC Comparison after KE Reweighting



►KE reweight using  $KE_{ff} \rightarrow Improve data/MC$  agreement on  $KE_{ff}$ 

Data/MC discrepancy at low KE still exist after reweighting

 $\rightarrow\,$  Implication that the issue may not be related to beam momentum/energy



Fix the energy estimation using calorimetric-based energy reconstruction
 with constant E-loss assumption

#### <u>Next</u>

Data-driven background measurement using E-slice method
 Unblinding



#### **Backup:**

# Other studies to improve energy estimation using Bethe-Bloch formula



### **Algorithm to Improve KE**<sub>ff</sub> of Elastic-scattering Protons



For elastic-scattering protons,  $KE_{ff}$  estimation is critical, const. E-loss assumption can be improved  $\rightarrow$  Use  $KE_{ff}$ =Length2E(range)



### **Algorithm to Improve KE**<sub>ff</sub> of Elastic-scattering Protons



► Range-based KE<sub>#</sub> estimation works well



- ► Use **dE/dx & residual range** to predict **r**<sub>0</sub>
  - r<sub>o</sub>: Distance between interaction vertex and the point for Bragg peak
  - r: Residual range of interacting protons

## **R**<sub>0</sub> Calculations



KE<sub>ff</sub> (truth)=378.7 MeV, r<sub>0</sub> (truth)=42.6 cm
KE<sub>ff</sub>(reco)=Length2E(r<sub>0</sub>+range(reco))
r<sub>0</sub> calculations:
[1] <r<sub>0</sub>>=33.4 cm (low pass filter to remove outliers) → KE<sub>ff</sub>(reco)=347.0 MeV
[2] <r<sub>0</sub>>=39.5 cm (all hits) → KE<sub>ff</sub>(reco)=372.3 MeV
[3] r<sub>0</sub>=25.1 cm (last hit, track end) → KE<sub>ff</sub>(reco)=318.7 MeV

> \*<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Savitzky%E2%80%93Golay\_filter</u> SG(window size, poly. order)



# **KE**<sub>ff</sub> of Inelastic-scattering Protons: Precise KE<sub>ff</sub>



Sanity check to see if the idea works  $\rightarrow KE_{ff}(reco)=Length2E(CSDA(KE_{ff}(truth)))$ 

#### Algorithm to Improve KE<sub>ff</sub> of Inelastic-scattering Protons



▶ Const. E-loss assumption is still the best assumption

# **KE**<sub>end</sub>: Elastic-Scattering Protons



- Great energy reconstruction for elastic scattering protons using ranged-based energy calculation (Bethe-Bolch)
- ▶ Best  $KE_{\text{ff}}$  calculation:  $KE_{\text{ff}}$ =Length2(E)

# **KE**<sub>end</sub>: Inelastic-Scattering Protons



Good energy reconstruction for inelastic scattering protons using ranged-based energy calculation (Bethe-Bolch)

 $KE_{ff} = KE_{beam} - \langle \Delta E \rangle$ 

# **KE**<sub>end</sub>: **Reco. Inelastic-scattering Protons**



Proton KE after event selection of inelastic-scattering protons



# **KE**<sub>end</sub>: **Reco. Elastic-scattering Protons**



Proton KE after event selection of elastic-scattering protons





